

THRIPS MANAGEMENT IN MID-SOUTH COTTON**D. R. Cook****Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS****S. D. Stewart****The University of Tennessee, Jackson, TN****J. Gore****Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS****G. M. Lorenz****University of Arkansas, Lonoke, AR****A. L. Catchot****Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS****D. L. Kerns****Texas A&M University, College Station, TX****S. Brown****LSU AgCenter, Winnsboro, LA****G. Stuebaker****University of Arkansas, Keiser, AR****N. Bateman****University of Arkansas, Stuttgart, AR****Abstract**

Studies were conducted to evaluate the performance of selected at-planting treatments against thrips infesting cotton seedlings. Thiamethoxam (Cruiser, Avicta Duo) and imidacloprid (Gaucho, Aeris) have been standards for thrips management for many years. In recent years resistance to thiamethoxam has been observed in tobacco thrips; which is the most common thrips species infesting cotton in the Mid-South. In studies conducted during 2018, thrips infestations were generally moderate at most locations. All of the at-planting insecticides reduced thrips densities, especially immatures, at the 1, 2, and 3-leaf growth stages. By the 4-leaf growth stage efficacy of many of the treatments had declined. All of the insecticide treatments reduced thrips injury. With one exception, all of the insecticide treatments resulted in thrips damage rating of <2 at all growth stages. No significant differences in yield were observed between treatments.

Introduction

There are several species of thrips that infest cotton seedlings including tobacco thrips, *Frankliniella fusca* (Hinds); western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande); flower thrips, *Frankliniella tritici* (Fitch); onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci* (Lindeman), and soybean thrips, *Neohydatothrips variabilis* (Beach). Tobacco thrips is the predominate species that infests cotton seedlings across much of the Mid-South (Cook et al. 2003, Stewart et al. 2013). Aldicarb (Temik 15G) was the standard at-planting management strategy prior to the introduction of the neonicotinoid seed treatments. Many growers transitioned to the neonicotinoid seed treatments following their introduction, and following the removal of aldicarb from the market thrips have been managed almost exclusively with neonicotinoid seed treatments and supplemental foliar treatments. The two most widely used insecticide seed treatments for thrips management in cotton have been Gaucho (imidacloprid) and Cruiser (thiamethoxam), both are neonicotinoids. However, resistance to thiamethoxam has been observed in tobacco thrips populations from many areas of the Mid-South (Huseth et al. 2016, Darnell-Crumpton et al. 2018). Also, performance of thiamethoxam has declined to the point that it is no longer recommended for thrips control in the Mid-South. An aldicarb product (AgLogic 15G) is currently available in some states, with plans for expanded availability in the future. Offerings of thiamethoxam as a seed treatment have decline and almost all of the commercial (from seed companies) seed treatment packages include imidacloprid. Many growers are supplementing neonicotinoid seed treatments (imidacloprid) with acephate either as an additional seed treatment or as an in-furrow spray. One reason for this strategy over supplemental foliar applications for thrips management is that some of the newer transgenic herbicide (dicamba tolerant crops) technologies do not allow co-application of an insecticide with the herbicide. During 2018 studies were conducted in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Texas to evaluate the performance of selected seed treatments alone and in combination with their respective companion nematicide products, AgLogic 15G, and several in-furrow spray treatments against thrips infesting cotton seedlings in the Mid-South.

Materials and Methods

Studies were conducted during 2018 in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Texas to evaluate the performance of selected insecticide at-planting treatments against thrips in cotton. Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications. PhytoGen 333 WRF cotton seed was used in all trials. Cotton seed were treated by Dr. Gus Lorenz. Seed treated with Avicta Elite received Dynasty CST (3.95 oz/cwt) fungicide, while seed for all other treatments received Trilex Advanced 300FS (1.6 oz/cwt) fungicide. Planting dates ranged from 28 Apr to 18 May.

Thrips densities were determined by sampling 5 plants per plot at the 1, 2, 3, and 4 leaf stage using a modified whole plant washing procedure. Also, plant damage was estimated at these timings using a 1 – 5 scale, with a rating of 1 = no damage and 5 = severe damage. Seed cotton yields were converted to lint yield based on 40% gin turnout. Data were subjected to ANOVA procedures, with means separated according to Fisher's Protected LSD.

