# AN ESTIMATED 1999 TEXAS-OKLAHOMA PRE-SEASON PRICE SCHEDULE BASED ON MARKET HISTORY

Kevin Hoelscher, Kalyan Chakraborty, Sukant Misra and Don Ethridge Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics Texas Tech University Lubbock, TX

### **Abstract**

Having a source of timely and reliable market information is of the utmost importance to the cotton industry. Texas Tech University has developed a price schedule for upcoming cotton marketing years for the Texas and Oklahoma cotton marketing regions based on the market history of those regions. This schedule is meant to provide the industry with a means of examining the market history of cotton quality premiums and discounts for Texas and Oklahoma and serve as a pre-marketing year price discovery mechanism.

#### Introduction

The Daily Price Estimation System (DPES) is maintained and operated by the Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, Texas Tech University. The DPES is a computerized, econometric price analysis system which uses sales of cotton from electronic cotton markets to independently estimate and report prices and quality premiums and discounts for various quality combinations in Texas and Oklahoma producer markets. This system uses a set of computer programs and established statistical techniques to estimate cotton prices and quality premiums and discounts on a daily basis (Brown et al.; Brown and Ethridge).

### **Pre-Marketing Year Price Discovery**

Under the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) loan program, the CCC makes nonrecourse loans to producers based on a loan schedule which assigns loan levels for base quality with premiums and discounts for various quality deviations from that base. Over time, this loan schedule has come to be used for a variety of price determination purposes ranging from forward contract pricing to the allocation of dividend payments to members of marketing associations. This schedule has also been assumed to be a price discovery mechanism used by the industry to determine cotton prices for the upcoming marketing year. As such, this demonstrates the importance to the industry of having a means of examining the price structure of the market before the season

starts in order to facilitate a number of marketing functions such as forward contracting.

# **DPES Pre-Season Price Schedule**

Texas Tech University has developed a method of estimating a price schedule for upcoming marketing years based on market history. This schedule is meant to serve as an alternative pre-marketing year price discovery mechanism. This schedule is an extension of the work started by Carr and Ethridge in which the loan schedule used by the CCC was combined with DPES annual crop estimates (beginning in 1989) to create a price schedule which had been adjusted for the coming year using actual market history. This replaced the method of using the Daily Spot Cotton Quotations (DSCQ) to adjust the schedule, as they have been found to not accurately represent market prices in the Texas and Oklahoma cotton markets.

Beginning in 1989, a weighted average of prices by number of bales per region (West Texas and East Texas/Oklahoma) was taken for the first seven months of each crop year. These averages prices were then averaged with the 1989 CCC loan schedule to derive the adjusted 1990 DPES pre-season price schedule. The following year, the 1990 DPES price schedule was adjusted using a weighted average of prices for the first seven months of the 1990 crop year to derive the 1991 DPES pre-season price schedule. This method was used to adjust the DPES price schedule for each successive marketing year up to the current crop year (1999).

Although it is not possible to accurately forecast cotton prices or price movements for any forthcoming marketing year, the DPES pre-season price schedule does provide a means of examining prices, premiums and discounts based on actual market history which may be used to provide buyers and sellers with an overall picture of the cotton spot market in Texas and Oklahoma.

# **Conclusions**

Because of the importance of accurate price reporting to the cotton industry, participants in the marketplace should have access to a timely and reliable source of information which presents an accurate representation of the cotton market. Therefore, the DPES pre-season price schedule for Texas and Oklahoma will be calculated on a yearly basis and distributed on a request basis to the cotton industry so as to provide an alternative means of examining the market history of quality premiums and discounts.

#### Acknowledgements

Research Assistant, Associate Professor, and Professor, respectively, Department of Agricultural and Applied

Economics, Texas Tech University. The authors wish to acknowledge Plains Cotton Cooperative Association and DTN Cotnet for their cooperation in obtaining the data used for this research and Phillip Johnson, Eduardo Segarra, and Jeff Johnson for their input and assistance. This research is supported by Cotton Incorporated, the Texas State Support Committee, and the Committee for Cotton Research. Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics Cotton Economics Research Publication No. CER-99-54.

