# TOXICITY OF ACEPHATE, CYPERMETHRIN, AND OXAMYL TO TARNISHED PLANT BUGS IN VIAL BIOASSAYS AND CAGE STUDIES ON COTTON

J. H. Pankey, B. R. Leonard,
J. B. Graves, and E. Burris;
Research Assistant, Associate Professor,
Professor, and Assistant Professor; respectively,
LSU Agricultural Center,
Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station
Baton Rouge, LA

### **Abstract**

Tarnished plant bugs were collected from various hosts and locations throughout Louisiana to monitor for tolerance to a carbamate, organophos-phate, and pyrethroid insecticide. Insects were tested using the residual film vial bioassay. Field tests also were conducted with the same insecticides by caging tarnished plant bugs on treated cotton terminals. Tarnished plant bugs collected April through July of 1994 and 1995 usually had significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub> values (4-37x) than collections from August when tested with cypermethrin. LC<sub>50</sub>'s for acephate ranged from 0.93 µg/vial (Franklin Parish in June, 1995) to 6.49 µg/vial (Richland Parish in June, 1994) a 7X difference. The lowest LC<sub>50</sub> for oxamyl was 0.92 µg/vial (Caddo Parish from alfalfa, June 1995), while the highest value was 4.84  $\mu$ g/vial (Franklin Parish from cotton, August 1995), a 5X difference. In the field tests, tarnished plant bug adult mortality was significantly higher on acephate and oxamyl treated terminals compared to cypermethrin treated terminals when test insects were caged 2 hours after treatment (HAT). There were no significant differences in mortality among treatments when nymphs were caged 2 HAT or when adults or nymphs were caged 2 days after treatments (DAT). When caged 2 HAT, there was no significant difference between adult and nymph mortality for each insecticide. However, when caged 2 DAT, adult mortality was significantly lower than nymph mortality for each insecticide.

### Introduction

The tarnished plant bug, *Lygus lineolaris* (Palisot de Beauvois), is an important pest of cotton in the Mid-South. This insect has gained recognition over the past few years due to the declining efficacy of insecticides registered for its control. Resistance of this species to several insecticide classes (carbamates, organochlorines, organophosphate, and pyrethroids) has been documented over the past two decades. Resistance to the organophosphates, methyl parathion (Cleveland and Furr 1979) and dimethoate (Snodgrass and Scott 1988), was confirmed in tarnished

plant bug populations from Mississippi. Recently, another field collection of tarnished plant bugs from Mississippi exhibited resistance to the pyrethroids, permethrin and bifenthrin (Snodgrass 1994). Tarnished plant bugs from this same region of Mississippi also exhibited resistance to the organophosphates, dicrotophos and methyl parathion (Snodgrass and Elzen 1995). In Arkansas, Hollingsworth et al. (1995, submitted) reported tarnished plant bugs to be resistant to an organophosphate, dimethoate; an organochlorine, endosulfan; a pyrethroid, lambdacyhalothrin; and a carbamate, oxamyl.

Studies reported herein were conducted in Louisiana from April through September of 1994 and 1995 to determine the responses of tarnished plant bug populations from several hosts and locations to a representative carbamate (oxamyl), organophosphate (acephate), and pyrethroid (cypermethrin). Tests also were conducted to evaluate the efficacy of these insecticides against tarnished plant bugs caged on treated cotton plants.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Tarnished plant bugs were collected from various hosts and locations throughout Northeast Louisiana from April through September during both 1994 and 1995 (Table 1). All of the collections from North Louisiana were made in cotton producing parishes with relatively high insecticide use. In 1995, a collection was made in South Louisiana (near Baton Rouge in East Baton Rouge Parish), where cotton acreage and insecticide use is relatively low. Collections were made with a standard 15 in. diameter sweep net. After collection, tarnished plant bugs were placed in a 1.5 ft.<sup>3</sup> wire mesh cage, fed green beans (*Phaseolus* spp.), and held overnight.

