BIOLOGY, ECOLOGY, AND HOST PLANTS OF LYGUS LINEOLARIS AND LYGUS HESPERUS P.B. Goodell Statewide IPM Project, Cooperative Extension Univ. Calif. Kearney Agricultural Center Parlier, CA

Abstract

Lygus sp. is a key pest throughout the cotton belt, attacking fruiting buds prior to flower. While the severity of the problem is dictated by seasonal conditions, these insects are present every year. A review of the literature indicates that *L. lineolaris* and *L. hesperus* are similar in their habitats and ecological niche. Regional differences are key in determining the degree of severity which they cause a cotton crop.

Background

Lygus lineolaris and L. hesperus attack the growing tip and young buds of many plants. In addition to cotton, it is a pest in strawberries, tree fruit, seed crops, and edible beans. Since 1979, Lygus has been the second or third most destructive cotton insect pest in 9 of 18 years reported (Williams, 1997a). While L. lineolaris is reported to be the most widely distributed species in North America, in the San Joaquin Valley L. hesperus is the key pest in cotton. The amount of yield loss attributable to Lygus varies between regions and years (Table 1). For the cotton belt in 1996, yield losses due to Lygus sp. ranged between no loss to 4.65% of the crop (Williams, 1997b). This pest is capable of causing severe loss such as those occurring in 1978 in the San Joaquin Valley. Leigh *et al* (1996) provide a general review of Lygus biology and ecology.

Biology and Life Cycle

Lygus overwinter as adults and move from ground cover protection to a variety of host plants in spring. In alfalfa, five to seven generations per year will occur but only three can develop in cotton (Leigh *et al*, 1996). The number of generations occurring in spring on non-crop hosts is determined by the amount of heat available for development. Developmental thresholds for *L. hesperus* range from 46.4° (Champlain and Butler, 1967) to 53.6° (Cave and Gutierrez, 1983) and about 50° F is reported for *L. lineolaris* (Fleischer and Gaylor, 1988). As day length decreases to less than 9 hours, premature *L. hesperus* adults enter into an arrested development or sexual diapause (Beards and Strong, 1966).

Reprinted from the Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference

Volume 2:949-951 (1998) National Cotton Council, Memphis TN

Host Plants

L. lineolaris and *L. hesperus* have a wide host range and have been well reported (Scott, 1977; Young, 1986). The former has reported hosts of 328 while *L. hesperus* is reported with 110 hosts recordings. When the hosts are grouped into families, similarities are striking (Table 2). Asteraceae and Fabaceae account for 37% and 38% of the hosts *for L. lineolaris* and *L. hesperus*, respective. Another 13% and 13.5% of the total hosts can also be claimed by similar families for both species. Thus 50% and 51.5% of reported hosts are shared by four plant families. These data are taken from the literature which were reported over a number of years by numerous reporters (Scott, 1977; Young, 1986). Many of these plants represent colonizers of disturbed habitats which if left undisturbed could not compete with later successionist plants.

Factors Important in Lygus Outbreaks

Cotton is not a preferred host of Lygus but in the absence of other hosts will suffice to support a population. Lygus migrations occur when hosts are destroyed or become unsuitable (Stern, 1969). In California's Mediterranean climate, few hosts survive beyond June and most Lygus movement from wild areas occur between April and May. Winter rainfall patterns set the stage for the abundance, diversity, and longevity of hosts coupled with the amount of area suitable for the colonization. Early winter rains tend to produce grass years in the surrounding hills while late winter rains favor broad leaf hosts suitable for Lygus such as filaree and clovers (George et al, 1988). Abundant rainfall in spring provides deep moisture for Russian thistle, extending this host into mid-summer. In years when moisture is adequate to extend the host plants into June or July, additional generations build creating severe Lygus migrations.

The San Joaquin Valley produces over 200 crops throughout the entire year. Alfalfa hay represents a substantial portion of cropland which *Lygus* inhabit and prefer to cotton. Many crops such as sugar beets, seed alfalfa, tomatoes, and weeds within orchards, vineyards, and row crops provide areas of refuge during the winter and spring, regardless of weather conditions. As these crops are readied for harvest, *Lygus* will be forced to migrate (Stern, 1969). Thus the proximity of cotton to these sources will determine the severity of the problem.

The situation in rain-fed areas of the Cotton Belt are not dissimilar. Cotton is not preferred host over many summer weeds and will remain on them unless forced to move (Snodgrass et al, 1984). Destruction of habitat through cultivation or drought will result in movement to cotton, a situation identical to the San Joaquin Valley.