### References

Brown, J.E. and D.E. Ethridge. "Functional Form Model Specification: An Application to Hedonic Pricing." *Ag. and Res. Econ. Review*, Oct., 1995.

Brown, J.E., D.E. Ethridge, D. Hudson, and C. Engels. "An Automated Econometric Approach for Estimating and Reporting Daily Prices." J. Agr. and Applied Econ. 27 (2), Dec., 1995: 409-422.

Carr, C. and D.E. Ethridge. "Evaluation of CCC Loan Premiums and Discounts for the Texas and Oklahoma Cotton Market." Texas Tech University Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics Cotton Economics Research Publication No. CER-96-2.

	Color	Staple	26-29	30	31	32 noin	33	34	35	36	37+
_	Color SM &	Leaf Leaf 1-2	-718	-413	-225	-61	ts per pound 75	181	253	292	298
	better	3	-718	-429	-242	-79	57	162	235	273	279
	11 & 21	4	-793	-493	-308	-148	-14	90	161	200	205
		5	-895	-602	-422	-265	-135	-34	36	73	7
		6	-1036	-754	-580	-429	-304	-206	-139	-103	-9
		7	-1211	-942	-776	-632	-512	-419	-355	-321	-31
	MID	Leaf 1-2	-731	-426	-238	-75	61	166	239	278	284
	31	3	-746	-442	-255	-93	43	148	221	259	26
		4	-806	-506	-322	-161	-28	76	148	186	19
		5 6	-907	-614	-435	-279	-149	-47	22	59	6
		7	-1049 -1223	-766 -954	-593 -789	-443 -645	-317 -526	-220 -433	-153 -369	-117 -335	-11: -33:
	SLM	Leaf 1-2	-795	-954 -494	-789	-045 -149	-526	-433 89	161	199	20-
	41	3	-810	-510	-326	-166	-33	71	142	181	18
	41	4	-869	-573	-392	-234	-102	Base	70	108	11:
w		5	-969	-680	-503	-350	-221	-122	-53	-17	-13
н		6	-1108	-830	-660	-511	-388	-292	-226	-191	-18
ï		7	-1281	-1015	-852	-711	-593	-501	-438	-405	-40
Т	LM	Leaf 1-2	-906	-612	-432	-276	-146	-44	25	63	6
Е	51	3	-920	-628	-449	-293	-163	-62	7	45	5
		4	-977	-689	-512	-359	-231	-131	-63	-26	-2
		5	-1075	-794	-621	-472	-347	-250	-183	-148	-14
		6	-1211	-940	-774	-629	-509	-416	-351	-317	-31:
		7	-1379	-1120	-962	-824	-618	-620	-559	-526	-52
	SGO	Leaf 1-2	-1057	-774	-601	-451	-325	-228	-161	-125	-120
	61	3 4	-1071 -1126	-789 -848	-617 -679	-467 -531	-342 -408	-245 -312	-178 -246	-143 -211	-137 -206