Tarnished plant bug adults were tested for their responses to acephate, cypermethrin, and oxamyl using the vial bioassay (Snodgrass 1994). Technical-grade samples of acephate (Valent USA, Walnut Creek, CA), cypermethrin (FMC Corporation, Middleport, NY), and oxamyl (Du Pont E.I de Nemours, Wilmington, DE) were obtained from the manufacturers and appropriate doses prepared in acetone. Vials were treated individually with each insecticide as described by Plapp et al. (1987) and stored in the freezer until bioassays were conducted. Five-six concentrations were tested against each population. Acetone treated vials served as controls and percent mortality in the control vials was corrected using Abbott's formula (1925). Bioassays (3 tarnished plant bugs/vial) were done at room temperature (70°F) and observations for mortality made 24 h post-treatment. LC<sub>50</sub> values, 95% confidence intervals, and slopes of ld-p lines were determined by probit analysis (Robertson and Preisler 1992). LC<sub>50</sub> values were considered significantly different if 95% confidence limits did not overlap

Field efficacy studies were conducted in 1995 at the Macon Ridge Location of the Northeast Research Station near Winnsboro, LA. Treatments were acephate (Orthene 90 SP [0.50 lb AI/acre], Valent USA, Walnut Creek, CA), cypermethrin (Ammo 3 EC [0.04 lb AI/acre], FMC Corp., Middleport, NY), and oxamyl (Vydate 3.77 L [0.25 lb AI/acre], E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Co., Wilmington, DE), and an untreated control. Plots were two rows (40-in. spacing) x 30 ft. Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Applications were made with a tractor mounted boom system equipped with two TX-8 hollow cone nozzles/row and calibrated to deliver 10 gallons finished spray/acre. Treatments were applied on 20 June and 22 July. Two hours after treatment (HAT), five adult or nymph (3-5 instar) tarnished plant bugs were introduced into small nylon mesh cages (2 cages/plot). Each cage was placed over a randomly selected plant terminal in each plot. Mortality was recorded 2 days after treatment (DAT). The plots were reinfested 2 DAT in the same manner as described previously, and mortality again recorded 2 DAT. Mortality in the untreated plots was used to correct for natural mortality occurring in the treated plots (Abbott 1925).

#### **Results**

During 1994, all tarnished plant bug colonies, except the May collection from cutleaf primrose in Tensas Parish, tested with cypermethrin from April through July had significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub>'s (6-37x) compared to LC<sub>50</sub>'s for August collections (Table 2). The Caddo Parish population collected from alfalfa in May had a significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub> compared to the Tensas Parish collections from cutleaf primrose and mustard in May. For collections made in the same month, there were no other significant differences in LC<sub>50</sub>'s among colonies made during April, May, June, July, or August (Table 2). Slopes of ld-p lines ranged from 0.76 (Concordia Parish in April) to 3.24 (Bossier Parish from cotton in June). In 1995, tarnished plant bugs tested from April through June with cypermethrin vials had significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub>'s (4-22x) compared to LC<sub>50</sub>'s for August collections from cotton (Table 3). The LC<sub>50</sub> of the Franklin Parish collection in July was significantly lower than the LC<sub>50</sub> of the August collection from Franklin Parish, but not from the LC<sub>50</sub> of the Tensas Parish collection made in August. The East Baton Rouge and Franklin Parish collections in April had significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub>'s than the Franklin Parish collection made in September. The Franklin Parish collection from *Coreopsis* spp. in June and the Caddo Parish collection from alfalfa in June also had significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub>'s than the Franklin Parish collection in September. The East Baton Rouge Parish collection had a significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub> compared to the Franklin Parish collection from May. There were no other significant differences in LC<sub>50</sub>'s among collections from April through July (Table 3). Slopes of ld-p lines ranged from 0.99 to 2.74.

The acephate LC<sub>50</sub> values for the collections made in 1994 ranged from 1.10 (Bossier Parish in June) to 6.49 (Richland Parish in June), a 5.9x variation. The Richland Parish collection in June had a significantly higher LC<sub>50</sub> compared to the Bossier Parish collection, Tensas Parish collection, and Franklin Parish collection from *Coreopsis* spp. Collections from Bossier Parish in June, Tensas Parish in June, and the Franklin Parish collection from Coreopsis spp. in June were significantly lower (3-4x) than the Franklin Parish collection from cotton in September. The Tensas Parish collection from cotton in July had a significantly higher LC<sub>50</sub> compared to the collection from mustard during the same month. During June and July, there were no significant differences in LC<sub>50</sub>'s among populations collected from mustard in Franklin and Tensas Parishes. The Tensas Parish collection from cotton in September had a significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub> compared to other collections made from cotton during July, August, and September, Slope values ranged from 1.51(Tensas Parish in August) to 3.22 (Tensas Parish in September) during 1994. All collections made from April through June 1995 had significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub>'s (1.7-4.6x) than the Franklin Parish collection from cotton in August (Table 5). These same collections except those from East Baton Rouge Parish in April and Franklin Parish in May and Ouachita Parish in May also had significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub>'s than the Tensas collection from cotton in August. Slopes of ld-p lines in 1995 ranged from 1.78 (Franklin Parish in June) to 2.61 (Tensas Parish in May).

