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#### Abstract

At Cotton Incorporated, the objective is to build demand for and improve the profitability of cotton. In order to do this, all aspects of cotton from the producers to the consumers and to retail are researched. It is known that consumers are the ones who make the decision as to whether or not they buy cotton; therefore, this paper focuses on this important aspect of cotton's profitability: cotton at retail. Cotton's position at retail will be evaluated by tracking cotton's share and bale usage in selected apparel categories and analyzing retail's shifting outlook.


## Introduction

Once at a low of $34 \%$ of the retail market in 1975, cotton now makes up over $60 \%$ of all fiber sold at retail for apparel and home fabrics. More than $15,198,000$ bale equivalents of cotton were sold at retail in 2000. That bale count is up $6.1 \%$ from 1999's 14.5 million bales sold, and up a staggering $71 \%$ from the 8.9 million bales sold in 1990 (NPD). For 2000, cotton consumption per capita in the United States was 34.6 pounds. Of that figure, apparel and home fabrics accounted for $78 \%$. The other $22 \%$ can be attributed to markets such as nonwovens, industrial uses and commercial textiles. By bale usage, $13 \%$ of the 15.2 million bales consumed at retail were used for home fabrics and, the majority, $87 \%$ were for apparel.

## Discussion

## Cotton Apparel at Retail

When evaluating cotton apparel at retail, markedly, cotton's share of male apparel is significantly higher than that of women's apparel. Cotton's share for male tops, bottoms, and sweat apparel, was $83.4 \%$ for the first 10 months of 2001, while cotton's share for the same product categories for women was $67.7 \%$. Historically, cotton's share for men's apparel has been higher than women's; however, according to bale usage in apparel, the majority, $43 \%$, is attributed to women's apparel, $37 \%$ is for men's apparel, and children's apparel accounts for a combined $20 \%$ of total cotton bales used (Figure 1). Although women's apparel uses more bales of cotton and sells more dollars and units of cotton apparel, cotton's share is still lower than men's apparel; thus, womenswear serves as a challenge for cotton, an ideal area to expand cotton's share.

Cotton has a definite presence in women's apparel, even though cotton's share is lower than men's apparel, and is seeing growth in several product categories (Table 1). In 2000, female apparel categories using the most cotton included shirts with $1,590,258$ bales; jeans, 916,509 bales; and slacks, 591,491 bales. Shirts has been the largest cotton-using category for female apparel since 1992 and has had steady growth throughout the 8 -year period.

Although not the largest cotton user, skirts is a product group that is seeing positive growth for cotton (Figure 2). Skirts surpassed other female apparel categories with one of the fastest growth rates from 1999 to 2000 , increasing $29 \%$, mainly due to the insurgence of denim skirts.

Even though men's apparel already has a high cotton share, growth in share is still occurring (Table 2). Menswear saw healthy cotton usage in 2000 with $1,342,107$ bales used in jeans ( 248,151 bales less than women's jeans) and 1,260,035 bales for sport shirts. Jeans has been the largest single-category cotton user for men's apparel for at least the past 10 years. Jeans also enjoy consistently positive growth ranging from $2 \%$ to $9 \%$ since 1990. Several of the men's largest cotton categories had positive growth in bale usage for 2000. Slacks increased $9 \%$ and jeans were up $5 \%$ from 1999 to 2000.

Although men's cotton apparel saw positive growth from 1999 to 2000, it did not have the double-digit changes found in women's cotton apparel (Figure 3). Growth for cotton in menswear is slower than womenswear mainly because women's apparel is driven more by fashion while men's apparel tends to be more functional, with a core consistency of cotton.

In 2001, Cotton Incorporated partnered with STS Market Research to track purchases of men and women's tops, bottoms, and sweat apparel for consumers ages 13 and older. For the past 10 years, well over $60 \%$ of cotton's total usage at retail was made up by these three categories. Cotton's share for both male and female jeans remains high at $99 \%$ and $98 \%$, respectively, for the first 10 months of 2001 (Table 3). Knit shirts also enjoy a high cotton share, reaching $89 \%$ for menswear and $82 \%$ for womenswear, however, women's skirts/dresses is a major womenswear category where cotton's share only reaches $42 \%$.

## Growth at Retail

Cotton's share remains strong and major product categories have seen growth for both men and women's apparel. With a changing retail picture and changes in consumer attitudes and buying patterns, how will cotton's growth be affected?

