EVALUATION OF CALCIUM SOIL CONDITIONERS IN AN IRRIGATED COTTON PRODUCTION SYSTEM

J.R. Griffin, J.C. Silvertooth and E.R. Norton
Cooperative Extension-Cotton, University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ

Abstract

In 1996 a single field experiment was conducted at Paloma Ranch, west of Gila Bend in Maricopa County, Arizona. Nucoton 33B was dry planted and watered-up on 15 April. Treatments consisted of various rates and times of application of nitrogen (N) and calcium (Ca) from two sources (N-Cal™ and CAN™ -17), as well as a standard N source, UAN-32, along with a Ca check, which received no Ca. Treatments1, 2,and 3 each received a total of 280 lbs. N/acre. Treatment 4 received a total of 210 lbs. N/acre while treatment 5 received a total of 301 lbs. N/acre. Treatment 1 was a standard for reference and received only applications of UAN-32. Treatments 2 and 4 each received a total of 72 lbs. of Ca/acre. Treatment 5 is the same as treatment 2 except that it received a total of 79 lbs. Ca/acre that included a 7 lbs. Ca/acre at water up. Treatment 3 received a total of 300 lbs. Ca/acre. No significant differences were found among the various treatments in terms of plant growth, soil water content, EC_e values, and sodium absorption ratios. Lint yields were significantly different ($P<0.07$).

Introduction

Soils in the desert Southwest have long been associated with saline and/or sodic conditions that can cause difficulties in water penetration as well as interfering with soil-plant relationships. These soils have long been the focus of specific management techniques to control and manage sodium (Na) problems.

Sodic soils are generally defined as those soils which contain an exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) of 15% or greater. They can also be characterized as having a Na absorption ratio (SAR_e) from a saturated extract of 13 or greater. Soils high in Na are inclined to have water penetration and infiltration problems due to the dispersion of clay particles within the soil (Yousaf et.al. 1987; Amezketa and Aragues, 1995). Dispersion of clay particles forces them to be transported into pore spaces that were previously available for water penetration and infiltration. Sealing of soil pores produces a crusting problem that can inhibit seedling emergence and growth. Sodic conditions cannot be corrected with additional irrigation (leaching) applications alone, in fact, the problem may be exacerbated by applying additional water, primarily if it is high in Na. Leaching of a sodic soil can remove the divalent cation Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} from the soil profile and root zone leaving the monovalent cation Na^+. Calcium and Mg are the primary elements that contribute to soil flocculation with Na^+ causing dispersion in a soil. Sodium causes dispersion of a soil primarily because of its large hydrated radius, as compared to Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+} and potassium (K^+). The large hydrated radius of Na^+ forces the clay particles apart creating a dispersed soil condition.

Saline soils are defined as a non-sodic soil that contain sufficient soluble salts to impair plant growth and productivity (Brady, 1974). Saline soils generally are found to have an electrical conductivity (EC_e) of 4 mmhos/cm or greater from a saturated extract. Saline conditions are easier to correct as compared to sodic or saline-sodic soils. Leaching can be an effective treatment of a saline condition.

A further problem associated with irrigation is the increase in pH as a result of the introduction of anhydrous ammonia based N fertilizers into the irrigation water. The increase in pH can cause the flocculating ions, Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}, to precipitate with bicarbonate (HCO_3^-) leaving Na^+ in the irrigation water. The application of this water has the potential to effectively raise the ESP and the SAR of the soil. If the soil is at a marginal limit with respect to Na^+ concentrations, continued use of this practice can create a situation in which the soil is pushed beyond its capabilities to contend with the increasing Na^+. This, in turn, can cause a sodic condition that can be difficult to manage.

There are several traditional treatments used to correct sodicity problems in soils. One approach involves the addition of gypsum ($CaSO_4 \bullet 2H_2O$). Gypsum tends to increase the levels of Ca^{2+} in the soil that can then exchange with the Na^+ to form sodium sulfate (Na_2SO_4), which can be leached from the soil profile. This addition of Ca^{2+} lowers the SAR and ESP and contributes to the exchange and leaching of soil Na^+.

