

TEXAS BOLL WEEVIL ERADICATION UPDATE
C. T. Allen, L. W. Patton, L. E. Smith and R. E. Newman
Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Foundation
Abilene, TX

Abstract

The Texas Boll Weevil Eradication program completed a successful year in 2000 with over 4.2 million acres in 8 active zones participating in the project. Support from growers, grower organizations, Texas and federal legislators, USDA research and implementation agencies and Texas Agricultural research, education and regulatory agencies has grown stronger with continued program success. Passage of referenda in 3 new zones sets the stage for program expansion to approximately 6 million acres in 2001.

Introduction

During the twentieth century, boll weevil has been responsible for more dollars in control costs and crop losses than any other pest of Texas and US cotton. The National Cotton Council estimates that the boll weevil has cost US cotton producers more than \$13 billion (NCC, 1994) since it entered the US about 1892 (Hunter et. al., 1905). Following successful experiences with a cooperative boll weevil eradication experiment in Mississippi, Louisiana and Alabama in 1971 and a successful three-year boll weevil eradication trial in North Carolina and Virginia 1977-80, growers requested program expansion in other regions of the US beginning in 1983 (El-Lissy, 1998).

Texas cotton growers began participating in boll weevil eradication in 1994 on approximately 220,000 acres in the Southern Rolling Plains (SRP) Zone. Program expansion in 1996 brought in two new zones. Eradication activities began that year on approximately 500,000 acres of cotton in the South Texas/Winter Garden (ST/WG) Zone and about 500,000 acres in the Rolling Plains Central (RPC) Zone. In 1997, the program was challenged and halted by the Texas Supreme Court action, and a new law was passed which allowed the program to be re-started (El-Lissy, 1998).

In 1999 boll weevil eradication was initiated in five new zones, adding an additional 2.3 million acres to the program. Added were the Western High Plains (WHP) Zone, 750,000 acres; the Northwest Plains (NWP) Zone, 450,000 acres; the El Paso/Trans Pecos (EP/TP) Zone, 65,000 acres; the Permian Basin (PB) Zone, 720,000 acres; and the Northern Rolling Plains (NRP) Zone, 300,000 acres (El-Lissy, 2000). In 2000 the program was conducted on approximately 4.2 million cotton acres in 8 active zones.

Referenda held during 2000 added 3 new zones. Growers in the Southern Blackland (SBL) Zone, 120,000 acres; Northern High Plains (NHP) Zone, 600,000 acres; and Southern High Plains/Caprock (SHP/C) Zone, 1,290,000 acres approved the program and are slated to start the diapause phase of eradication in 2001. Program referenda were passed in the NHP by 75.5% in October 2000 and in the SHP/C by 80.4% in November 2000. The program referendum in the SBL had been previously passed in 1999. The assessment referendum held in April 2000 passed by 70.8%. Program expansion in 2001 will bring the total acreage in the Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Program to over 6 million acres in 11 active zones.

Discussion

The Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Program has brought about strong reductions in boll weevil infestation in every active zone. This fact is supported by our own data (Table 1), data gathered by the Texas Agricultural Extension Service and by grower and consultant testimonial.

Susan Combs, Commissioner of the Texas Department of Agriculture, after reviewing the SRP data, formally declared the SRP functionally eradicated in September 2000.

Progress in the SRP is notable. The average number of weevils captured per trap inspected was 0.000091 in 2000 (Table 1). Represented another way, 10,989 traps were checked for each weevil that was captured. Forty-nine weevils were captured from the 365,143 cotton acres in the zone in 2000. Of these, 44 were caught on the west side of the zone within 60 miles of cotton not in eradication. Four of the remaining 5 weevils were trapped from areas where they could have easily been moved into the zone on equipment, motor vehicles or trains. One weevil was trapped the second week of June in an area remote from cotton not in eradication and not near obvious sources of re-infestation by human activities. This lone weevil may have survived the winter in the SRP. No evidence of boll weevil reproduction was detected in the SRP during 2000.

ULV malathion treatments in the SRP are shown in Table 2. In 2000 the cumulative total acres treated was 4,184. About one acre was treated for every 100 acres of cotton in the zone.

Excellent progress is also being made in the RPC zone (Table 1). A total of 71,393 weevils were caught from the 789,337 acres in the zone in 2000 for an average of 0.029 weevils per trap inspection. This represents a 99.8% reduction from weevil populations trapped in 1996.

Treated acres in the RPC zone are beginning to decline. Only 1.54 applications were made this year per acre of cotton in the zone (Table 2).

