

# EFFECT OF HABITAT AND TEMPERATURE ON BOLL WEEVIL OVERWINTER SURVIVAL IN ARKANSAS

D. R. Johnson, M.P. Maret and L.M. Page  
Cooperative Extension Service, University of  
Arkansas  
Little Rock, AR

## Abstract

A field and a laboratory experiment were performed to evaluate factors that influence the overwinter survival of boll weevil, *Anthonomus grandis* Boheman, populations. Spring surveys of boll weevil densities were conducted as indicators of overwinter survival in 1994, 1995, 1996 and 1997 in four Arkansas counties. Approximately 1000 traps were placed adjacent to defined overwintering habitats near cotton fields. Traps near forested habitats consistently contained the highest average boll weevil catches among habitat types, while grassy field borders generally had the lowest mean trap captures. Trap captures near treeline and brushy field border habitats were moderate. Larger and more significant differences between habitat types occurred during springs following colder winters. In the laboratory experiment, diapause-conditioned boll weevils were subjected to freezing temperatures within containers submerged in a cold circulation bath and held for one to eight hours. Results showed that temperature, duration of exposure, moisture and substrate were significant factors in boll weevil mortality. Mortality increased with temperature reduction and exposure time. The presence of dry substrate significantly improved weevil survival over those in empty containers at -10.0 and -12.5°C, and over those in moist substrate at -5.0 to -12.5°C. Over 70% of weevils were able to survive temperatures of -2.5°C for eight hours, in either moist or dry substrate, while high (>75%) mortality occurred at -10°C or colder temperatures in moist substrate, even for short (1 hour) exposures. These results indicate that temperature and litter types within overwintering habitat microsites are important indicators of boll weevil survival.

## Introduction

The need for improved control and the boll weevil eradication program has stimulated efforts to predict boll weevil, *Anthonomus grandis* Boheman, winter survival patterns. Overwinter survival is important to understand because it largely determines the magnitude of early cotton field populations (Parajulee et al. 1996, Rummel and Carroll 1993, Fuchs and England 1989) especially in cotton production areas where boll weevil winter mortality is significant. These predictions could help focus strategic planning efforts for boll weevil control. The formation of

new strategies for boll weevil control can supplement or improve the cultural, mechanical, and chemical practices already being used to control this insect (El-Lissy and Myers, 1996).

Boll weevils spend the winter as diapausing adults in natural or man-made habitats near cotton fields (Brazzel and Newsom, 1959), preferably within deciduous litter layer (Beckman 1957, Fye et al. 1958, Rummel and Adkisson 1970) and emerge in the spring. Spring captures from Grandlure-baited traps are strong indicators of emerging boll weevil populations (Carroll and Rummel 1985).

Climatic factors, such as the severity of winter freezes, are important indicators of boll weevil winter survival and thus spring infestations (Pfrimmer and Merkl 1981, Gaines 1943, Bondy and Rainwater 1942). Many investigators have also found relationships between weevil survival and exposure to sub-freezing temperatures in laboratory tests (Sorenson and House 1995, Slosser et al. 1996, Sorenson et al. 1996, Sorenson and George 1996). The presence of moisture also influences boll weevil winter survival in freezing temperatures. In the relatively arid climate of the Texas rolling plains, greater winter rainfall is associated with increased survivorship (Price et al. 1985, Stone et al. 1990, Parajulee et al. 1996) apparently due to reduced freeze-drying affects. Dry, cold winter weather has also been highly lethal to Mississippi weevil populations (Pfrimmer and Merkl 1981). On the other hand, Taft and Hopkins (1966) reported that weevil mortality in South Carolina was highest under excessively moist conditions, and in southeast Missouri, over-winter survival was low in wet, poorly drained areas (Sorenson and George 1996).

The main objective of this study was to determine the relationship between sub-freezing temperatures, exposure time, and presence of moisture in leaf litter substrate and boll weevil survival. A second objective was to evaluate various habitat types as to their potential to provide protection to boll weevil from winter conditions. Investigators hypothesized that cold, wet conditions would increase the mortality rate of overwintering boll weevils and that favorable overwintering habitats could, therefore, provide some protection for increased survivorship.

## Methods

### Field Population Survey

A survey of overwintering boll weevils was conducted in four Arkansas counties (Craighead, Crittenden, Lonoke, and Mississippi) during the spring of 1994, 1995, 1996 and 1997. Approximately 1000 boll weevil pheromone traps were placed adjacent to defined overwintering habitats near cotton fields. Survey areas included approximately 28 square kilometers in each county. Defined habitat types included: (1) forest (2) tree line - large trees; (3) tree line - small trees; (4) field border - brush; (5) field border - grass. Traps were censused by counting and discarding trapped

weevils every two weeks from March to June. Occasionally, a trap would be down due to severe weather or farm machinery: this data was discarded. Trap data from Crittenden county in years 1996 and 1997 were not included in the analysis due to an initiation of a boll weevil control program in this area. Differences in spring trap capture associated with overwintering habitat type (blocked by census date) were tested using General Linear Regression Method (GLM). Habitat type means were separated using Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) (SAS Institute 1990).

