2002 BELTWIDE COTTON CONFERENCES, ATLANTA, GA – JANUARY 8-12

INSECTICIDE TERMINATION STUDIES IN SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS

Chuck Capps, Jeremy K. Greene, William C. Robertson and Steve Kelly
Cooperative Extension Service
University of Arkansas
Monticello, AR

Abstract

Insecticide termination rules were evaluated during 2001 in Southeast Arkansas. Insecticide termination treatments, NAWF=5, NAWF=5 + 250 HU, near NAWF=5 + 250 HU, near NAWF=5 + 450 HU, and near NAWF=5 + 550 HU and above were compared, and no statistical differences were detected in lint yield nor net return. Because of low yield potential due to inclement environmental conditions, a slight numerical difference in net return favored insecticide termination at NAWF=5 + 250 HU. No economic benefits were seen by making extra insecticide applications after NAWF=5 + 250 HU.

Introduction

Insecticides are needed every year in Southeast Arkansas to maintain viable cotton production, but they are very expensive inputs that add to the cost of production. Growers face the difficult decision every year of determining when to stop spraying for insect pests. If producers treat too long into the growing season, they spend money to protect fruit that will not contribute significantly to higher yields, resulting in higher costs of production and reduced profits. If growers terminate insecticide treatments too early, they sacrifice yield potential due to insect damage.

The correct time to stop spraying for insect pests is a critical decision that has been made by farmers for the past several years without a reliable model on which to base this decision. Recently, research has been conducted to help farmers make a decision on when to terminate sprays (Kharboutli and Allen 2001). Much of this research has been based on COTMAN, COTton MANagement Model, which provides a system to help growers make management decisions. This system provides a way to monitor cotton growth and fruit development during the growing season (Oosterhuis et al. 1996). Additional research has supported the practical use of this model (Kharboutli and Allen 2001).

COTMAN uses Nodes Above White Flower (NAWF) as the basis to determine crop maturity. Research has shown that fruiting forms produced on main-stem nodes above the NAWF=5 stage did not contribute significantly to total yield (Bourland et al. 1992, Lammers 1996). The date that the crop reaches NAWF=5 is the flowering date of the last effective date boll (Oosterhuis et al. 1996). This study was conducted to investigate insecticide termination rules for Southeast Arkansas by comparing standard practices with those associated with the COTMAN model.

Materials and Methods

Two irrigated fields on a producer's farm in Desha County, Arkansas, were identified for these tests. The first field (Test 1) was planted to DPL 5415 on 2 May 2001, and the second field (Test 2) was planted to BXN 47 on 1 May 2001. Both tests were replicated four times, and each plot was 20 rows wide (the width of one plane pass) and approximately 1000 feet in length. On Test 1, treatments were terminated at NAWF=5, near NAWF=5 + 250 HU, near NAWF=5 + 450 HU, and near NAWF=5 + 650 HU. On Test 2, treatments were terminated at NAWF=5, near NAWF=5 + 250 HU, and near NAWF=5 + 550 HU. After NAWF=5, Test 1 was treated on 7 August with Baythroid (1 gal per 65 acres or 1.97 oz per acre) and Tracer (1 gal per 85 acres or 1.51 oz per acre), on 17 August with Tracer (1 gal per 70 acres or 1.83 oz per acre), and 27 August with Tracer (1gal per 70 acres or 1.83 oz. per acre) and Centric (2 oz. per acre). After NAWF=5, Test 2 was treated on 7 August with Baythroid (1 gal per 65 acres or 1.97 oz per acre) and Tracer (1 gal per 85 acres or 1.51 oz per acre), on 17 August with Tracer (1 gal per 70 acres or 1.83 oz per acre), and on 4 September with Baythroid (1 gal per 65 acres or 1.97 oz per acre) and Tracer (1 gal per 85 acres or 1.51 oz per acre). Net returns were calculated using the cost of insecticides applied all season, cost of aerial application ($4.00), and $0.52 per pound for lint yield. Yields were statistically analyzed using ANOVA and LSD.

Results and Discussion

All insecticide termination systems produced similar yields (Tables 1 and 2), but there was a numerical increase in yield with continued insecticide use. This was likely due to additional insecticide treatments protecting fruit high on the main stem node that did not contribute significantly to yield. The economic returns for each insecticide termination system were similar, but there were numerical increases in net returns for the NAWF=5 + 250 HU termination system (Tables 1 and 2). No economic

benefits were found by prolonging crop protection after NAWF=5 + 250 HU. Similar results were found in an insecticide termination study conducted in 2000 (Kharboutli and Allen 2001).

Disclaimer

The mention of trade names in this report is for informational purposes only and does not imply an endorsement by the University of Arkansas Cooperative Extension Service.

Acknowledgements

We thank Cotton Incorporated for their financial support for this project. We also thank Mr. Steve Stevens for his support and cooperation during this project.

References

Bourland, F. M., D. M. Oosterhuis and N. P. Tugwell. 1992. Conceptual model for modeling plant growth and development using main-stem node count. J. Prod. Agri. 5:532-538.

Lammers, J. D. 1996. Refining the target curve for the COTMAN system of cotton monitoring. M. S. Thesis, University of Arkansas.

Oosterhuis, D. M., F. M. Bourland, N. P. Tugwell, M. J. Cochran. 1996. Terminology and Concepts Related to the COTMAN Crop Monitoring System. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station. Special Reports 174.

Kharboutli, M. S., C. T. Allen 2001. Insecticide termination regimes in Southeast Arkansas. *Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference*, Volume 2: 1103-1105.

Table 1. Insecticide termination data from Well Field, DPL 5415 planted on 5/2 (Test 1).

Event	Date	DAP	HUAP	Days after NAWF=5	DD60 after NAWF=5	Lint Yield (lb/acre)	Insecticide Costs/acre	Net Return
Last trt	8/7	98	1881	12	250	710	$30.23	$338.87
Last trt	8/17	108	2090	22	459	728	$44.70	$333.96
Last trt	8/27	118	2311	32	679	741	$65.41	$319.75

NAWF, Nodes above white flower.
DAP, Days after planting.
HUAP, Heat units after planting.
DD60, Degree days (60^0 F).

Table 2. Insecticide termination data from Center Field, BXN 47 planted on 5/1 (Test 2).

Event	Date	DAP	HUAP	Days after NAWF=5	DD60 after NAWF=5	Lint Yield (lb/acre)	Insecticide Costs/acre	Net Return
Last trt	8/7	99	1869	0	0	827	$26.54	$403.66
Last trt	8/17	109	2078	10	209	856	$41.01	$404.27
Last trt	9/4	127	2411	28	542	868	$59.22	$391.98

NAWF, Nodes above white flower.
DAP, Days after planting.
HUAP, Heat units after planting.
DD60, Degree days (60^0 F).