PERFORMANCE OF COMMERCIAL COTTON CULTIVARS AGAINST BACTERIAL BLIGHT PATHOGEN IN THE TEXAS HIGH PLAINS Uma S. Sagaram, Greta L. Schuster, and Ron A. Thomason West Texas A&M University Canyon, TX Terry A. Wheeler and John L. Gannaway Texas Agricultural Experiment Station Lubbock, TX

Abstract

Bacterial blight of cotton, caused by Xanthomonas campestris pv. malvacearum (Smith) Dye (XCM), is one of the most important bacterial diseases causing significant losses to cotton growers all over the world. Cotton disease resistance is the primary method of controlling bacterial blight of cotton. Studies were conducted in 2000, 2001 and 2002 to determine the resistance levels of present germplasm in west Texas. The main objective of this study was to differentiate resistant commercial cotton varieties from susceptible varieties. Plants for all experiments were inoculated with XCM (race 18) by spray application. The plots were rated for disease after the symptoms were clearly visible on both surfaces of the leaves. Differences in resistance to XCM were identified by analysis of variance ($\approx = 0.05$) using the general linear model procedure of statistical analysis system. Mean separation between entries was performed with the Waller-Duncan k-ratio t-test at $\propto = 0.05$. An arbitrary scale was developed for the range of ratings and used to group entries. Highly susceptible varieties were All-Tex (Atlas, Atlas RR, Atlas Plus, Excess Plus, Top-Pick), DeltaPine (2379, 458 B/RR), FiberMax (5013, 5024), Paymaster (183, 330, HS-26, 2266 RR, 2326 RR, 2326 BG/RR, 2344 BG/RR, 2379 RR, 2145 RR), Phytogen (355, GA 161, GA894, HS-12, 952, 14512), Stoneville (474, 4892 BR) and Texas 28 R. Less susceptible varieties were All-Tex Max-9, BXN 16, Paymaster 2200 RR, Stoneville 2454 R and SureGrow 501 BR. Moderately resistant varieties were All-Tex (Xpress RR, Xpress), Paymaster 2280 BG/RR, Stoneville 5599 BR, SureGrow 521 RR and Syngenta NK 2164 C. Highly resistant varieties were All-Tex Excess, FiberMax (819, 832, 958, 966, 989), Paymaster (280, 1218 BG/RR, 2167 RR), Stoneville (239, 239 BR), SureGrow (747, 215 BG/RR), Syngenta NK 2387 C and Tamcot (Sphinx, Pyramid).

Introduction

The bacterial blight pathogen has expressed significant genetic variability for virulence on cotton with the evolution of many races (Wallace and El-Zik, 1990). Due to variability in the pathogen, cultivars that were resistant to XCM either completely lost the resistance or have become less resistant (Allen and West, 1991; Brinkerhoff, 1970). There are 19 physiological races of XCM, which currently have been identified (El-Zik and Thaxton, 1992; El-Zik and Thaxton, 1994). Race 18 is the primary race distributed across the U.S. and Australia in recent years (Allen and West, 1991; Thaxton et al., 2001). It is considered the most virulent race (Kirkpatrick and Rothrock, 2001) and many U.S. varieties may be susceptible to this race. Hence, there is a need to determine levels of resistance in new and currently grown cotton cultivars.

Materials and Methods

The test site (approximately 8 hectares), located at the City Farm in Lubbock, TX, was sampled and tested for nutrient levels in the spring before planting. Nitrogen was applied pre-plant at the rate of 90 kg ha⁻¹ for 2000 and 2001 tests and 100 kg ha⁻¹ for 2002 tests. Treflan[®] (Trifluralin), a pre-emergent herbicide was incorporated into the soil @ 1.78 L ha⁻¹ using a tandom disk plow. Temik[®] (Aldicarb @ 0.5 Kg ai ha⁻¹) was applied in the furrow at planting to control insects. Seeds were treated with Vitavax-PCNB[®] (Carboxin-PCNB) at the rate of 0.73 g ai kg⁻¹ of seed and Allegiance[®] (Metalaxyl) at the rate of 0.15 g ai kg⁻¹ of seed to control common seedling pathogens. Plots were planted using a 4-row cone planter. Plots were 15.3 m long with 1-m row spacing and 2 rows wide. PM '2326 RR' was used as susceptible check during 2000, 2001 and 2002. Tamcot 'Sphinx' was used as resistant check during 2000 test where as, Tamcot 'Pyramid' was used as resistant check during 2001 and 2002 tests. All the varieties were in a randomized complete block design and replicated four times.