According to Cotton Incorporated's Lifestyle Monitor ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, there has been a shift in buying patterns at retail (Table 4). The percent of consumers who buy the majority of their clothes at department stores such as Macy's and Bloomingdale's is declining, along with national chain stores like JC Penney and Sears. At the two ends of the shopping spectrum, more consumers are buying their clothes at specialty stores like The Gap and The Limited, as well as mass merchants such as Target and Wal-Mart; this is true for both male and female consumers. Once an outlet where basic apparel items such as tshirts, underwear, and jeans were purchased, mass merchants are taking advantage of shorter production times and filling their racks with trendier apparel similar to specialty stores; thus, enticing "nontraditional" customers to buy apparel.

The same trend toward mass and specialty stores is also accurate for cotton apparel sales in 2001(Figure 4). Mass merchants sell more than one-quarter ( $26 \%$ ) of all cotton apparel sold at retail, and specialty-store sales ( $24 \%$ ) are just below that of mass merchants. Although mass merchant shoppers tend to be driven more by price and specialty-store shoppers are driven more by style, both consumers have a preference for cotton. The majority of mass merchant (55\%) and specialty store (55\%) shoppers feel that better quality garments are made from natural fibers (Figure 5). Even though mass merchants are known for their low, competitive prices - causing a downward shift in prices for all apparel - mass merchant ( $64 \%$ ) as well as specialty store $(66 \%)$ shoppers indicate a willingness to pay more for cotton (Figure 6).

## Growth of Blends

Despite cotton's growth and popularity at retail, there is still competition. Cotton-dominant blends are seeing the highest percent change on a weight basis from 1999 to 2000 compared to $100 \%$ cotton and $100 \%$ synthetic apparel in select product categories. The majority of the growth was in cotton-dominant blends where cotton made up $60-99 \%$ of the garment. From women's jeans and skirts to men's slacks, cotton is sharing the growth in apparel with competitive fibers. Of the $29 \%$ cotton growth for women's skirts in $2000,81 \%$ was in the $60-99 \%$ cotton blend category, as opposed to $17.8 \%$ growth in the $100 \%$ cotton category and a $.6 \%$ growth rate for $100 \%$ synthetic. Men's slacks also saw the majority of growth in the $60-99 \%$ cotton category with a $33.9 \%$ rise, while $100 \%$ cotton had a $6.7 \%$ gain and $100 \%$ synthetic had a $23.2 \%$ growth rate on a weight basis from 1999.

The secondary fibers that are blending with cotton are polyester and spandex. Polyester and spandex are finding their way into cotton-dominant apparel such as women's jeans, where there was a $21 \%$ increase (weight basis) in $95 \%$ cotton $/ 5 \%$ spandex blends and a $61 \%$ increase in $70 \%$ cotton / $30 \%$ polyester blends. Men's undershorts also had $44 \%$ growth in $95 \%$ cotton / $5 \%$ spandex blends and a $37 \%$ growth in $75 \%$ cotton $/ 25 \%$ polyester blends.

Cotton Incorporated's consumer research shows that consumers have reached a heightened level of awareness for fabric content. As referenced in Figure 7, the majority of consumers consider fiber content an important factor when buying clothes, second only to price, and considerably more important than designer/manufacturer name. The knowledge of fabric content has been increasing over the past four years for men and women (Figure 8). In $1998,43 \%$ of women and $40 \%$ of men considered fabric content an important factor when purchasing apparel. In the first three quarters of $2001,60 \%$ of women and $55 \%$ of men felt fabric content was important to their clothing purchase, a significant change. With greater awareness of fiber content, consumers should be noticing the increase in cotton-dominant blends at their shopping outlet of choice, unless they are department-store shoppers. Specialty stores saw the greatest rise ( $10 \%$ ) in cotton- dominant blends ( $50-99 \%$ cotton), on a weight basis, from 1999 to 2000 (Figure 9). Cotton-dominant blends grew $6 \%$ at mass merchants and 5\% at chain stores. Blended tops for women had positive growth of $16 \%$ at both chain and specialty stores (Figure 10). Men's blended bottoms had the most growth at mass merchants, $12 \%$, and $9 \%$ at specialty stores (Figure 11). Off-price and direct mail retailers also saw year-over-year growth in both men's and women's blended apparel.

When consumers were asked how they felt about cotton/polyester blends compared to $100 \%$ cotton, the majority of consumers $(41 \%)$, regardless of their retail outlet of preference, believe blends are not as good as all-cotton products; nevertheless, those who believe cotton/polyester blends are better than all cotton (29\%) are not far behind (Table 5). Although $100 \%$ cotton apparel is not growing as quickly as cotton-dominate blends in select categories, neither is $100 \%$ synthetic; therefore, cotton may be able to gain share in non-traditional markets, such as women's skirts and dresses. Overall, on a weight basis, $100 \%$ cotton has the highest growth for total apparel.