There were no significant differences in oxamyl LC<sub>50</sub>'s among populations tested in 1994 except that the LC<sub>50</sub>'s for the Tensas and Richland Parish collections in June which were significantly lower than one of the Tensas collections from cotton in August (Table 6). A Tensas Parish collection from cotton in August had the highest LC<sub>50</sub> (3.46) whereas the Tensas Parish collection from mustard in June had the lowest LC<sub>50</sub> (1.32). Slope values ranged from 1.62 (Tensas Parish in August) to 2.51 (Franklin Parish in June). During 1995, all May and June collections tested except for the Franklin Parish in June had significantly lower LC<sub>50</sub>'s compared to the Franklin Parish collection from cotton in August (Table 7). The LC<sub>50</sub>'s of all May collections and the Caddo collection from alfalfa in June also were significantly lower from the LC<sub>50</sub> of the Franklin Parish collection in July. Slope values ranged from 1.38 (Ouachita Parish in May) to 2.76 (Caddo Parish from alfalfa in June).

In the cage bioassays, there were no significant differences among insecticides in percent mortality of tarnished plant bug nymphs caged on treated cotton 2 HAT (Table 8). Acephate and oxamyl caused significantly higher adult mortalities than cypermethrin at 2 HAT (Table 8). However, there were no significant differences in mortality among the three insecticides on individual life stages, when tarnished plant bugs were caged on treated terminals 2 DAT. All three insecticides caused significantly higher

mortality of nymphs compared to adults when tarnished plant bugs were caged on cotton 2 DAT (Table 9).

### **Discussion**

Resistance to cypermethrin in Louisiana populations of tarnished plant bugs was documented in both 1994 and 1995. The variation in values over both years was 0.57-21.22  $\mu$ g/vial (37x variation). The highest LC<sub>50</sub> values were 21.22  $\mu$ g/vial for 1994 and 12.69 for 1995. These values are not as high as others previously reported, but they are within the range of values for tarnished plant bug populations reported as resistant to pyrethroids in other states.

 $LC_{50}$ 's values followed a trend associated with seasonal use of pyrethroids. The lowest  $LC_{50}$  values were recorded during April, May, and June. During this period of the cotton growing season, pyrethroid use is low. The highest values were generally observed in August when pyrethroid use is more common. For the single collection made in September 1995, the  $LC_{50}$  was not significantly different from that of several collections made during May, June and July. Reversion of pyrethroid resistance may occur during Septem-ber because pyrethroid use generally declines in late August and September.

Low levels of resistance to acephate were recorded in both 1994 and 1995. There was a 7x difference (0.93-6.49  $\mu$ g/vial) in values over the two years. The highest LC<sub>50</sub> for 1994 (6.49) was recorded in June, while the highest value in 1995 (6.18) was recorded in July. The LC<sub>50</sub>'s for acephate of Louisiana collections made in the early season were significantly lower than the  $LC_{50}$  (12.60 µg/vial) (95% CL = 11.06-14.51) of the Stoneville lab colony (Snodgrass 1994). The LC<sub>50</sub> (8.90  $\mu$ g/vial) (95% CL = 7.65-10.22) of a Mississippi collection from cotton (Snodgrass and Elzen 1995) was significantly higher than LC<sub>50</sub>'s of all Louisiana collections from cotton except for the Tensas Parish collection from August, 1994. During May and June, acephate is an insecticide commonly used to control tarnished plant bugs. Other organophosphates such as azinphosmethyl, dicrotophos, dimethoate, methamidophos, and methyl parathion are used to control early season insect pests of cotton. Later in the season, organophosphates such as profenofos and sulprofos are used for control of pyrethroid-resistant tobacco budworms (Heliothis virescens (F.)). The continuous use of insecticides in this class throughout the cotton growing season probably contributes to the overall tolerance of tarnished plant bugs to organophosphate.

There were low levels of resistance to oxamyl recorded in 1994 and 1995 using the vial bioassay. The  $LC_{50}$ 's ranged from 0.92-4.84  $\mu$ g/vial (5x variation) over both years. The highest  $LC_{50}$ 's for oxamyl were recorded in August of both years. Although oxamyl frequently is used to control insect pests during May and June in cotton, this use is

probably not enough to cause tolerance of the tarnished plant bugs to carbamates. However, other carbamates such as aldicarb, methomyl, and thiodicarb also are used to control cotton insect pests. Further, carbamates have a similar mode of action as organophosphates and crossresistance may occur. LC<sub>50</sub> values for oxamyl from Arkansas collections (7.2-26.0  $\mu$ g/vial) were higher than those observed for Louisiana collections (Hollingsworth et al. 1995 submitted).