			-1120	-949	-784	-639	-519	-426	-362	-328	-32
		5 6	-1352	-1090	-930	-792	-676	-586	-524	-491	-486
		7	-1513	-1264	-1111	-979	-868	-783	-724	-692	-688
	GO	Leaf 1-2	-1247	-977	-812	-669	-550	-457	-394	-360	-355
	71	3	-1261	-992	-828	-685	-566	-474	-410	-376	-372
		4	-1313	-1048	-887	-746	-628	-538	-475	-441	-437
		5	-1403	-1144	-987	-849	-735	-646	-585	-553	-548
		6	-1528	-993	-1127	-994	-884	-799	-740	-708	-70-
		7	-1682	-1444	-1299	-1173	-1067	-986	-930	-900	-89
	SM &	Leaf 1-2	-804	-505	-322	-162	-30	73	147	185	18
	better	3	-819	-522	-339	-180	-47	56	129	167	17
	12 & 22	4	-877	-584	-403	-247	-116	-15	57	95	9:
		5	-977	-690	-515	-362	-235	-136	-65	-29	-25
		6 7	-1116 -1287	-839 -1023	-670 -861	-523 -721	-400 -604	-304 -513	-237 -448	-202 -415	-198 -41
	MID	Leaf 1-2	-816	-518	-335	-176	-52	60	131	169	175
L	32	3	-832	-534	-352	-193	-61	42	113	151	156
ĭ	OL.	4	-890	-596	-417	-260	-130	-28	42	79	84
Ġ		5	-989	-703	-528	-375	-248	-149	-81	-45	-41
H		6	-1128	-852	-683	-536	-413	-318	-252	-217	-21
Т		7	-1299	-1035	-874	-734	-617	-526	-464	-430	-426
	SLM	Leaf 1-2	-879	-585	-404	-248	-117	-15	55	92	97
s	42	3	-894	-601	-421	-265	-134	-33	37	74	79
Р		4	-951	-662	-485	-331	-202	-103	-34	3	
0		5	-1049	-767	-594	-444	-319	-222	-155	-119	-11-
T		6	-1186	-914	-747	-603	-482	-388	-324	-289	-284
T E		7	-1355	-1095	-936	-798	-683	-594	-532	-499	-49
b	LM 52	Leaf 1-2	-987 1002	-700	-525 -541	-372 -389	-245	-146 -164	-77	-41 50	-36 -54
ט	52	3 4	-1002 -1057	-716 -776	-541 -603	-389 -453	-262 -328	-164 -232	-95 -164	-59 -128	-12
			-1153	-878	-710	-564	-442	-348	-282	-247	-24
		5 6	-1153	-1021	-859	-718	-601	-510	-446	-247 -413	-40
		7	-1451	-1198	-1043	-909	-796	-710	-649	-617	-61
	SGO	Leaf 1-2	-1135	-859	-690	-543	-421	-325	-260	-225	-22
	62	3	-1149	-874	-705	-559	-437	-342	-277	-242	-23
			-1203	-932	-766	-621	-501	-408	-343	-309	-30-
		4 5 6	-1295	-1030	-869	-728	-611	-519	-377	-423	-418
		6	-1424	-1168	-1012	-876	-764	-676	-615	-583	-57
		7	-1582	-1338	-1189	-1060	-952	-868	-811	-780	-776