### **Laboratory Survival Study**

Adult boll weevils were collected from pheromone traps near cotton fields or were allowed to emerge from cotton squares placed in plastic ventilated cages in the laboratory. All collections were made in September and early October 1997, in Lonoke County, Arkansas. Collected and newly emerged weevils were induced into a diapause state using techniques described by Slosser et al. (1996).

Boll weevil mortality patterns in sub-freezing temperatures (0, -2.5, -5.0, -7.5, -10.0, -12.5°C, and -15°C) were examined for three experimental substrate types and four duration of exposure. Weevils (n=20) were placed inside a 29.6 ml clear plastic cup with a paper lid. Cups were immersed into a circulating cold bath in a container attached to tops of cold baths (Forma Scientific Model 2067 CH/P, Forma Scientific, Marietta, OH) to obtain temperatures tested. A solution of equal parts ethylene glycol antifreeze and water was used as the cooling solution in the cold baths. Temperatures within the cups were verified using a thermocouple attached to an electronic data recorder (Stowaway™ XTI, Onset Computer Corporation, Pocasset, MA). Substrate types included moist leaves, dry leaves, and no leaves. Leaf fragments were placed the plastic cups for the moist and dry substrate treatments. Leaf fragments were collected from partially decomposed leaves (2-5 cm<sup>2</sup> fragments) beneath a nearby oak stand. Duration of exposure were 1, 2, 4, and 8 consecutive hours. Treatments at each temperature were replicated four times. Boll weevil survival was evaluated 16 to 24 hours (overnight) after cups were removed from the cold bath. Only individuals that were able to stand and walk were considered as having survived exposure to freezing temperature. Boll weevil mortality data were tested using a GLM test. Duration of exposure and interaction of main effects treatment means were separated using Fisher's protected LSD ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) (SAS Institute 1990).

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Field Population Survey**

Mean boll weevil trap catches were greatest in year 1995, followed by those of year 1994. Years 1996 and 1997 had relatively low weevil trap catches. These differences were probably due the severity of winter temperatures preceding the spring surveys: the winters of 1996 and 1997 were

relatively cold and severe, while the winter of 1995 was relatively warm. Boll weevil overwinter survival is generally high when winter temperatures are mild.

There was a significant spatial correlation between spring trap catches and overwintering boll weevil habitat types. Traps associated with forested habitats had the greatest number of boll weevils, significantly greater than those of other habitat types. The smallest trap catches were generally associated with grassy field borders, while tree lines and brushy field borders had moderate boll weevil densities (Table 1).

Forested habitats provided the most favorable habitat for overwintering boll weevils (as seen by greater spring trap catches near these areas), probably because of the relatively thick litter layer beneath the deciduous stand of trees. Boll weevils overwinter within the litter layer, where temperatures can be 10 to 20°C warmer than sub-freezing ambient temperatures. In contrast to leaf litter, light grass cover does not provide much insulation from freezing air (ambient and within-litter temperatures in a forested, treeline, and grassy areas measured with thermocouples attached to dataloggers, unpublished data). Although treeline habitats also have a layer of leaf litter, these linear habitats generally have less total area (thus fewer favorable microsites) than that of a forest. This shape difference may explain why spring weevil densities were lower near treeline than near forest habitats.

### **Laboratory Survival Study**

Temperature, substrate type, and length of exposure were all significant factors in boll weevil survival. Interactions between temperature, substrate and exposure time were also significant elements in mortality. Weevil mortality increased with temperature reduction and increased exposure time, and was greater in moist substrate than in dry substrate (Table 2).

At the warmest (0.0 and -2.5°C) temperatures tested, exposure time and substrate type were not significant factors of weevil mortality. Most (>70%) weevils were able to survive freezing temperatures of -2.5°C or higher for up to eight hours duration, even when exposed within moist substrate, and at -5.0°C in dry or no litter. Slosser et al. (1996) reported similar results, with over 90% of diapausing boll weevils surviving an eight-hour exposure to temperatures of -5°C or warmer. Although our mortality rates were about 5-20% higher than those of Slosser et al. (1996) were, these differences were probably due to the temperature measurement since our test measured temperatures directly in the cups and not on the machine monitor. In these tests, temperature probes indicated a 1.5 to 2.5 degree difference between the temperatures inside the cups and the cooling solution. Most other research has relied on the temperature recordings in the external cooling solution rather than the internal test area (Slosser et al. 1996). Sorenson and House (1995) reported greater survival

at this temperature in a similar study, with over 20% survival following a 1.5-hour exposure and three hours required for complete mortality.