There was a general variation in the susceptibility of tarnished plant bugs from Louisiana to all three classes of insecticides, but the changes in LC<sub>50</sub>'s generally corresponded with the seasonal use pattern of that insecticide class. Although the data in this study are limited, the hosts from which the tarnished plant bugs were collected did not appear to influence insecticide susceptibility. Based on comparisons with previously published data, tarnished plant bugs in Louisiana have varying levels of susceptibility to pyrethroids, organophosphate, and carbamates which could result in control failures during late July and August.

Acephate and oxamyl caused significantly higher mortality than cypermethrin for tarnished plant bug adults caged on cotton 2 HAT. When caged on cotton 2 DAT, there were no significant differences in mortality among treatments for each life stage. However, the nymphs sustained significantly higher mortality compared to the adults for each treatment. Although contact activity of these insecticides would result in reasonable control of tarnished plant bugs, residual activity of these insecticides appears to be low.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Cotton Incorporated and IRAC/US for their financial support of this project. We also appreciate the help of Larry Daigle, James Pope, and Chad Comeaux at Louisiana State University and the student workers at the Northeast Research Station for their help on this project during the past two years.

## References

- 1. Abbott, W. S. 1925. A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol. 18: 265-267.
- 2. Cleveland, T. C. and R. E. Furr. 1979. Toxicity of methyl parathion applied topically to tarnished plant bugs. J. Georgia Entomol. Soc. 15: 304-307.
- 3. Hollingsworth, R. G., D. C. Steinkraus, and N. P. Tugwell. 1995. Insecticide resistance in Arkansas populations of tarnished plant bugs (Heteroptera:Miridae) and tolerance differences between nymphs and adults. J. Econ. Entomol. [submitted].

- 4. Plapp, F. W., G. M. M<sup>c</sup>Whorter, and W. H. Vance. 1987. Monitoring for resistance in the tobacco budworm in Texas-1986, pp. 324-326. <u>IN</u> 1987 Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf. National Cotton Council, Memphis, TN.
- 5. Robertson, J. L. and H. K. Preisler. 1992. <u>Pesticide bioassays with arthropods.</u> CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 6. Snodgrass, G. L. and W. P. Scott. 1988. Tolerance of the tarnished plant bug to dimethoate and acephate in different areas of the Mississippi Delta, pp. 294-295. <a href="IN">IN</a> Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf. National Cotton Council, Memphis, TN.
- 7. Snodgrass, G. L. 1994. Pyrethroid resistance in a field population of the tarnished plant bug in cotton in the Mississippi Delta, pp.1186. <u>IN</u> Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf. National Cotton Council, Memphis, TN.
- 8. Snodgrass, G. L. and G. W. Elzen. 1995. Insecticide resistance in a tarn-ished plant bug population in cotton in the Mississippi Delta. Southwestern Entomol. 20:317-322.

**Table 1.** Location, date, host, and sample size (n tested) of tarnished plant

bug populations tested in vial bioassays.

Location(Parish)	Date	Host	n
Bossier	June 1994	Cotton	48¹
	June 1994	Alfalfa	$174^{1}$
	June 1994	Alfalfa	$150^{2}$
Caddo	May 1994	Alfalfa	$207^{1}$
	June 1995	Alfalfa	$210^{1}$
	June 1995	Horse Weed	$198^{1}$
	June 1995	Alfalfa	$180^{2}$
	June 1995	Alfalfa	$144^{3}$
	June 1995	Horse Weed	$171^{3}$
Concordia	April 1994	Crimson Clover	$237^{1}$
	June 1994	Cotton	$120^{1}$
	July 1994	Cotton	54 <sup>1</sup>
E. Baton Rouge	April 1995	Fleabane/Red Clover	$251^{1}$
	April 1995	Fleabane/Red Clover	$234^{2}$
Franklin	May 1994	Cutleaf Primrose	57¹
	May 1994	Mustard	441
	May 1994	Alfalfa	$180^{1}$
	May 1994	Lespedeza	93¹
	June 1994	Alfalfa	$330^{2}$
	June 1994	Lespedeza	$75^{2}$
	June 1994	Coreopsis spp.	$49^{2}$
	June 1994	Mustard	$179^{2}$
	June 1994	Coreopsis spp.	$234^{3}$
	June 1994	Coreopsis spp.	$150^{3}$
	June 1994	Mustard	$165^{3}$
	April 1995	Crimson Clover	225 <sup>1</sup>
	April 1995	Crimson Clover	$210^{2}$
	May 1995	Cutleaf Primrose	164 <sup>1</sup>
	May 1995	Cutleaf Primrose	$180^{2}$
	May 1995 May 1995	Cutleaf Primrose	$216^{3}$
	June 1995	Coreopsis spp.	320¹
	June 1995	Coreopsis spp.	$216^{2}$
	June 1995	Alfalfa	$216^{3}$
	July 1995	Mustard	208 <sup>1</sup>
	July 1995	Mustard	$142^{2}$
	July 1995	Cotton	$195^{3}$

