RENIFORM NEMATODE REPRODUCTION ON SOYBEAN IN TESTS CONDUCTED IN 2003 R.T. Robbins, L. Rakes, and L.E. Jackson Department of Plant Pathology University of Arkansas Fayetteville, AR E.E. Gbur Agricultural Statistics Laboratory University of Arkansas Fayetteville, AR D.G. Dombek Arkansas Crop Improvement Program Fayetteville, AR

Abstract

In 2003 greenhouse pot experiments, 129 soybean varieties from the Arkansas variety testing program were tested to determine their suitability as hosts for the reniform nematode, *Rotylenchulus reniformis*. The *R. reniformis*-resistant varieties Forrest and Hartwig, the susceptible variety Braxton, and fallow-*R. reniformis*-infested soil served as controls. Total number of eggs and nematodes extracted from both the soil and roots from each pot, reproductive indices (RI = Pf/Pi), RI/RI of Forrest (RF), RI/RI of Hartwig (RH), log ratio $[log_{10} (RF + 1)]$, log ratio $[log_{10} (RH + 1)]$, RF calculated from $log_{10} (RF + 1)$, and RH calculated from $log_{10} (RH + 1)$ were calculated for each cultivar or breeding line. Varieties with RF=s significantly greater than the RF on Forrest (1.00) were considered suitable hosts for *R. reniformis*. In the 2003 Arkansas variety test 122 of 129 lines had significantly more reproduction than Forrest when the log ratio $[log_{10} (RF + 1)]$ were compared. All lines including Forrest had more reproduction than Hartwig when the log ratio $[log_{10} (RH + 1)]$ were compared.

Introduction

Robbins et al. (1994) reported on reproduction of the reniform nematode on 30 soybean cultivars. Robbins & Rakes (1996) reported on 16 soybean cultivars, 45 germplasm lines, and 2 cultivars (Hartwig, Cordell) with resistance from PIs 437654 and 90763, respectively, and the differentials used in the soybean cyst nematodes race determination tests. Robbins et al. (1999) reported on 282 soybean lines from the Arkansas and Mississippi Soybean Variety Testing programs and Robbins et al. (2000) reported on 226 cultivars from the Arkansas and Mississippi Soybean Variety Testing programs and varieties submitted by extension nematologists from Auburn and Louisiana State University. Robbins et al. (2001) reported on 115 cultivars from the Arkansas and Mississippi Soybean Variety Testing programs and varieties submitted by a Texas extension nematologist. Robbins et al. (2002) found 137 of 139 lines from Arkansas, Mississippi, and Louisiana test and 20 of 34 breeding lines from the Clemson test reproduced significantly more than Forrest. These papers form the basis for reniform nematode reproduction information on contemporary soybean lines.

The objectives of the 2003 study were to identify new soybean cultivars that are poor hosts for the reniform nematode that would be useful in rotation with cotton or other reniform nematode susceptible crops in reniform nematode infested fields and to identify useful breeding lines for use in selection of new reniform resistant cultivars

Materials and Methods

The 129 soybean cultivars were from both private and public sources. Seeds of all cultivars were germinated in vermiculite and transplanted into 10-cm-diam. clay pots containing 500 cm³ of pasteurized fine sandy loam soil (ca. 91% sand, 5% silt, 4 % clay, <1% O.M.). Inoculum was obtained by washing the soil from the roots of the susceptible cultivar Braxton grown in the greenhouse for at least 10 weeks, suspending the nematodes in water, and pouring the nematode suspension through nested 850- and 38-µm-pore sieves. The material on the 38-µm-pore sieve was placed on a tissue in a Baermann funnel. All vermiform stages of *R. reniformis* were collected after 16 hours. On the same day (July 21) a total of 1,200 vermiform reniform nematodes were injected with an autopipe into three, 2.5 cm-deep holes made in the soil in each pot containing one seedling in the cotyledon stage. Pots were arranged in a randomized complete block design, with five replications per cultivar. Soybean cultivars Forrest and Hartwig were included as resistant controls and Braxton as a susceptible control.