Results

At the 1-leaf growth stage all of the insecticide treatments, except Aeris, Avicta Elite, and Gaucho, resulted in significantly fewer thrips adults compared to the fungicide only control (Table 1). All of the insecticide treatments resulted in significantly lower densities of thrips immatures and total thrips compared to the fungicide only control. Plots treated with AgLogic also had significantly fewer thrips immatures and total thrips compared to plots treated with Admire Pro. All of the insecticide treatments resulted in significantly lower thrips damage ratings compared to the control. All of the insecticide treatments, except AgLogic, acephate in-furrow, and Admire Pro, resulted in significantly lower thrips damage ratings compared to acephate applied as a seed treatment. Thrips damage ratings for the insecticide treated plots were all < 1.5.

At the 2-leaf growth stage only AgLogic and acephate plus Gaucho (both seed treatments) resulted in significantly fewer thrips adults compared to the fungicide only control (Table 2). All of the insecticide treatments resulted in significantly fewer thrips immatures and total thrips compared to the fungicide only control. All of the insecticide treatments resulted in significantly less thrips damage compared to the fungicide only control. Also, all of the insecticide treatments, except acephate in-furrow and Admire Pro, resulted in significantly lower damage ratings compared to acephate as a seed treatment.

At the 3-leaf growth stage only AgLogic resulted in significantly fewer thrips adults, thrips immatures, and total thrips compared to the fungicide only control (Table 3). All of the insecticide treatments resulted in significantly lower thrips damage ratings compared to the fungicide only control. Plots treated with acephate plus Gaucho (both seed treatments), Gaucho, AERIS, Avicta Elite, AgLogic, acephate plus Admire Pro (both in-furrow), acephate in-furrow, or Admire Pro had significantly lower damage ratings compared to plots treated with acephate seed treatment.

At the 4-leaf growth stage none of the insecticide treatments significantly reduce densities of thrips adults compared to the fungicide only control (Table 4). Plots treated with Avicta Elite had significantly more thrips adults than the fungicide only control plots. Only plots treated with acephate plus Admire Pro in-furrow had significantly fewer thrips immatures and total thrips compared to the fungicide only control. All of the insecticide treatments resulted in significantly lower thrips damage ratings compared to the fungicide only control. Plots treated with acephate plus Gaucho seed treatment, Gaucho, AERIS, Avicta Elite, AgLogic, acephate plus Admire Pro in-furrow, or acephate in-furrow had significantly lower damage ratings compared to plots treated with acephate seed treatment.

Yields in these studies ranged from 1,256 to 1,358 lb lint per acre. There were no significant differences among treatments for yield (Table 5).

Table 1. Impact of selected at-planting treatments on densities of thrips adults, immatures, and total thrips and thrips damage at the 1 leaf growth stage.

Treatment	Application Method	Rate	Thrips / 5 Plants			Damage Rating
			Adults	Immatures	Total	
Fungicide only	Seed Treatment	-	5.1a	12.8a	17.9a	2.03a
Acephate 97S	Seed Treatment	6.4 ¹	2.2c	3.5bc	5.7bc	1.37b
Acephate 97S + Gaucho 5FS	Seed Treatment	6.4 ¹ +0.375 ²	2.3bc	2.1bc	4.3bc	1.15c
Gaucho 5FS	Seed Treatment	0.375 ²	3.3abc	1.5bc	4.8bc	1.17c
Aeris ⁴	Seed Treatment	0.75 ²	4.4ab	1.8bc	6.1bc	1.16c
Avicta Elite ³	Seed Treatment	0.525 ² +0.375 ²	5.4a	1.8bc	7.1b	1.17c
AgLogic 15G	In-Furrow Granule	0.6 ⁵	1.3c	0.9c	2.2c	1.22bc
Acephate 97S + Admire Pro 4.6SC	In-Furrow Spray	1.0 ⁶ +0.33 ⁶	2.2c	3.2bc	5.4bc	1.18c
Acephate 97S	In-Furrow Spray	1.0 ⁶	1.6c	3.8bc	5.3bc	1.31bc
Admire Pro 4.6SC	In-Furrow Spray	0.33 ⁶	2.6bc	4.6b	7.2b	1.27bc
<i>P>F</i>			<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

Means within a column followed by a common letter are not significantly different (FPLSD 0.05).

¹oz product / cwt.

²mg AI / seed.

³mg AI / seed. Avicta Elite applied at the listed rate contains 0.375 mg AI thiamethoxam (Cruiser), 0.15 mg AI abamectin, and 0.375 mg AI imidacloprid.

⁴mg AI / seed. Aeris applied at the listed rate contains 0.375 mg AI imidacloprid (Gaucho) and 0.375 mg AI thiodicarb.

⁵lb AI / per acre, in-furrow granule.

⁶lb AI / per acre, in-furrow spray.