Table 1. Continued

Table 1. Continued			
Franklin	August 1995	Cotton	216 <sup>1</sup>
	August 1995	Cotton	$216^{2}$
	August 1995	Cotton	$180^{3}$
	Sept. 1995	Cotton	$150^{1}$
Morehouse	June 1994	Cotton	$45^{1}$
Ouachita	May 1995	Cutleaf Primrose	$198^{1}$
	May 1995	Cutleaf Primrose	$180^{2}$
	May 1995	Cutleaf Primrose	$180^{3}$
Richland	June 1994	Black-eyed Susan	$90^{2}$
	June 1994	Black-eyed Susan	$135^{3}$
Tensas	May 1994	Cutleaf Primrose	57¹
	May 1994	Mustard	$39^{1}$
	May 1994	Cutleaf Primrose	$229^{1}$
	June 1994	Cotton	$77^{1}$
	June 1994	Mustard	$75^{2}$
	June 1994	Mustard	$354^{3}$
	July 1994	Alfalfa	$325^{1}$
	July 1994	Mustard	$120^{2}$
	July 1994	Cotton	$150^{2}$
	July 1994	Mustard	$268^{3}$
	August 1994	Cotton	$112^{1}$
	August 1994	Cotton	$99^{1}$
	August 1994	Cotton	$75^{3}$
	August 1994	Cotton	$150^{3}$
	August 1994	Cotton	$126^{2}$
	August 1994	Cotton	$120^{2}$
	May 1995	Pansy Dog Shade	$150^{1}$
	May 1995	Pansy Dog Shade	$135^{2}$
	May 1995	Pansy Dog Shade	$180^{3}$
	August 1995	Cotton	$174^{1}$
	August 1995	Cotton	$174^{2}$
	Sept. 1995	Cotton	$165^{2}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Tested with cypermethrin treated vials.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Tested with acephate treated vials.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Tested with oxamyl treated vials.

Table 2. Responses of Louisiana tarnished plant bug strains collected during 1994 to cypermethrin at 24 hours after exposure

	April May	
Location	LC <sub>50</sub> Slope ± SE	LC <sub>50</sub>
Slope $\pm$ SE	1	30
(Parish)	(95% CL) (95% CL)	
Concordia	$1.71 \ 0.76 \pm 0.12^2$	
1		
	(0.65-4.67)	
Franklin	$0.91 \ 1.51 \pm 0.16^2$	0.83
$1.32\pm0.32^3$	(0.65.1.10) (0.01.1.60)	
	(0.65-1.19) (0.31-1.63)	<b>-</b> 4
	$2.45 \ 1.62 \pm 0.5$	2.
	(0.59-6.26)	<b>5</b> 5
	$1.17 \ 2.09 \pm 0.2$	3"
	(0.89-1.52) 1.42 2.06 ± 0.5	26
	(0.62-2.40)	Δ'
Tensas	(0.02-2.40)	2.99
$0.83 \pm 0.29^3$		2.99
0.63 ± 0.29	(1.07-19.43)	
	(1.07-19.43) $2.75  1.40 \pm 0.4$	14
	(1.25-8.36)	1
	$0.80 \ 1.24 \pm 0.2$	$0^{3}$
	(0.28-1.50)	O
Morehouse	1	
1		
Caddo	1	0.73
$2.12 \pm 0.25^{5}$		
	(0.56-0.92)	
	June July	
	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	LC 50
Slope $\pm$ SE		
	(95% CL) (95% CL)	_
Concordia	$0.58 \ 1.19 \pm 0.29^7$	1.14
$2.33 \pm 0.54^7$		
	(0.17-1.00) $(0.69-1.82)$	
Tensas	$1.69  1.11 \pm 0.28^7$	2.49
$1.23 \pm 0.20^{5}$		
	(0.82-3.47) $(1.49-3.81)$	
Morehouse	$0.65  0.94 \pm 0.34^7$	
1	(0.00.4.00)	
ъ.	(0.08-1.82)	
Bossier	$0.93  3.24 \pm 0.98^7$	
	(0.61.1.27)	
	(0.61-1.37)	
	$0.65  1.91 \pm 0.67^{\circ}$	
	(0.02-1.49)	
	August LC Slope + SE	(0.50/
CL)	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	<u>(95%</u>
<u>CL)</u>	Tensas $15.89 \ 1.58 \pm 0$ .	40 <sup>7</sup>
	Tensas $15.89 \cdot 1.58 \pm 0.$ $(8.79-112.20)$	+7
	$\begin{array}{c} (8.79 - 112.20) \\ 21.22  1.54 \pm 0.55^7 \end{array}$	
	(10.73-518.6)	
	(10.75-510.0)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Collections not made.