1999 DPES Pre-Season Price Schedule for Texas-Oklahoma

BG indicates below grade cotto

199	9 DPES Pr		rice Schedule								
<u> </u>		Staple	26-29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37+
ь	Color	Leaf 1-2	-1022	-741	-569		ts per pound	-198	-131	-95	-90
SPOTTE	SM & better	Lear 1-2	-1022 -1037	-741 -756	-569 -585	-419 -436	-295 -312	-198 -216	-131 -148	-95 -113	-108
	13 & 23	4	-1092	-816	-646	-499	-377	-282	-216	-113	-176
	13 & 23	5	-1186	-916	-751	-608	-489	-396	-332	-298	-293
		6	-1317	-1057	-898	-760	-645	-556	-494	-461	-456
		7	-1479	-1231	-1079	-947	-837	-752	-693	-662	-657
	MID	Leaf 1-2	-1033	-752	-581	-431	-307	-210	-143	-108	-103
	33	3	-1048	-768	-597	-448	-324	-227	-161	-125	-120
		4	-1103	-827	-658	-511	-389	-294	-228	-194	-188
		5	-1197	-928	-763	-620	-500	-408	-344	-310	-305
		6	-1328	-1068	-909	-771	-656	-567	-506	-473	-468
		7	-1490	-1242	-1090	-958	-849	-764	-705	-674	-669
	SLM	Leaf 1-2	-1092	-816	-647	-499	-376	-281	-216	-181	-176
	43	3 4	-1106 -1161	-831 -889	-662 -723	-515 -502	-393 -458	-298 -364	-233 -300	-204 -265	-193 -260
		5	-1253	-988	-826	-685	-490	-477	-414	-380	-375
		6	-1383	-1127	-971	-835	-721	-634	-573	-541	-536
D		7	-1542	-1298	-1149	-1019	-911	-827	-770	-739	-734
-	LM	Leaf 1-2	-1194	-925	-760	-616	-497	-404	-340	-306	-301
	53	3	-1208	-939	-775	-632	-513	-421	-357	-323	-318
		4	-1261	-996	-834	-693	-576	-485	-422	-389	-384
		5	-1351	-1093	-935	-798	-683	-594	-533	-501	-496
		6	-1478	-1228	-1076	-943	-833	-748	-689	-657	-653
		7	-1633	-1395	-1250	-1123	-1018	-936	-880	-850	-846
	SGO	Leaf 1-2	-1334	-1074	-915	-777	-662	-572	-511	-478	-473
	63	3	-1347	-1088	-930	-792	-677	-588	-527	-494	-490
		4 5	-1398 -1485	-1143 -1236	-987 -1084	-851 -952	-738 -841	-650 -756	-590 -697	-558 -666	-553 -661
		6	-1465	-1236	-1064	-952 -1092	-986	-756	-847	-816	-812
		7	-1722	-1496	-1353	-1230	-1127	-1048	-995	-967	-964
Н	SM	Leaf 1-2	-1224	-989	-830	-691	-576	-487	-427	-395	-392
	24	3	-1233	-999	-840	-702	-587	-499	-438	-407	-404
		4	-1283	-1052	-895	-759	-646	-558	-499	-468	-465
		5	-1370	-1145	-992	-859	-748	-663	-605	-575	-572
l I		6	-1492	-1275	-1127	-998	-892	-810	-754	-725	-722
		7	-1645	-1438	-1297	-1174	-1073	-994	-941	-913	-910
	MID	Leaf 1-2	-1272	-1016	-859	-722	-608	-521	-459	-427	-422
ا ـ ا	34	3	-1286	-1030	-874	-737	-624	-537	-475	-443	-438
T		4 5	-1337 -1425	-1085 -1179	-931 -1028	-796 -897	-685 -788	-599 -704	-538 -645	-506 -614	-502 -609
N		6	-1425	-1179	-1164	-1038	-766	-704	-045 -795	-765	-760
G		7	-1670	-1448	-1307	-1184	-1083	-1005	-952	-924	-921
E	SLM	Leaf 1-2	-1327	-1074	-920	-785	-673	-586	-526	-494	-489
D	44	3	-1341	-1089	-934	-800	-688	-602	-542	-510	-505
		4	-1391	-1143	-991	-858	-748	-663	-604	-572	-568
		5	-1478	-1235	-1087	-957	-850	-767	-709	-679	-674
		6	-1598	-1364	-1221	-1096	-992	-912	-857	-827	-823
		7	-1713	-1493	-1354	-1233	-1134	-1057	-1004	-977	-974
	LM	Leaf 1-2	-1429	-1176	-1025	-970	-784	-700	-641	-610	-606
П	54	3	-1442	-1190	-1039	-984	-800	-715	-657	-626	-621
П		4	-1492	-1243	-1094	-1040	-858	-775	-717	-687	-682
		5 6	-1576	-1333	-1188	-1134	-957	-876	-820	-790	-786
		ь 7	-1694 -1791	-1459 -1575	-1319 -1439	-1266 -1387	-1096 -1224	-1018 -1149	-964 -1097	-935 -1071	-931 -1068
Mic	ronaire Diff		-1/91						ark Discou		-1000
Micronaire Differences Strength Premiums and Discounts Bark Discounts											

32 & Shorter
Points per pounc
-522
-366
-82
-0
-98
-199
-358
-600
-837 Mcronaire reading 92 & She
Mcronaire reading Points pe
5.3 and above
6.0 through 5.2
4.3 through 4.9
3.7 through 4.2
3.5 through 3.6
3.3 through 3.4
3.0 through 3.2
2.7 through 2.9
2.5 through 2.6
2.4 and below
BG indicates below grade cotton Points per pounc -285 -221 -161 -107 -58 0 64 -99 107 107 Strength
18.5-19.4
19.5-20.4
20.5-21.4
21.5-22.4
22.5-23.4
23.5-25.4
25.5-27.4
27.5-29.4
29.5-30.4
30.5 and ab Level 1 -176 -766