Cotton continues to enjoy a strong share of the retail market and a favored-fiber status for the consumers. As in the past, cotton's share of the men's apparel market consistently remains higher than cotton's share of the women's apparel market, even though an evaluation of apparel end use shows that womenswear uses the most cotton bales compared to men, boys, and girls.

Mass merchants and specialty stores have not only grown into the chief retail outlet choices for consumers, they are the top sellers for cotton apparel. These same outlets, along with chains, are seeing growth in cotton-dominant blends for male and female apparel. With the increasing relevance of blends and competing fibers, cotton must be proactive in maintaining the high market share it currently holds.
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Table 1. Largest Cotton Users - Female Apparel Categories in 2000

| Weight Basis <br> (Bales) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | Percent Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shirts | $1,450,987$ | $1,590,258$ | 9.6 |
| Jeans | 871,491 | 916,509 | 5.2 |
| Slacks | 532,825 | 591,491 | 11.0 |
| Dresses | 407,341 | 412,843 | 1.4 |
| Sweaters | 350,902 | 369,413 | 5.3 |

Source: The NPD Group
Table 2. Largest Cotton Users - Male Apparel Categories in 2000

| Weight Basis <br> (Bales) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | Percent Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jeans | $1,277,279$ | $1,342,107$ | 5.1 |
| Sport Shirts | $1,255,105$ | $1,260,035$ | 0.4 |
| Underwear | 475,084 | 502,489 | 5.8 |
| Slacks | 353,697 | 384,938 | 8.8 |
| Socks | 278,341 | 292,080 | 4.9 |

[^0]Table 3. Cotton's Share by Product Category - 10-Month Data for 2001 (Percent)

| Female | Cotton's Share | Male | Cotton's Share |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Jeans | 97.5 | Jeans | 98.8 |
| Knit Shirts | 82.2 | Knit Shirts | 88.9 |
| Slacks | 61.7 | Slacks | 76.4 |
| Shorts | 84.8 | Shorts | 77.9 |
| Woven Shirts | 54.3 | Woven Shirts | 73.0 |
| Sweat Apparel | 70.4 | Sweat Apparel | 60.5 |
| Skirts/Dresses | 42.2 |  |  |

Source: STS Market Research
Table 4. Where Do Consumers Buy Most of Their Clothes?

| Percent |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Department | 26 | 25 | 23 | 22 |
| Chain | 27 | 27 | 24 | 24 |
| Mass Merchant | 17 | 17 | 20 | 21 |
| Specialty | 15 | 16 | 18 | 18 |
| Other | 13 | 12 | 14 | 12 |

Source: Cotton Incorporated's Lifestyle Monitor ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Table 5. Growth of Blends - 2000 (Weight Basis) Percent Change From Previous Year

| Percent | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ Cotton | $\mathbf{6 0 - 9 9 \%}$ Cotton | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ Synthetic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women's Skirts | 17.8 | 81.1 | 0.6 |
| Men's Slacks | 6.7 | 33.9 | 23.2 |

Source: The NPD Group
Table 6. How Do Cotton/Polyester Blends Compare to All Cotton?

|  | Better <br> Than | Not As <br> Good As | No <br> Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | $28 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Specialty | $24 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Mass Merchant | $30 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Chain | $34 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

Source: Cotton Incorporated's Lifestyle Monitor ${ }^{\text {TM }}$


Source: The NPD Group
Figure 1. Cotton by Apparel End Use
Female Apparel in 2000 (Weight Basis)


Figure 2. Cotton Apparel Categories with Fastest Growth Rate from Previous Year
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Figure 3. Cotton Apparel Categories with Fastest Growth Rate from Previous Year.
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Figure 4. Where Is Cotton Sold?
Those Who Answered "Yes"


Source: Cotton Incorporated's Lifestyle Monitor ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Figure 5. Are Better Quality Garments Made from Natural Fibers?

## Those Who Answered "Yes"



## Department Chain Specialty Mass Merchant
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Figure 6. Will Consumers Pay More For Cotton?
Multiple Responses Given: Does Not Equal 100\%
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Figure 7. Factors Consumers Consider When Buying Clothes.
Male $\square$ Female


Source: Cotton Incorporated's Lifestyle Monitor ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$
Figure 8. Fabric Content Is Increasing in Importance.
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Figure 10. Outlets Are Seeing Growth In Cotton- Dominant Blends.
Male Apparel 2000 (Weight Basis)
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Figure 11. Outlets are Seeing Growth in Cotton-Dominant Blends.


[^0]:    Source: The NPD Group