Three isolates of XCM (IS-3, IS-9, and IS-15), obtained from Dr. Peggy Thaxton (Texas A&M Univ., College Station) were maintained on potato carrot dextrose agar (Bird and Blank, 1951). In 2000 and 2001, inoculum was increased with an equal mixture of the isolates on Trypticase soybroth (Thomas Scientific) at 30 g L⁻¹ on shake culture for 36 hrs at room temperature. In 2002, only isolate IS-15 was used since the other two isolates were no longer sufficiently virulent. Inoculation of the pathogen was accomplished by a pressure spray (83 kPa) on the top surface of the leaves using a tractor driven sprayer. Plots were inoculated when the plants had 8-10 true leaves. The concentration of XCM used for inoculation was approximately 10^6 bacterial cells ml⁻¹ in 2000 and 2001 and 10^7 bacterial cells ml⁻¹ in 2002. The amount of spray fluid applied was approximately 470 L ha⁻¹. A silicon based adjuvant, Silwet L77[®] (0.25 % v/v) was mixed to the spray fluid at the time of all applications. Silwet was used to

spread the bacteria on leaf surface, which in turn helps the bacteria to gain entry into the host plant (Johnson et al., 1996). Inoculations were scheduled between the hours of 9:30 AM and 3:00 PM, so that stomata were open. One to three days after the inoculation, the plots were irrigated with a linear system to splash and spread the bacteria among the plants. The plots were inoculated three times during 2000 and 2001 tests and twice during 2002 tests. Plots were rated for disease after clear visible symptoms of disease were observed on the plants. Plots were rated 3 weeks after first inoculation in 2000 and 2001 and 2 weeks after first inoculation in 2000 and 2001 and 2 weeks after first inoculation in 2002. A grading scale of 0 - 1 was used to assign the incidence of disease for each plot with '0' being no plant in the plot was diseased and '1' being all the plants in the plot were diseased. Each plant in a plot was evaluated, and the average rating was applied for that plot. Plots were rated based on disease incidence (DI), not severity. Differences in resistance to XCM were identified by analysis of variance ($\propto = 0.05$) using the general linear model procedure of statistical analysis system. Mean separation between entries was performed with the Waller-Duncan k-ratio t-test at $\propto = 0.05$. The author developed an arbitrary scale (Table 1) for the range of ratings and used it to group entries.

Results and Discussion

Highly susceptible varieties were All-Tex (Atlas, Atlas RR, Atlas Plus, Excess Plus, Top-Pick), DeltaPine (2379, 458 B/RR), FiberMax (5013, 5024), Paymaster (183, 330, HS-26, 2266 RR, 2326 RR, 2326 BG/RR, 2344 BG/RR, 2379 RR, 2145 RR), Phytogen (355, GA 161, GA894, HS-12, 952, 14512), Stoneville (474, 4892 BR) and Texas 28 R (Table. 2). Less susceptible varieties were All-Tex Max-9, BXN 16, Paymaster 2200 RR, Stoneville 2454 R and SureGrow 501 BR (Table 2). Moderately resistant varieties were All-Tex (Xpress RR, Xpress), Paymaster 2280 BG/RR, Stoneville 5599 BR, SureGrow 521 RR and Syngenta NK 2164 C (Table 2). Highly resistant varieties were All-Tex Excess, FiberMax (819, 832, 958, 966, 989), Paymaster (280, 1218 BG/RR, 2167 RR), Stoneville (239, 239 BR), SureGrow (747, 215 BG/RR), Syngenta NK 2387 C and Tamcot (Sphinx, Pyramid) (Table 2). This demonstrates that many of the seed companies, which produce U.S. varieties, have varieties with bacterial blight resistance. Producers do have some choices with respect to blight resistant material, though few of the choices are for stripper type cottons.

References

Allen, S.J., and K-L.D. West. 1991. Predominance of race 18 of *Xanthomonas campestris pv. malvacearum* on cotton in Australia. Plant Dis. 75:43-44.

Bird, L.S., and L.M. Blank. 1951. Breeding strains of cotton resistant to bacterial blight. Bulletin 736. Texas Agricultural and Mechanical college system, College Station, TX.

Brinkerhoff, L.A. 1970. Variation in *Xanthomonas malvacearum* and its relation to control. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 8:85-110.

El-Zik, K.M., and P.M. Thaxton. 1992. Breeding for resistance to seed-seedling and bacterial blight diseases of cotton. P. 1330-1334. *In* Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., Nashville, TN. 6-10 Jan. 1992. Natl. Cotton Counc. Am., Memphis, TN.

El-Zik, K.M., and P.M. Thaxton. 1994. Biological and environmental effects on *Xanthomonas* and other cotton diseases. p. 227-231. *In* Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., San Diego, CA. 5-8 Jan. 1994. Natl. Cotton Counc. Am., Memphis, TN.

Johnson, D.R., D.L. Wyse, and K.J. Jones. 1996. Controlling weeds with phytopathogenic bacteria. Weed Technol. 10:621-624.

Kirkpatrick, T.L., and C.S. Rothrock. 2001. Compendium of cotton diseases. 2nd ed. American Phytopath. Soc., St. Paul, MN.

Thaxton, P.M., T.D. Brooks, and K.M. El-Zik. 2001. Race identification and severity of bacterial blight from natural infestations across the cotton belt. p. 137-138. *In* Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., Anaheim, CA. 9-13 Jan. 2001. Natl. Cotton Counc. Am., Memphis, TN.

Wallace, T.P., and K.M. El-Zik. 1990. Quantitative analysis of resistance in cotton to three new isolates of the bacterial blight pathogen. Theor. Appl. Genet. 79:443-448.