Another common treatment is the use of elemental sulfur (S). Elemental S, when oxidized by soil microbes and combined with water, reacts to become sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4), which reacts with naturally occurring calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$), releasing free Ca^{2+}. This free Ca^{2+} can exchange for Na^+ creating Na_2SO_4 that can be leached from the soil. Sulfuric acid can also be added to the irrigation water directly. When adding elemental S or H_2SO_4, not only is Na converted to a leachable form but the pH is also lowed via the release of hydrogen (H^+) into the soil.

It has been demonstrated that the plant available Ca^{2+} is highly independent of the amount of calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$). The amount of exchangeable Ca^{2+} in soils is significantly correlated with the amount of available Ca^{2+} in soils. However, $CaCO_3$ is found to be poorly available to plants regardless of its source (Flocker and Fuller, 1956).

Reprinted from the *Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference*
Volume 1:645-649 (1998)
National Cotton Council, Memphis TN

Thus, soils with high amounts of $CaCO_3$, desert soils, do not provide an adequate source of Ca^{2+} for exchange of Na^+.

Along with the conventional methods of treating sodic and saline conditions, there has been an increasing emergence of synthetic polymers. The synthetic polymers include polyacrylamide (PAM), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), polymaleic anhydride (PMA) and polysaccharides.

N-Cal™ (18-0-0-6) was introduced as an alternative to synthetic polymers, gypsum and elemental sulfur for use as a soil conditioner. N-Cal™ supplies a plant available form of N as well as Ca^{2+} to the plant. N-Cal™ uses a soluble form of Ca^{2+} ($CaCl_2$) in an attempt to decrease the SAR, ESP and EC of the soil system. The amendment also attempts to improve flocculation and reduce clay dispersion of the soil system by replacing Na^+ on the soil clay colloid exchange sites with Ca^{2+}. By creating a more flocculated soil system, a more hydraulically conductive system is created.

The objectives of this study were to observe volumetric water content (θ_v) by depth with varied rates of applied $Ca^{2+}$and observe lint yield differences among various treatments of Ca^{2+}.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was planted with an Upland cotton variety (Nucoton 33B) on a Wellton sandy loam soil at Paloma Ranch, AZ (field 24D2) on 14 April 1996. The cotton in this experiment was dry planted then watered up on 15 April 1996. The experimental design of the project was a five treatment, randomized complete block with four replications. The plots were eight 36-inch rows extending the full length of the irrigation run, approximately 1200 feet from South (head) to North (tail). A pre-season and post-season soil sample was collected for each treatment on 12 April and 20 December respectively (Table 4 and 5). A surface soil sample (approx. top 2 inches) was also obtained on 16 June 1996 (Figures 2 and 3).

Table 1 and 2 lists application dates and rates for all treatments. For treatments 2, 4, and 5, N-Cal™ was used as the primary N source until approximately 72 lbs. Ca/acre had been applied. UAN-32 (urea, ammonium, and nitrate 32-0-0) was used thereafter to meet crop N demands. Treatment 5 was similar to treatment 2, but received an application of N-Cal™ with the water-up irrigation that resulted in an additional 21 and 7 lbs of N and Ca/acre, respectively. For treatment 3, CAN ($Ca(NO_3)_2$) -17 (17-0-0-24) was used as the primary N source. In order to meet crop N demands approximately 300 lbs. Ca/acre was applied. Treatment 1 received no Ca and was fertilized only with UAN-32. All applications were water-run in the irrigation stream.

Routine plant measurements for each experimental plot were performed on a regular basis at approximately 14-day intervals throughout the season. Plant measurements taken included: plant height, number of mainstem nodes, number of flowers per 50 feet of row, percentage canopy closure, and the number of nodes from the top fresh flower to the terminal (NAWF). Petioles were also obtained for nitrate-N (NO_3-N) analysis. The petioles were collected at the same time as plant measurements were made.