Table 3. Responses of Louisiana tarnished plant bug strains collected during 1995 to cypermethrin at 24 hours after exposure.

1995 to cypermethri	n at 24 hours after exposure.	
	April	
May		
Location	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	$LC_{50}$
Slope $\pm$ SE		
(Parish)	(95% CL) (95% CL)	
E.Baton Rouge	$0.85 \ 1.78 \pm 0.18^2$	
1		
	(0.65-1.09)	
Franklin	$0.68 \ 1.27 \pm 0.17^3$	1.97
$1.85 \pm 0.27^4$	0.00 1.27 ± 0.17	1.77
1.65 ± 0.27	(0.22.1.24) (1.22.2.80)	
Томого	(0.23-1.34) (1.23-3.89)	1 10
Tensas		1.10
$1.03 \pm 0.21^5$	(0.25.2.40)	
	(0.35-3.40)	
Ouachita		1.21
$1.78 \pm 0.21^4$		
	(0.69-2.05)	
Caddo	1	
1		
	June	
<u>July</u>		
<del></del>	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	L C 5 0
Slope $\pm$ SE	30 1	3.0
	(95% CL)	(95%
CL)	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	X
Franklin	$1.09 \ 1.58 \pm 0.22^{6}$	2.64
$1.75 \pm 0.50^9$	1.00 1.00 ± 0.22	2.01
1.75 ± 0.50	(0.65-1.59) (0.85-4.26)	
Caddo	(0.03-1.39) $(0.03-4.20)0.57 1.70 \pm 0.23^7$	
Caudo 1	$0.37 - 1.70 \pm 0.23$	
	(0.40.0.76)	
	(0.40-0.76)	
	$1.34 \ \ 2.74 \pm 0.56^{8}$	
	(0.73-1.89)	
	August	_
September		
	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	$LC_{50}$
Slope $\pm$ SE		
	(95% CL)	(95%
CL)		
Franklin	$12.69 \ 1.47 \pm 0.21^{10}$	3.47
$1.13\pm0.21^{10}$		
	(6.38-59.97) (1.64-7.23)	
Tensas	$8.34 \ 0.99 \pm 0.18^{10}$	
1	3.0 . 0.55 = 0.10	
	(4.20-29.20)	
	(7.20-27.20)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Collections not made.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Collected from crimson clover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Collected from cutleaf primrose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Collected from mustard.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 5}$  Collected from alfalfa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Collected from lespedeza.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Collected from cotton.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  Collected from fleabane and red clover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Collected from crimson clover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Collected from cutleaf primrose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Collected from pansy dog shade. <sup>6</sup> Collected from *Coreopsis* spp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Collected from alfalfa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Collected from horse weed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Collected from mustard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Collected from cotton.

Table 4. Responses of Louisiana tarnished plant bug strains collected during 1994 to acephate at 24 hours after exposure.

1994 to acephate at 24	nours after exposure.	
	June July	
Location	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	$LC_{50}$
Slope $\pm$ SE	-	
(Parish)	(95% CL) (95% CL)	
Bossier	$1.10 \ 1.79 \pm 0.29^2$	
1		
	(0.33-2.15)	
Franklin	$4.29 \ \ 2.54 \pm 0.42^{2}$	2.85
$2.74 \pm 0.33^{5}$		
	(2.93-5.58) (1.80-4.37)	
	$2.31 \ 1.65 \pm 0.34^3$	
	(1.32-3.74)	
	$1.16 \ \ 2.44 \pm 0.86^4$	
	(0.30-1.83)	
	$1.80 \ \ 2.11 \pm 0.31^{5}$	
	(0.54-3.64)	
Tensas	$1.49 \ \ 2.20 \pm 0.43^{5}$	1.99
$2.59 \pm 0.56^{5}$		
	(0.93-2.30) $(1.50-2.64)$	
	$5.69 \ \ 2.73 \pm 0.38^7$	
	(4.55-7.15)	
Richland	$6.49 \ \ 2.52 \pm 0.69^6$	
1		
	(2.75-10.6)	
	August	
September		
	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	$LC_{50}$
Slope $\pm$ SE		
	(95% CL) (95% CL)	
Franklin	1	4.60
$1.83\pm0.29^{7}$		
	(3.42-6.68)	
Tensas	$6.17  1.51 \pm 0.26^7$	1.46
$3.22 \pm 0.43^7$		
	(4.20-9.83) (1.19-1.77)	
	$(4.20-9.83)$ $(1.19-1.77)$ $3.65$ $1.99 \pm 0.30^7$	
	(2.03-6.70)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Collections not made.