Boll weevil mortality levels in empty cups were not significantly different from those in dry substrate, for the temperature exposures ranging from 0 to -7.5°C. However, at colder temperatures (-10 and -12.5°C), weevils in dry substrate had significantly greater survival than those exposed in empty cups. A few weevils survived the coldest temperatures, -15°C for one and two hour, but all weevils died in moist and no substrate (Table 2). These comparisons indicate that the dry substrate increased boll weevil survival at intermediate sub-freezing temperatures, probably due to a conductivity effect. This difference is important because several authors have reported boll weevil mortality estimates based upon laboratory results from cold exposures within empty containers (Slosser et al. 1996, Sorenson et al. 1996, Sorenson and George 1996, Sorenson and House 1995). However, in the field, weevils overwinter under a cover of plant litter (Bondy and Rainwater 1942). These results indicate that laboratory techniques used to measure weevil survival under field conditions at sub-freezing temperatures may result in extra-conservative estimates of weevil survival.

### **Summary**

Habitat type, leaf litter moisture, and length of freezing temperatures are important factors of overwinter weevil mortality in Arkansas. However, these factors are most important, when litter temperatures drop to -5.0°C or below when moist, or to -7.5°C in dry litter. Warmer temperatures result in high overall survival, while few weevils can survive a temperature drop to -15°C for even a short period of time. A leaf litter layer found in habitats, such as within a deciduous forest or treeline, maintain the ground environment measurably warmer than sub-freezing air, especially when the litter is dry. Therefore, greater winter severity (cold and wet) is required for significant weevil mortality within these habitats. The presence of moisture in habitat areas increases the mortality of boll weevil at sub-freezing temperatures and plays a key role in winter mortality. On the other hand, overwinter habitat quality may be somewhat less important for boll weevil survival during mild winters.

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors are grateful for the support of the Arkansas Cotton Support Committee and Cotton Incorporated in the effort to improve technology to manage the boll weevil. We also wish to thank the cotton producers of Arkansas for their cooperation and support of our work.

### **References**

- Beckman, C.M. 1957. Hibernation sites of the boll weevil in relation to a small Georgia Piedmont cotton field. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 50:833-834.
- Bondy, F.F. and C.F. Rainwater. 1942. Boll weevil hibernation, survival, and emergence under South Carolina conditions. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 35:495-498.
- Brazzel, J. R. and L. D. Newson. 1959. Diapause in *Anthonomus grandis* Boh. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 52:603-611.
- Carroll, S.C. and D.R. Rummel. 1985. Relationship between time of boll weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) emergence from winter habitat and response to Grandlure-baited pheromone traps. *Environ. Entomol.* 14:447-451.
- El-Kissy, O. and F. Myers. 1996. Boll weevil eradication status in Texas. *Proceedings Beltwide Cotton Production Research Conferences.* pp. 831-837
- Fuchs, T.W. and A. England. 1989. Winter habitat sampling for overwintering boll weevils as a component of an integrated pest management program. *Southwest. Entomol.* 14:265-269.
- Fye, R.E., W.W. McMillian, R.L. Walker, and A.R. Hopkins. 1958. The distance into woods along a cotton field at which the boll weevil hibernates. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 52:310-312.
- Gaines, R.C. 1943. Relation between winter temperatures, boll weevil survival, summer rainfall, and cotton yields. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 36:82-84.
- Parajulee, M.N., L.T. Wilson, D.R. Rummel, S.C. Carroll, and P.J. Trichilo. 1996. Climatic data-based analysis of boll weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) overwintering survival and spring emergence. *Environ. Entomol.* 25:882-894.
- Pfrimmer, T.R. and M.E. Merkl. 1981. Boll weevil: winter survival in surface woods trash in Mississippi. *Environ. Entomol.* 10:419-423.
- Price, J.R., J.E. Slosser, and G.J. Puterka. 1985. Factors affecting survival of boll weevils in winter habitat in the Texas Rolling Plains. *Southwest. Entomol.* 10:1-6.
- Rummel, D.R. and P.L. Adkisson. 1970. Distribution of boll weevil infested cotton fields in relation to overwintering habitats in the high and rolling plains of Texas. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 63:1901-1910.

Rummel, D.R., and S.C. Carrol. 1993. Biological basis for a boll weevil winter survival and spring emergence model. Proceedings Beltwide Cotton Conferences. pp. 932-934.

SAS Institute. 1990. SAS Users Guide, Release 6.11 Edition. Cary, NC. SAS Institute Inc.