Soil water measurements were also taken routinely directly preceding and directly following an irrigation event. Volumetric water measurements were taken from all plots with a neutron probe at one-foot intervals from the surface down to a depth of five feet.

Surface soil samples were taken to a depth of approximately 2 inches on 19 June 1996. These surface soil samples were evaluated on the water side, seed row and dry sides of the beds for exchangeable Na percentage and EC_e (Figures 2 and 3).

The crop was irrigated until 1 October 1996. The entire area of study was defoliated on 1 November 1996 and the plots were harvested with a mechanical picker on 11 December 1996.

Lint yield determinations were made for all treatments with in the replications with portable scales. The lint yields for each treatment within each replication were combined to provide a total lint yield by treatment for the entire study.

Results and Discussion

Plant growth and development patterns for all treatments are shown in Figure 1 (A, B, and C). The center line in all figures represents an optimal baseline for cotton in Arizona, with the upper and lower lines representing the upper and lower threshold conditions (Silvertooth et al., 1996). Low plant vigor (HNR) was observed throughout the entire season in all treatments (Figure 1B). This is common for Na^+ affected soils where osmotic differential diverts energy from plant growth. However, fruit retention (FR) patterns remained near optimum levels throughout most of the season (Figure 1A). Petiole NO_3^--N levels were very similar among the different treatments over then entire season (Figure 1C). However, there was a substantial drop in NO_3^--N levels at approximately 1600 HUAP. This was consistent for all the treatments and indicated an early plant cut-out. This is also a very common occurrence for cotton in Na^+ affected soils.

Figures 4 - 7 present soil water data for four sampling dates immediately following an irrigation event. Analysis of variance performed on this data at each date did not reveal any significant differences among treatments with regard to soil water content at any depth. This would indicate that there were no differences among the treatments ($P< 0.05$) in terms of water penetration. Another interesting observation from this data is the apparent linear increase in soil water content from the surface to the lower portions of the profile. This would indicate that there is sufficient and rapid drainage from the surface portions of the profile but that there might be some type of impedance to subsurface drainage leading to the observed accumulation of water in the lower portions of the profile. This observation appears to be independent of treatment and is most likely due to general soil conditions, which contain the presence of a caliche layer.

A gradient of increasing yields was observed across the study area from East to West. This observation is most likely attributable to a high degree of inherent soil variability and replication effect. This was the reason for the experimental design of 4 replications each with the 5 treatments. Yields were significantly higher for treatments 4 and 5 relative to 1 and 3 (P=0.07) (Table 2). In general, EC_e and ESP values were slightly lower at the end of the season relative to the pre-season (Tables 3 and 4) samples. Treatment 5 showed the greatest change in EC_e and ESP. Treatment 5 values lowered significantly for both EC_e and ESP. Treatment 5 also showed the highest yields. This is believed to be due to the water-up treatment of N-Cal™ that moved Na^+ away from the emerging seedling thus increasing early plant vigor. In light of only one site-year of data, the effectiveness of N-Cal™ can not be determined because the results are somewhat inconclusive at this time. This study was conducted in 1997 in an attempt to more clearly identify treatment effects on soil conditions, plant growth and crop yield.

Acknowledgment

The assistance provided by PFI Corporation, Paloma Ranch and the University of Arizona Cotton Agronomy Research Assistants is greatly appreciated.

References

Amezketa, E., and R. Aragues. 1995. Hydraulic conductivity, dispersion, and osmotic explosion I arid-zone soils leached with electrolyte solutions. Soil Sci. 159:287-293.

Brady, N.C. 1984. Soil Reaction: Acidity and alkalinity. p. 189-222. *In* The nature and properties of soils. Macmillan Publ. Co., New York.