Table 5. Responses of Louisiana tarnished plant bug strains collected during 1995 to acephate at 24 hours after exposure.

	April	
May Location		L C 5 0
Slope ± SE (Parish)	(95% CL) (95% CL)	
E. Baton Rouge	$1.70 \ 1.82 \pm 0.22^2$	
1		
	(0.87-2.85)	
Franklin	$1.44 \ 1.99 \pm 0.25^3$	2.53
$2.28 \pm 0.28^4$		
	(1.10-1.85) (1.96-3.22)	
Tensas	i	1.59
$2.61 \pm 0.37^{5}$		
	(1.23-2.03)	
Ouachita	i	2.23
$2.46 \pm 0.31^4$		
	(1.53-3.18)	
	June	Jı
	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	L C <sub>5 0</sub>
Slope $\pm$ SE		
	(05% CL) (05% CL)	
	(95% CL) (95% CL)	
	$0.93 \ 1.78 \pm 0.25^{6}$	6.18
Franklin 2.36 ± 0.70 <sup>8</sup>	$0.93 \ 1.78 \pm 0.25^6$	6.18
$2.36 \pm 0.70^{8}$	$0.93 \ 1.78 \pm 0.25^{6}$ $(0.63-1.24) \ (2.21-9.01)$	6.18
$2.36 \pm 0.70^{8}$	$0.93 \ 1.78 \pm 0.25^6$	6.18
$2.36 \pm 0.70^{8}$	0.93 $1.78 \pm 0.25^6$ (0.63-1.24) (2.21-9.01) 0.99 $2.48 \pm 0.72^7$	6.18
$2.36 \pm 0.70^{8}$	$0.93 \ 1.78 \pm 0.25^{6}$ $(0.63-1.24) \ (2.21-9.01)$	6.18
$2.36 \pm 0.70^{8}$	0.93 $1.78 \pm 0.25^6$ (0.63-1.24) (2.21-9.01) 0.99 $2.48 \pm 0.72^7$ (0.16-1.82) <u>August</u>	6.18
$2.36 \pm 0.70^{8}$	0.93 $1.78 \pm 0.25^6$ (0.63-1.24) (2.21-9.01) 0.99 $2.48 \pm 0.72^7$ (0.16-1.82) August $C_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	6.18
2.36 ± 0.70 <sup>8</sup> Caddo 1	0.93 $1.78 \pm 0.25^6$ (0.63-1.24) (2.21-9.01) 0.99 $2.48 \pm 0.72^7$ (0.16-1.82) August $LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE (95% CL)	6.18
	$0.93  1.78 \pm 0.25^{6}$ $(0.63 - 1.24)  (2.21 - 9.01)$ $0.99  2.48 \pm 0.72^{7}$ $(0.16 - 1.82)$ August $LC_{50}  Slope \pm SE$ $(95\%  CL)$ $4.24  2.09 \pm 0.24^{9}$	6.18
2.36 ± 0.70 <sup>8</sup> Caddo  Franklin	0.93 $1.78 \pm 0.25^{6}$ (0.63-1.24) (2.21-9.01) 0.99 $2.48 \pm 0.72^{7}$ (0.16-1.82) August LC <sub>50</sub> Slope $\pm$ SE (95% CL) 4.24 $2.09 \pm 0.24^{9}$ (3.36-5.39)	6.18
2.36 ± 0.70 <sup>8</sup> Caddo 1	$0.93  1.78 \pm 0.25^{6}$ $(0.63 - 1.24)  (2.21 - 9.01)$ $0.99  2.48 \pm 0.72^{7}$ $(0.16 - 1.82)$ August $LC_{50}  Slope \pm SE$ $(95\%  CL)$ $4.24  2.09 \pm 0.24^{9}$	6.18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Collections not made.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Collected from alfalfa.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 3}$  Collected from lespedeza.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Collected from  $\widehat{Coreopsis}$  spp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Collected from mustard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Collected from black-eyed susan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Collected from cotton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Collected from fleabane and crimson clover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Collected from crimson clover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Collected from cutleaf primrose. <sup>5</sup> Collected from pansy dog shade.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Collected from *Coreopsis* spp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Collected from alfalfa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Collected from mustard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Collected from cotton.