In the ST/WG zone, progress is also being made (Table 1). A hurricane in the fall of 1999, the mild winter of 1999-2000 and wet spring weather in the spring of 2000 impeded program progress in 2000, but in spite of these adversities, weevil numbers continue to be reduced. In 2000, 1.23 weevils were caught per trap inspection in the ST/WG zone, a 91% reduction from 1996 and a 93% reduction from the program restart level of 1997.

ST/WG treatment data are shown in Table 2. In 2000, 8.09 treatments were applied per acre of cotton in the zone.

In the EP/TP zone, boll weevil numbers were relatively low in 1999 at the inception of the program. Numbers of weevil trapped have been reduced very strongly in 2000 (Table 1). Only 0.0098 weevils were caught this year per trap inspection, a reduction of over 96% from levels caught in the zone last year.

Treatments in the EP/TP zone are already beginning to decline (Table 2). Only 1.38 applications were made per acre of cotton in the zone in 2000.

Progress in 2000 in the NRP zone was impeded by several factors. Program success was negatively affected by mild 1999-2000 winter conditions, curtailed trapping activities due to wet weather at pinhead square stage and migration of weevils in the fall from cotton not in eradication. In spite of the set backs, the program made good progress this year (Table 1). The average number of weevils per trap inspected was 2.30 in 2000, a reduction of 88% compared with the population levels observed during the diapause control phase of the program in 1999.

Treatment in the NRP zone was aggressive with 9.61 treatments per acre of cotton in the zone in 2000 (Table 2).

The program in the NWP suffered from the mild winter, wet spring and migration problems that plagued the NRP. In the NWP boll weevil migration into the zone was especially problematic in 2000. The zone was surrounded on three sides by cotton not in eradication and suffered more than any other Texas zone from boll weevil migration. In spite of these

concerns, average weevil captures for the year were reduced to 1.17 per trap inspection, a reduction of 84% compared with capture levels in the diapause control phase of the program in 1999 (Table 1).

Treatments in the NWP are shown in Table 2. In 2000, an average of 7.5 treatments were applied per acre of cotton in the zone. This was up slightly from treatments applied in the 1999 diapause control phase.

Progress in the PB zone was impressive in 2000. Traps in the zone averaged only 0.17 weevils per trap inspection (Table 1). This is a reduction of over 98% in weevil numbers from levels trapped in the diapause control phase of the program in 1999.

Treatment data (Table 2) shows 5.19 treatments were applied per acre of cotton in the PB zone in 2000. This is a reduction in treatments from levels used in the diapause control phase of the program in 1999.

Impressive program progress was also made in the WHP zone in 2000. Only 0.68 weevils were caught per trap inspection, a reduction of over 96% from levels captured in the diapause control phase of the program in 1999 (Table 1).

WHP zone treatment data are shown in Table 2. The data show that 7.26 cumulative treatments were applied per acre of cotton in the zone in 2000, a slight reduction from the numbers of treatments applied during the diapause control phase of the program in 1999.

Several serious concerns were encountered in Texas boll weevil eradication zones in 2000. Farmers in some zones do not allow eradication personnel full vehicular access to all sides of cotton fields. This allows weevil infestations to go undetected and untreated gravely affecting program progress.

Mild winter weather and a hot dry summer provided favorable conditions for beet armyworm population development. Out breaks occurred during the 2000 growing season in areas of the Texas High Plains and Rolling Plains. Outbreaks were observed before eradication applications were made and they occurred in cotton fields both within eradication zones and outside eradication zones. Program personnel responded by increasing mid-season trap triggers. The goal was to limit applications in work units in which outbreaks were occurring such that not more than 10-15% of the acreage in these areas was treated. This was done to promote the development of populations of the natural enemies of beet armyworms. Care was taken to keep pressure on developing boll weevil populations while reducing malathion use during mid-season so that natural enemy populations might grow.

Supplies of malathion were short in 2000 due to production problems encountered by one of the manufacturers. The problem was solved by delivering malathion via airfreight and by carefully managing the distribution of the limited supply.