After 14 weeks (July 21-October 28, 2002), the number of reniform nematode eggs and vermiform nematodes contained in egg masses on the roots and the numbers of vermiform nematodes in the soil of each pot were determined. The total number of reniform nematode eggs and vermiform nematodes per pot was calculated by adding the number from the soil to the number from the roots. A reproductive index (RI), defined as the number of eggs + vermiform nematodes at test termination

(Pf)/initial inoculation level (Pi), was calculated for each cultivar. In addition, the ratio of the RI of each cultivar to the RI of Forrest (RF) and Hartwig (RH) was calculated. The log ratio data $[log_{10} (RF + 1)]$ and log ratio data $[log_{10} (RH + 1)]$ were analyzed as a randomized complete block using analysis of variance. Log ratio transformations were used because of the high degree of variation in nematode counts within a cultivar. All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS version 8 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results and Discussion

Seven cultivars had log ratios not significantly higher than Forrest. These cultivars were Croplan Genetics RC4992, DT99-17145, Terral TVX57R301, Progeny 4884RR, Delta Grow 5650RR, FFR 4922RR, and Pioneer Brand 94M70 (Table 1 underlined). All seven cultivars had higher numerical ratios than Forrest. All cultivars, including Forrest, had higher ratios than Hartwig (Table 1).

The main objective of these tests was to identify soybean varieties and breeding lines with low reniform nematode reproductive indices. The varieties with low reniform nematode reproductive indices may be important to use in rotation with cotton in fields with large numbers of the reniform nematode.

Literature Cited

Hussey, R. S., and K. R. Barker. 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp., including a new technique. Plant Disease Reporter 57:1025-1028.

Jenkins, W. R., 1964. A rapid centrifugal-flotation technique for separating nematodes from soil. Plant Disease Reporter 48:692.

Robbins, R. T., L. Rakes, and C. R. Elkins. 1994. Reproduction of the reniform nematode on thirty soybean cultivars. Supplement to the Journal of Nematology 26:659-664.

Robbins, R. T., and L. Rakes. 1996. Resistance to the reniform nematode in selected soybean cultivars and germplasm lines. Journal of Nematology 28:612-615.

Robbins, R. T., L. Rakes, L. E. Jackson, and D. G. Dombek. 1999. Reniform nematode resistance in selected soybean cultivars. Supplement to the Journal of Nematology 31:667-677.

Robbins, R. T., L. Rakes, L. E. Jackson, E. E. Gbur, and D. G. Dombek. 2000. Host suitability in soybean cultivars for the reniform nematode, 1999 tests. Supplement to the Journal of Nematology Vol. 32:614-621.

Robbins, R. T., L. Rakes, L. E. Jackson, E. E. Gbur, and D. G. Dombek. 2001. Host suitability in soybean cultivars for the reniform nematode, 2000 tests. Supplement to the Journal of Nematology Vol. 33:314-317.

Robbins, R. T., E. R. Shipe, L. Rakes, L. E. Jackson, E. E. Gbur, and D. G. Dombek. 2002. Host suitability in soybean cultivars for the reniform nematode, 2001 tests. Supplement to the Journal of Nematology Vol. 33 378-383.

Robbins, R. T., E. R. Shipe, L. Rakes, L. E. Jackson, E. E. Gbur, and D. G. Dombek. 2003. Host suitability in soybean cultivars for the reniform nematode, 2001 tests. Proceeding 3 Beltwide Cotton Conferences, Nashville, TN, January 2003.

Mean reniform							
Line or cultivar	nematodes/pot	Pf/Pi	RH	RF			
Fallow	936	0.78	0.12	0.03			
Hartwig	7,846	6.54	1.00	0.28			
Forrest	28,326	23.60	3.61	1.00			
Croplan Genetics RC4992	73,600	61.33	9.38	2.60			
DT99-17145	74,540	62.12	9.50	2.63			
Terral TVX57R301	76,810	64.01	9.79	2.71			
Progeny 4884RR	78,467	65.39	10.00	<u>2.77</u>			
Delta Grow 5650RR	78,960	65.80	10.06	<u>2.79</u>			
<i>FFR 4922RR</i>	83,945	69.95	10.70	<u>2.96</u>			
Pioneer Brand 94M70	85,056	70.88	10.84	3.00			

Table 1. Reproduction of *Rotylenchulus reniformis* on 129 selected soybean cultivarsand lines from the Arkansas Soybean Variety Testing Program in 2003.

Table 1. cont'd.