**Table 6.** Responses of Louisiana tarnished plant bug strains collected during 1994 to oxamyl at 24 hours after exposure.

dufflig1994 to oxall	lyr at 24 nours after exposure.	
	June July	
Location	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	$LC_{50}$
Slope $\pm$ SE	50	- 50
(Parish)	(95% CL) (95% CL)	Franklin
(Fallsii)		FIAIIKIIII
	$1.64 \ \ 2.06 \pm 0.24^2$	
1		
	(0.96-2.51)	
	$1.99 \ \ 2.51 \pm 0.49^{2}$	
	(0.54-3.61)	
	$1.59 \ 1.81 \pm 0.26^3$	
-	(0.81-2.72)	
Tensas	$1.32 \ 1.70 \pm 0.20^3$	2.14
$1.94 \pm 0.34^3$		
	(0.88-1.80) $(0.74-3.65)$	
Richland	$1.39 \ 1.64 \pm 0.28^4$	
1	1.07 1.07 = 0.20	
	(0.02.1.04)	
	(0.92-1.94)	
	August	
	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	
	-	
	(95% CL)	
Tensas	$\frac{3.46 \cdot 1.97 \pm 0.41^5}{3.46 \cdot 1.97 \pm 0.41^5}$	
1 Clisas		
	(2.17-5.16)	
	$1.61 \ 1.62 \pm 0.25^{5}$	
	(0.73-2.80)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Collections not made.

**Table 7.** Responses of Louisiana tarnished plant bug strains collected during 1995 to oxamyl at 24 hours after exposure.

1995 to oxamyl at	24 hours after exposure.	
	May	June
Location Slope ± SE	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	$LC_{50}$
(Parish)	(95% CL) (95% CL)	
Franklin	$1.54 \ \ 2.07 \pm 0.25^2$	2.58
$2.15 \pm 0.40^4$		
	(1.03-2.18) $(1.32-3.82)$	
Tensas	$1.78 \ \ 2.42 \pm 0.31^3$	
1	4.00.000	
	(1.38-2.26)	
Ouachita	$0.96 \ 1.38 \pm 0.23^2$	
	(0.55-1.41)	
Caddo	1	0.92
$2.76 \pm 0.42^{5}$		
	(0.41-1.53)	
	$1.78 \ \ 2.27 \pm 0.32^{6}$	
	(1.15-2.80)	
	July	
August		
	$LC_{50}$ Slope $\pm$ SE	$LC_{50}$
Slope $\pm$ SE		
	(95% CL)	(95%
CL)		

 $3.03 \ 1.88 \pm 0.21^7$ 

(3.71-6.15)

(2.31-4.02)

Franklin

 $2.49 \pm 0.31^{8}$ 

**Table 8.** Insecticide efficacy against tarnished plant bug adults and nymphs in a cage study on cotton<sup>1</sup>.

% Mortality <sup>2</sup>			
Insecticide	Adults	Nymphs	-
Acephate	91.1a	77.6a	
Cypermethrin	62.6b	67.2a	
Oxamyl	87.1a	69.5a	

Mortality values within columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to DMRT(P=0.05).

**Table 9.** Residual insecticide efficacy comparison between adult and nymph tarnished plant bugs in a cage study on cotton.<sup>1</sup>

% Mortality <sup>2</sup>			
Insecticide	Adults	Nymphs	
Acephate	1.6a*	16.6a	
Cypermethrin	6.3a*	18.9a	
Oxamyl	4.9a*	27.2a	

Mortality values within columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to DMRT(P=0.05).

4.84

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Collected from *Coreopsis* spp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Collected from mustard.

<sup>4</sup> Collected from black-eyed susan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Collected from cotton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Collections not made.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Collected from cutleaf primrose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Collected from pansy dog shade.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Collected from *Coreopsis* spp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Collected from alfalfa.

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  Collected from horse weed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Collected from mustard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Collected from cotton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Infested 2 hours after treatment (HAT).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Corrected for control mortality of adults (14.4%) and nymphs (14.8%).

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates significant difference in mortality among life stages (adults vs. nymphs)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Infested 2 days after treatment (DAT).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Corrected for control mortality of adults (6.5%) and nymphs (3.8%).