Summary

The Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Program with program guidance from our Board of Directors and zone Steering Committees; technical guidance from our Technical Advisory Committee and oversight from the Texas Department of Agriculture was able to build on the successful campaigns of past years with another successful campaign this year. In general, program progress met or exceeded our expectations on the 4.2 million acres of cotton under eradication in 2000.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Board of Directors of the Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Foundation for the support and personal sacrifices they have made toward the goal of elimination of the boll weevil from Texas cotton. We deeply appreciate the selfless service of Board Chairman Woody Anderson, Board Vice President and Quarantine Subcommittee Chairman Kenneth Gully, Board Secretary Tryne Mengers, Finance Subcommittee Chairman John Inman, Program Operations Oversight Subcommittee Chairman Larry Turnbough, Search Committee and Management Subcommittee Chairman James Brown, Insurance Subcommittee Chairman Craig Shook, Bylaws Subcommittee Chairman Don Parrish, and Board Members, Tommy Chapman, John Hunter, Weldon Melton, Carey Niehues, Hilton Nolen, Steve Patman, Vicki Davis Patchke, John Saylor, Donald Stolte, and Mike Wright.

We wish to thank the many producers who serve on our grower steering committees across the state, the many growers who support the program through payment of their assessments and the grower organizations that provide grower leadership, program inputs and political support for the program.

We thank state and federal legislators who have supported the program with legislative and financial assistance.

We thank Texas Department of Agriculture, Commissioner Susan Combs and the many dedicated TDA employees for the program oversight and program support that they have provided.

We are indebted to Bill Grefenstette, Osama El-Lissy, and Deborah McPartlan and others with USDA APHIS for their knowledge, guidance and assistance. We are especially indebted to Osama for the enormous investment of time, energy and courage he has made over the years to make the program in Texas and other states a success.

We thank Dr. James Coppedge, Dr. John Westbrook, Dr. Dale Spurgeon and many others with USDA ARS for providing research-based information to improve the efficiency of the program and for their program direction through the Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Foundation Technical Advisory Committee.

We thank Dr. Ray Frisbie and many others with Texas A&M Research and Extension for research-based information and for the guidance and knowledge they provide to us routinely and through their service on the Technical Advisory Committee. We are especially grateful for the effective role of Extension Entomologists and IPM Agents in communicating program activities to growers and others in the community and in communicating concerns to eradication personnel.

Finally, we wish to thank the many people who have worked as employees of the Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Foundation. We thank you for working the long hours every day until the job was done. We thank the Zone Managers for 2000 operations. Special thanks go to Edward Herrera, Joey Hogan, Terry Futch, David Murray, Larry Smith, Cleve Cleveland, Will Baucom, Randal Schwartz and Darrell Dusek. In addition, special thanks go to the Abilene based staff for their hard work and commitment to the field staff and to Texas cotton growers. And finally, special thanks go to the 350 regular employees and over 1,200 temporary employees that made the program work.

References

El-Lissy, O., Lindy Patton, Ray Frisbie, Tom Fuchs, Don Rummel, Roy Parker, Don Dippel, J.R. Coppedge, Gary Cunningham, Frank Carter, James Boston and Jack Hayes. 1998. Boll Weevil Eradication Update -

Texas, 1997. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Production and Research Conf. National Cotton Council of America. San Diego, CA. 1001-1006.

El-Lissy, O., Lindy Patton, Ray Frisbie, Tom Fuchs, Don Rummel, Roy Parker, Jeff Slosser, Don Dippel, J.R. Coppedge, Frank Carter, James Boston and Jack Hayes. 2000. Boll Weevil Eradication Update - Texas, 1999. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Production and Research Conf. National Cotton Council of America. San Antonio, TX. 1076-1082.

Hunter, W.D. and W.E. Hinds. 1905. The Mexican cotton boll weevil. U.S. Dept. of Agric. Bull. No. 51. pp. 181.

National Cotton Council of America. 1994. Boll Weevil Eradication: A National Strategy for Success.

Table 1. Annual average weevils caught per trap per week in active Texas boll weevil eradication zones.

Zone	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
SRP	7.87	2.07	1.17	0.04	0.0023	0.000091
RPC		16.94	12.00	0.532	0.131	0.029
ST/WG		13.93	17.75	2.29	1.54	1.23
EP/TP					0.25	0.0098
NRP					19.19	2.30
NWP					7.22	1.17
PB					10.85	0.17
WHP					18.16	0.68

Table 2. Annual average number of ULV malathion applications per acre in active Texas boll weevil eradication zones.

Zone	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
SRP	8.90	4.55	7.60	1.42	0.48	0.01
RPC		5.74	7.00	1.60	3.05	1.54
ST/WG		5.61	4.64	6.59	6.24	8.09
EP/TP					4.22	1.38
NRP					9.04	9.61
NWP					6.80	7.50
PB					7.65	5.19
WHP					8.95	7.26