Flocker, W.J., and W.H. Fuller. 1956. Availability of Calcium in Calcareous Soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 387-391.

Silvertooth, J.C., E.R. Norton, and P.W. Brown. 1996. Cotton growth and development patterns. P. 75-97. Cotton, Univ. of Arizona Rep. P-103.

Yousaf, M., O.M. Ali., and J.D. Rhoades. 1987. Clay dispersion and hydraulic conductivity of some salt-affected arid land soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 51:905-907.

Table 1. Treatment application dates and rates, Paloma Ranch, 1996.

	Treatment				
Date	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
	lbs. N/acre				
12 April					21
9 June	70	70	70	70	70
26 June	70	70	70	70	70
15 July	35	35	35	35	35
29 July	35	35	35	35	35
9 Aug	35	35	35	0	35
17 Aug	35	35	35	0	35
Total	**280**	**280**	**280**	**210**	**301**

Table 2. Treatment application dates and rates, Paloma Ranch, 1996

	Treatment				
Date	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
	lbs. Ca/acre				
12 April					7
9 June	0	24	100	24	24
26 June	0	24	100	24	24
15 July	0	12	50	12	12
29 July	0	12	50	12	12
9 Aug	0	0	0	0	0
17 Aug	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**0**	**72**	**300**	**72**	**79**

Table 3. Lint yields for each treatment Paloma Ranch, 1996.

Treatment	lbs. Lint/acre
5	1627 a*
4	1578 a
2	1567 ab
3	1547 b
1	1530 b
LSD**	NS
OSL†	0.0661
C.V.(%)‡	2.76

* Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to a Fisher's LSD
** Least Significant difference
† Observed Significance Level
‡ Coefficient of Variation

Table 4. Pre-season soil samples taken at Paloma Ranch (Field 24D-2) on 12 April 1996.

Sample #	**pH (1:1 $_2$O)**	**Ca* (ppm)**	**Na (ppm)**	**EC_e (mmhos/cm)**	**ESP§**
Trmt. 1	8.3	7300	610	4.7	5.90
Trmt. 2	8.0	7300	600	5	5.80
Trmt. 3	8.2	7500	580	4.6	5.50
Trmt. 4	8.2	7600	590	4.7	5.50
Trmt. 5	8.1	7400	650	6	6.10

* Exchangeable cations using neutral molar ammonium acetate.
§ Computed - exchangeable sodium percentage.

Table 5. Post-season soil samples taken at Paloma Ranch (Field 24D-2) on 20 December 1996.

Sample #	pH (1:1 $_2$O)	Ca* (ppm)	Na (ppm)	EC_e (mmhos/cm)	ESP§
Trmt. 1	8.8	7000	510	3.8	5.2
Trmt. 2	8.7	6900	520	3.4	5.3
Trmt. 3	8.2	7200	610	4.0	6
Trmt. 4	8.2	7200	540	3.8	5.3
Trmt. 5	8.3	7200	520	3.6	5.2

* Exchangeable cations using neutral molar ammonium acetate.
§ Computed - exchangeable sodium percentage.



Figure 1. Data summaries for Paloma Ranch N-Cal study; A) fruit retention B) height to node ratios, and C) petiole nitrate-N concentrations.



Figure 2. Exchangeable sodium percentage for each treatment across seed bed, Paloma Ranch, 19 June, 1996.



Figure 3. Electrical conductivity results for each treatment across seed bed, Paloma Ranch, 19 June, 1996.



Figure 4. Soil Moisture Content (%) results for each treatment, Paloma Ranch, 28 May 1996.



Figure 5. Soil Moisture Content (%) results for each treatment, Paloma Ranch, 28 June 1996.



Figure 6. Soil Moisture Content (%) results for each treatment, Paloma Ranch, 31 July 1996.



Figure 7. Soil Moisture Content (%) results for each treatment, Paloma Ranch, 06 August 1996.