Slosser, J.E., R. Montandon, D.R. Rummel, L.T. Wilson, and T.W. Fuchs. 1996. Survival of diapausing and nondiapausing boll weevils (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) subjected to freezing temperatures. Environ. Entomol. 25:407-415.

Sorenson, C.E. and J. House. 1995. Winter habitat microclimate and winter cold tolerance of boll weevils in Missouri; a preliminary report. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf. pp. 1025-1028.

Sorenson, C.E. and M. George. 1996. Survival of boll weevils exposed to freezing temperatures with and without ice nucleators. Proceedings Beltwide Cotton Conferences. pp. 969-972.

Sorenson, C.E., Parker, and M.B. Layton. 1996. Relative cold tolerance of boll weevils from Missouri, Mississippi, and coastal Texas. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf. pp. 715-717.

Stone, N.D., D.R. Rummel, S.C. Carroll, M.E. Makela and R.E. Frisbie. 1990. Simulation of boll weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) spring emergence and overwintering survival in the Texas rolling plains. Environ. Entomol. 19: 91-98.

Taft, H.M. and A.R. Hopkins. 1966. Effects of different hibernation environments on survival and movement of the boll weevil. J. Econ. Entomol. 59:277-279.

Table 1. Mean spring boll weevils trap captures from defined habitat types in Arkansas for four years. Mean trap catches within columns sharing the same letter were statistically indistinguishable (Fisher's protected LSD,  $\alpha=0.05$ ).

Habitat Type	Year (Survey Period - March through June)				
	1994	1995	1996	1997	All years
Forest	20.95 a	46.33 a	9.33 a	8.26 a	24.80 a
Tree line - large trees	8.50 c	31.30 b	6.02 b	4.77 b	14.39 b
Tree line - small trees	10.43 b	30.00 bc	3.13 cd	3.66 c	13.66 bc
Field border - brush	8.22 c	26.28 cd	3.49 c	4.14 bc	12.71 c
Field border - grass	5.84 d	21.82 d	1.92 d	3.67 c	9.73 d

Table 2. Mean boll weevil mortality (%) following exposure to sub-freezing temperatures in no substrate, dry leaf litter substrate and moist leaf litter substrate and four exposure times during 1997+1998. Substrate type means (for all four exposures) sharing the same letter were statistically indistinguishable (Fisher's protected LSD,  $\alpha=0.05$ ). Exposure time means (for all three substrate types) sharing the same letter were statistically indistinguishable ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).

Exposure Time (hours)	Leaf Substrate Type			
	None	Dry	Moist	All Types**
<i>Temperature = 0.0°C</i>				
1	9.2	13.8	9.2	8.5a
2	8.3	10.9	6.4	10.5a
4	12.5	16.0	2.9	10.7a
8	12.6	14.2	10.6	12.3a
All Exposures*	13.7b	10.6ab	7.1a	
<i>Temperature = -2.5°C</i>				
1	14.1	22.0	3.8	13.3a
2	17.5	17.6	10.5	13.7a
4	17.5	10.3	13.3	15.2a
8	9.6	12.5	24.8	15.6a
All Exposures*	14.7a	15.6a	13.1a	
<i>Temperature = -5.0°C</i>				
1	20.5	19.2	33.1	24.3a
2	34.2	18.2	45.1	32.5ab
4	26.5	27.4	62.9	38.9ab
8	31.5	23.8	71.9	42.4b
All Exposures*	28.2a	22.2a	53.3b	
<i>Temperature = -7.5°C</i>				
1	22.9	18.6	40.9	27.5a
2	27.0	36.3	68.0	43.8ab
4	33.6	21.7	96.5	50.6b
8	48.6	34.4	99.3	59.1b
All Exposures*	33.0a	27.8a	75.4b	
<i>Temperature = -10.0°C</i>				
1	55.0	34.3	77.1	55.5a
2	63.8	40.0	100.0	68.4b
4	100.0	77.8	100.0	92.6c
8	100.0	90.8	100.0	96.9c
All Exposures*	79.7b	60.7a	94.7c	
<i>Temperature = -12.5°C</i>				
1	83.8	42.4	96.2	74.1a
2	99.4	92.5	100.0	97.3b
4	100.0	90.6	100.0	97.3b
8	100.0	99.4	100.0	99.8b
All Exposures*	95.8b	81.2a	99.4b	
<i>Temperature = -15.0°C</i>				
1	100.0	87.4	100.0	95.8a
2	100.0	96.1	100.0	98.7a
4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0a
8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0a
All Exposures*	100.0a	95.9a	100.0a	

\*Substrate type means (for all four exposures) sharing the same letter were statistically indistinguishable ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).

\*\*Exposure time means (for all three substrate types) sharing the same letter were statistically indistinguishable ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).