Mean reniform								
Line or cultivar	nematodes/pot	Pf/Pi	RH	RF				
Genesis D524RR	94 780	78.98	12.08	3 35				
DEKALB DKB44-52	102 840	85.70	13.11	3.63				
Dune Cre SYO2152	102,040	86.40	12.02	2.65				
Dylla Glo SAO3132	105,766	00.49	13.23	3.00				
Delta Grow 5460KK	106,735	88.95	13.60	3.77				
PGY 5/03RR	114,360	95.30	14.58	4.04				
Caviness RR	117,140	97.62	14.93	4.14				
USG 7562nRR	120,240	100.20	15.33	4.24				
Genesis D491RR	120,870	100.72	15.41	4.27				
Delta King XTJ406	122,474	102.06	15.61	4.32				
Deltapine DP4724RR	128.965	107.47	16.44	4.55				
Croplan Genetics RC4842	131,100	109.25	16.71	4.63				
Garst XR57N20	134 460	112.05	17 14	4 75				
FER 5702RR	135,660	112.05	17.29	1.79				
DCV 5502DD	135,000	112.02	17.27	4.72				
Const 6112DD/N	136,700	112.92	17.42	4.83				
Garst 0112RR/N	130,780	115.98	17.43	4.83				
Morsoy R15903	138,143	115.12	17.61	4.88				
Southern States RT4930	140,698	117.25	17.93	4.97				
Progeny 5415RR	141,550	117.96	18.04	5.00				
NK Brand S50-N3	142,115	118.43	18.11	5.02				
FFR 5542RR	142,334	118.61	18.14	5.02				
DEKALB DKB46-51	144,260	120.22	18.39	5.09				
Terral TVX48R1U1	144.500	120.42	18.42	5.10				
Maverick	147.020	122.52	18.74	5.19				
DEKALB DKB57-51	151 280	126.07	19.28	5 34				
ES XVT-10PP	152,800	127.33	19.20	5 30				
Torral TVV59D2W1	155,880	127.55	10.87	5.50				
	155,000	129.90	20.41	5.50				
FUT 4949KK	160,122	122.92	20.41	5.05				
ES AV I-I/KK	100,380	133.82	20.47	5.67				
Terral TVX56R1B2	161,100	134.25	20.53	5.69				
Delta Grow 4960RR	163,830	136.53	20.88	5.78				
Asgrow AG5605	164,121	136.77	20.92	5.79				
Armor AXR5881	164,440	137.03	20.96	5.81				
Dyna Gro 3518nRR	164,880	137.40	21.02	5.82				
Asgrow AG3905	165,580	137.98	21.10	5.85				
DT99-17483	166,220	138.52	21.19	5.87				
Delta King XTJ405	167,420	139.52	21.34	5.91				
DEKALB DKB44-51	168,420	140.35	21.47	5.95				
Delta King XTJ407	170,560	142.13	21.74	6.02				
HBK R5123	170,580	142.15	21.74	6.02				
DEKALB DKB53-51	171.120	142.60	21.81	6.04				
DT99-17574	172,160	143 47	21.94	6.08				
Delta King XTI404	175 220	146.02	22.33	6 19				
HBK R/623	176 250	1/6.82	22.33	6.22				
P08 200	178,464	148.70	22.40	6.30				
Torrel TVV56D2V1	170,404	140.72	22.75	6.30				
Delte Kine VTI402	179,200	149.40	22.63	6.33				
Delta King X1J405	181,500	151.25	23.13	0.41				
Dyna Gro SXO3149	183,600	153.00	23.40	6.48				
Pioneer Brand 93B68RR	187,030	155.86	23.84	6.60				
Terral TVX39RS301	187,220	156.02	23.86	6.61				
Progeny PGY 4703RR	188,380	156.98	24.01	6.65				
Terral TVX47R1K2	188,740	157.28	24.06	6.66				
Delta King XTJ4R58	190,406	158.67	24.27	6.72				
Terral TV52R301	191,423	159.52	24.40	6.76				
Delta King XTJ401	194,160	161.80	24.75	6.85				
Terral TVX58R1V2	199,220	166.02	25.39	7.03				
R97-1634	199.420	166.18	25.42	7.04				
MPV 5504nRR	201.000	167.50	25.62	7.10				
Terral TVX49R2Z1	201.528	167.94	25.69	7.16				
Morsov RT5773	203,240	169 37	25.90	7 18				
MPV 4904nRR	204,102	170.09	26.01	7 21				
Delta King XTJ448	204.180	170.15	26.02	7.21				
	,							