Table 2. Impact of selected at-planting treatments on densities of thrips adults, immatures, and total thrips and thrips damage at the 2 leaf growth stage.

Treatment	Application Method	Rate	Thrips / 5 Plants			Damage Rating
			Adults	Immatures	Total	
Fungicide only	Seed Treatment	-	3.0a	34.5a	37.5a	2.28a
Acephate 97S	Seed Treatment	6.4 ¹	2.4ab	4.9b	7.2b	1.61b
Acephate 97S + Gaucho 5FS	Seed Treatment	6.4 ¹ +0.375 ²	1.6bc	4.3b	5.9b	1.24cde
Gaucho 5FS	Seed Treatment	0.375 ²	2.8a	9.6b	12.4b	1.32cde
Aeris ⁴	Seed Treatment	0.75 ²	2.4ab	8.5b	10.9b	1.24cde
Avicta Elite ³	Seed Treatment	0.525 ² +0.375 ²	2.0ab	10.1b	12.1b	1.26cde
AgLogic 15G	In-Furrow Granule	0.6 ⁵	0.8c	1.9b	2.7b	1.09e
Acephate 97S + Admire Pro 4.6SC	In-Furrow Spray	1.0 ⁶ +0.33 ⁶	2.1ab	3.9b	6.0b	1.22de
Acephate 97S	In-Furrow Spray	1.0 ⁶	2.6ab	7.8b	10.3b	1.47bc
Admire Pro 4.6SC	In-Furrow Spray	0.33 ⁶	2.7ab	10.7b	13.5b	1.38bcd
<i>P>F</i>			0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

Means within a column followed by a common letter are not significantly different (FPLSD 0.05).

¹oz product / cwt.²mg AI / seed.³mg AI / seed. Avicta Elite applied at the listed rate contains 0.375 mg AI thiamethoxam (Cruiser), 0.15 mg AI abamectin, and 0.375 mg AI imidacloprid.⁴mg AI / seed. Aeris applied at the listed rate contains 0.375 mg AI imidacloprid (Gaucho) and 0.375 mg AI thiodicarb.⁵lb AI / per acre, in-furrow granule.⁶lb AI / per acre, in-furrow spray.

Table 3. Impact of selected at-planting treatments on densities of thrips adults, immatures, and total thrips and thrips damage at the 3 leaf growth stage.

Treatment	Application Method	Rate	Thrips / 5 Plants			Damage Rating
			Adults	Immatures	Total	
Fungicide only	Seed Treatment	-	3.9	15.2a	19.1a	3.02a
Acephate 97S	Seed Treatment	6.4 ¹	2.8	4.4b	7.3b	2.18b
Acephate 97S + Gaucho 5FS	Seed Treatment	6.4 ¹ +0.375 ²	2.3	2.4b	4.7b	1.21d
Gaucho 5FS	Seed Treatment	0.375 ²	2.3	3.1b	5.4b	1.33d
Aeris ⁴	Seed Treatment	0.75 ²	3.4	2.8b	6.3b	1.39cd
Avicta Elite ³	Seed Treatment	0.525 ² +0.375 ²	3.3	2.8b	6.2b	1.47cd
AgLogic 15G	In-Furrow Granule	0.6 ⁵	2.8	6.2b	9.0b	1.52cd
Acephate 97S + Admire Pro 4.6SC	In-Furrow Spray	1.0 ⁶ +0.33 ⁶	2.6	1.8b	4.3b	1.47cd
Acephate 97S	In-Furrow Spray	1.0 ⁶	3.1	2.7b	5.8b	1.68c
Admire Pro 4.6SC	In-Furrow Spray	0.33 ⁶	2.1	1.9b	4.0b	1.49cd
<i>P>F</i>			0.65	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

Means within a column followed by a common letter are not significantly different (FPLSD 0.05).

¹oz product / cwt.

²mg AI / seed.

³mg AI / seed. Avicta Elite applied at the listed rate contains 0.375 mg AI thiamethoxam (Cruiser), 0.15 mg AI abamectin, and 0.375 mg AI imidacloprid.

⁴mg AI / seed. Aeris applied at the listed rate contains 0.375 mg AI imidacloprid (Gaucho) and 0.375 mg AI thiodicarb.

⁵lb AI / per acre, in-furrow granule.

⁶lb AI / per acre, in-furrow spray.

Table 4. Impact of selected at-planting treatments on densities of thrips adults, immatures, and total thrips and thrips damage at the 4 leaf growth stage.