Table 1. Arbitrary scale used to classify the response of different commercial cultivars against *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *malvacearum* (race–18).

| Year | Grouping* | Mean DI (%) | Disease reaction |
|------|-----------|-------------|----------------------------|
| 2000 | А | 0.81 - 1.00 | Very susceptible |
| | B - D | 0.50 - 0.95 | Less susceptible |
| | E - G | 0.06 - 0.50 | Moderately resistant |
| | Н | 0.00 - 0.06 | Highly resistant or Immune |
| 2001 | A and B | 0.88 - 1.00 | Very susceptible |
| | C - E | 0.48 - 0.81 | Less susceptible |
| | F - H | 0.10 - 0.34 | Moderately resistant |
| | I - J | 0.00 - 0.13 | Highly resistant or Immune |
| 2002 | А | 0.98 - 1.00 | Very susceptible |
| | B and C | 0.65 - 0.77 | Less susceptible |
| | D - F | 0.00 - 0.14 | Highly resistant or Immune |

'*' = Waller-Duncan k-ratio t-test grouping ($\propto = 0.05$).

| Mean DI (%) | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|--------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Variety | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Disease reaction | | | |
| All-Tex Atlas | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| All-Tex Atlas RR | 1.00 | - | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| All-Tex Atlas Plus | 1.00 | - | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| All-Tex Excess Plus | 1.00 | - | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| All-Tex Top-Pick | 1.00 | - | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| All-Tex Max-9 | 0.81 | - | - | Less susceptible | | | |
| All-Tex Xpress RR | 0.31 | - | - | Moderately resistant | | | |
| All-Tex Xpress | 0.25 | 0.53 | - | Moderately resistant | | | |
| All-Tex Excess | 0.00 | - | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| BXN 16 | 0.67 | - | - | Less susceptible | | | |
| DeltaPine 2379 | 1.00 | 0.98 | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| DeltaPine 458 B/RR | 0.94 | 1.00 | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| FiberMax 5013 | - | 0.98 | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| FiberMax 5024 | - | 0.98 | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| FiberMax 819 | 0.00 | - | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| FiberMax 832 | 0.00 | - | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| FiberMax 958 | 0.00 | 0.10 | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| FiberMax 966 | 0.00 | - | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| FiberMax 989 | 0.00 | - | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| Paymaster 183 | 1.00 | - | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| Paymaster 330 | 1.00 | - | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| Paymaster HS-26 | 0.95 | 0.98 | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| Paymaster 2266 RR | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | Very susceptible | | | |
| Paymaster 2326 RR | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | Very susceptible | | | |
| Paymaster 2326 BG/RR | 1.00 | 0.98 | | Very susceptible | | | |
| Paymaster 2344 BG/RR | _ | 1.00 | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| Paymaster 2379 RR | 1.00 | 0.98 | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| Paymaster 2145 RR | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.77 | Very susceptible | | | |
| Paymaster 2200 RR | 0.67 | 0.95 | - | Less susceptible | | | |
| Paymaster 2280 BG/RR | 0.41 | 0.34 | - | Moderately resistant | | | |
| Paymaster 280 | 0.00 | - | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| Paymaster 1218 BG/RR | _ | 0.05 | 0.08 | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| Paymaster 2167 RR | - | 0.04 | 0.14 | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| Phytogen 355 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| Phytogen GA 161 | 1.00 | 1.00 | _ | Very susceptible | | | |
| Phytogen GA 894 | 1.00 | - | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| Phytogen HS-12 | 1.00 | 0.98 | _ | Very susceptible | | | |
| Phytogen 952 | 1.00 | - | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| Phytogen 14512 | 1.00 | - | - | Very susceptible | | | |
| Stoneville 474 | 1.00 | - | _ | Very susceptible | | | |
| Stoneville 2454 R | - | 0.71 | _ | Less susceptible | | | |
| Stoneville 4892 BR | _ | 0.88 | _ | Very susceptible | | | |
| Stoneville 5599 BR | 0.88 | 0.34 | _ | Moderately resistant | | | |
| Stoneville 239 | 0.00 | - | _ | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| Stoneville 239 BR | 0.00 | - | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| SureGrow 501 BR | 0.00 | _ | _ | Less susceptible | | | |
| SureGrow 521 RR | 0.75 | - | - | | | | |
| SureGrow 747 | | - | - | Moderately resistant | | | |
| | 0.00 | - | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| SureGrow 215 BG/RR | - | - | 0.05 | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| Syngenta NK 2165 C | 0.50 | 0.48 | - | Moderately resistant | | | |
| Syngenta NK 2387 C | - | 0.11 | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| TAMCOT Sphinx | 0.00 | - | - | Highly resistant/Immun | | | |
| TAMCOT Pyramid Texas 28 R | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | Highly resistant/Immun Very susceptible | | | |
| | | - | - | | | | |

Table 2. Response of commercial cotton cultivars against *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *malvacearum* (race 18) when screened during 2000, 2001 and 2002 at City farm, Lubbock, TX.