	Mean reniform			
Line or cultivar	nematodes/pot	Pf/Pi	RH	RF
USG 7440nRR	204,660	170.55	26.09	7.23
Asgrow AG4502	204,780	170.65	26.10	7.31
Armor AXR5981	207,120	172.60	26.40	7.31
Dyna Gro 3481nRR	207,960	173.30	26.51	7.34
S99-2447-02RR	208,320	173.60	26.55	7.35
V96-0340	211,300	176.08	26.93	7.46
Delta Grow 5260RR	212,680	177.23	27.11	7.51
Delta King XTJ447	215,880	179.90	27.52	7.62
Garst XR46Y02	216,040	180.03	27.54	7.63
Armor AXR5313	216,420	180.35	27.58	7.64
DT99-16864	217,740	181.45	27.75	7.69
Dyna Gro 33B52	218,060	181.72	27.79	7.70
Delta King XTJ452	218,860	182.38	27.90	7.73
NK Brand S37-N4	218,960	182.47	27.91	7.73
Terral TVX49R2Y4	219.720	183.10	28.01	7.76
Garst XR48Y11	220.160	183.47	28.06	7.77
Terral TVX59R301	222,600	185.50	28.37	7.86
Delta King XTI446	222.980	185.82	2.8.42	7 87
Morsov RT5553	223,160	185.97	28.42	7.89
NK Brand S49-O9	225,100	188.60	28.85	7.00
Southern States RT5602	226,820	189.00	28.05	8.01
Armor AXR4959	220,000	189.00	29.03	8.04
USG 752/nRR	227,740	102.08	29.05	8.14
USG 7563nRR	230,500	192.00	29.50	8.20
Corot VD50N12	232,200	193.30	29.00	0.20 0.20
Dalta King VTI450	237,300	197.73	30.23	0.30 9.41
Torrol TVV20D202	230,200	196.37	30.37	0.41 9.42
Dolto King VTI/20	230,003	199.07	30.43	0.4J 0.4J
DEITA KIIIG A 1 J 4 3 9	240,200	200.22	20.85	0.40
D199-1/551 Canada D494DD	242,040	201.70	30.85	8.54
Genesis D484KR	242,060	201.72	30.85	8.53
Dyna Gro 38K5/	243,460	202.88	31.03	8.60
Terral IVX4/R2P1	245,220	204.35	31.26	8.60
ES XV1-41RR	248,780	207.32	31.71	8.78
Genesis C444RR	250,940	209.12	31.98	8.86
NK Brand S57-P1	252,800	210.67	32.22	8.92
D198-11850	254,660	212.22	32.46	8.99
Progeny 5822R	260,160	216.80	33.16	9.18
Delta King XTJ457	260,520	217.10	33.21	9.20
HBK R5422	263,600	219.67	33.60	9.31
Genesis D421RR	264,340	220.28	33.69	9.33
Croplan Genetic RC5555	265,220	221.02	33.80	9.36
N99-186	269,360	224.47	34.33	9.51
PGY 3900RR	272,849	227.37	34.78	9.63
Morsoy RT5252	276,040	230.03	35.18	9.75
NK Brand S43-B1	278,360	231.97	35.48	9.83
HBK R5823	280,000	233.33	35.69	9.89
AGSE 587RR	289,420	241.18	36.89	10.22
Terral TVX49R1L2	296,400	247.00	37.78	10.46
Terral TVX59R201	298,660	248.88	38.07	10.54
Deltapine DPX4446RR	301,060	250.88	38.37	10.63
DT99-17400	306,920	255.77	39.12	10.84
HBK 5592	323.380	269.48	41.22	11.42
Dyna Gro SXO3157	325.200	271.00	41.45	11.48
ES XVT-46RR	325.540	271.28	41.49	11.49
Terral TVX57R2M1	326.820	272.35	41.6	11.54
USG 5002T	370.060	308.38	47.17	13.06
Braxton	371.660	309.72	47.37	13.12
DT99-17445	381 520	317 93	48.63	13.47
	124 180	361.82	55 34	15 22