Thus with both species of *Lygus* a regional management approach could be successful. The severity of the problem

in a particular location or year is determined by sources of migrations and the lack of sinks other than cotton. Managing sources and providing sinks are not new suggestions but have been proposed for interplanting alfalfa (Stern, 1969), maintaining alfalfa habitat (Stern et al, 1967), managing neighboring sources with insecticides (Sevacharian et al, 1977), and managing weed hosts with insecticides (Fleischer and Gaylor, 1987). In addition, reducing the winter and spring population increase would mean reducing the amount of disturbed areas in which the favored hosts will develop.

References

Beards, G.W. and F.E. Strong. 1966. Photoperiod in relation to diapause in *Lygus hesperus* Knight. Hilgardia 37:345-362.

Champlain, R. A. and G. D. Butler, Jr. 1967. Temperature effects on development of the egg and nymphal stages of *Lygus hesperus* (Hemiptera: Miridae). Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer. 60: 519-521.

Fleischer, S.J. and M.J. Gaylor, 1987. Seasonal abundance of L. lineolaris and selected predators in early season uncultivated hosts: implications for managing movement into cotton. Environ. Entomol. 16:379-389.

Fleischer, S.J. and Gaylor, M.J. 1988. *Lygus lineolaris* (Heteroptera: Miridae) population dynamics: nymphal development, life tables, and leslie matrices on selected weeds and cotton. Environmental Entomology 17 (2):246-253.

George, M.R., K. Olson, and J.W. Menke. 1988. Range weather: a comparison of three California range research stations. California Agriculture: January-February 1988: 30-32.

Head, R.B. 1990. Cotton insect losses. Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conferences, pp. 157-162.

Leigh, T.F., S.H. Roach, and T.F. Watson. 1996. Biology and Ecology of Important Insect and Mite Pests of Cotton. In: Cotton Insects and Mites: Characterization and Management. Number Three. The Cotton Foundation Reference Book Series. E.C. King, J.R. Phillips, and R.J. Coleman, editors.

Scott, D.R. 1977. An annotated list of host plants for *L. hesperus* Knight. Bulletin of the Entomological Society of America 23:19-22.

Sevacherian, V., V. M. Stern, and A. J. Mueller. 1977. Heat accumulation for timing *Lygus* control measures in a safflower-cotton complex. J. Econ. Entomol. 70: 399-402. Snodgrass, G.L., W.P. Scott, and J.W. Smith. 1984. Host plants and seasonal distribution of tarnished plant bug (Hemiptera:Miridae) in the Delta of Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi. Environmental Entomology 13:110-116.

Stern, V.M. 1969. Interplanting alfalfa in cotton to control *Lygus* and other insect pests. Proc. Tall Timbers Conf. on Ecol. Animal Control. Number I.

Stern, V.M., R. van den Bosch, T.F. Leigh, O.D. McCutcheon, W.R. Sallee, C.E. Houston, M.J. Garber. 1967. *Lygus* Control by Strip Cutting Alfalfa. UC Agricultural Extension Service, Bulletin AXT-241. 13 pp.

Williams, W.R. 1997b. Cotton losses 1996. Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conferences, Vol. 2:834-853.

Williams, W.R. 1997a. Cotton losses 1979-1996. Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conferences, Vol. 2:854-856.

Young, O.P. 1986. Host plants for tarnished plant bug, *L. lineolaris* (Heteroptera:Miridae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 79: 747-762.

Table 1. Estimated yield loss caused by Lygus sp. for 1989 and 1996.

State	% Yield reduction	
	1989	1996
Alabama	1.70	0.75
Arkansas	0.06	2.24
Arizona	0.41	4.75
California	7.65	1.40
Florida	0.01	0.05
Georgia	0.09	0.00
Louisiana	0.39	0.89
Mississippi	1.23	1.68
Missouri	0.00	0.05
North Carolina	0.00	0.00
New Mexico	0.42	0.17
Oklahoma	0.00	0.00
South Carolina	0.03	0.00
Tennessee	0.16	0.69
Texas	0.01	0.05
Virginia	0.00	0.00
All States	0.76	0.79

Head, 1990 and Williams, 1997b

Table 2. Percent of hosts reported by family.

Family	L. lineolaris	L. hesperus
Asteraceae	26.17	23.42
Fabaceae	11.01	15.32
Brassicaceae	7.70	6.31
Graminae	5.23	7.20
Chenopodiaceae	3.31	6.31
Plantaginaceae	1.10	7.21
Rosaceae	5.79	5.00
Others	39.69	29.00

Scott, 1977 and Young, 1986.