Treatment	Application Method	Rate	Thrips / 5 Plants			Damage Rating
			Adults	Immatures	Total	
Fungicide only	Seed Treatment	-	6.4bc	19.3ab	25.6abc	2.70a
Acephate 97S	Seed Treatment	6.4 ¹	5.0c	13.8bc	18.7bc	1.98b
Acephate 97S + Gaucho 5FS	Seed Treatment	6.4 ¹ +0.375 ²	6.3bc	15.2bc	21.5bc	1.43cd
Gaucho 5FS	Seed Treatment	0.375 ²	5.7bc	15.6bc	21.2bc	1.70bc
Aeris ⁴	Seed Treatment	0.75 ²	7.4abc	18.9ab	26.3ab	1.70bc
Avicta Elite ³	Seed Treatment	0.525 ² +0.375 ²	9.6a	23.6a	32.5a	1.48cd
AgLogic 15G	In-Furrow Granule	0.6 ⁵	5.2c	13.5bc	18.7bc	1.28d
Acephate 97S + Admire Pro 4.6SC	In-Furrow Spray	1.0 ⁶ +0.33 ⁶	6.0bc	11.2c	17.4c	1.30d
Acephate 97S	In-Furrow Spray	1.0 ⁶	6.7bc	18.1ab	24.7abc	1.60c
Admire Pro 4.6SC	In-Furrow Spray	0.33 ⁶	8.5ab	17.0abc	25.7ab	1.70bc
<i>P>F</i>			0.04	0.03	0.01	<0.01

Means within a column followed by a common letter are not significantly different (FPLSD 0.05).

¹oz product / cwt.

²mg AI / seed.

³mg AI / seed. Avicta Elite applied at the listed rate contains 0.375 mg AI thiamethoxam (Cruiser), 0.15 mg AI abamectin, and 0.375 mg AI imidacloprid.

⁴mg AI / seed. Aeris applied at the listed rate contains 0.375 mg AI imidacloprid (Gaucho) and 0.375 mg AI thiodicarb.

⁵lb AI / per acre, in-furrow granule.

⁶lb AI / per acre, in-furrow spray.

Table 5. Impact of selected at-planting treatments on cotton yield.

Treatment	Application Method	Rate	Lint Yield (lb / Acre)
Fungicide only	Seed Treatment	-	1,256
Acephate 97S	Seed Treatment	6.4 ¹	1,309
Acephate 97S + Gaucho 5FS	Seed Treatment	6.4 ¹ +0.375 ²	1,358
Gaucho 5FS	Seed Treatment	0.375 ²	1,289
Aeris ⁴	Seed Treatment	0.75 ²	1,313
Avicta Elite ³	Seed Treatment	0.525 ² +0.375 ²	1,336
AgLogic 15G	In-Furrow Granule	0.6 ⁵	1,343
Acephate 97S + Admire Pro 4.6SC	In-Furrow Spray	1.0 ⁶ +0.33 ⁶	1,344
Acephate 97S	In-Furrow Spray	1.0 ⁶	1,302
Admire Pro 4.6SC	In-Furrow Spray	0.33 ⁶	1,356
<i>P>F</i>			0.29

Means within a column followed by a common letter are not significantly different (FPLSD 0.05).

¹oz product / cwt.

²mg AI / seed.

³mg AI / seed. Avicta Elite applied at the listed rate contains 0.375 mg AI thiamethoxam (Cruiser), 0.15 mg AI abamectin, and 0.375 mg AI imidacloprid.

⁴mg AI / seed. Aeris applied at the listed rate contains 0.375 mg AI imidacloprid (Gaucho) and 0.375 mg AI thiodicarb.

⁵lb AI / per acre, in-furrow granule.

⁶lb AI / per acre, in-furrow spray.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the technicians and summer employees at the participating institutions for their assistance with these studies; also Cotton Incorporated for financial support.

References

- Cook, D. R., C. T. Allen, E. Burris, B. L. Freeman, G. A. Herzog, G. L. Lentz, B. R. Leonard, and J. T. Reed. 2003. A survey of thrips (Thysanoptera) species infesting cotton seedlings in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee. *J. Entomol. Science* 38:669-681.
- Darnell-Crumpton, C., A. L. Catchot, D. R. Cook, J. Gore, D. M. Dodds, S. C. Morsello, and F. Musser. 2018. Neonicotinoid insecticide resistance in tobacco thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) of Mississippi. *J. of Econ. Entomol.*, 10.1093/jee/toy298.
- Huseth, A. S, T. M. Chappell, K. Langdon, S. C. Morsello, S. Martin, J. K. Greene, A. Herbert, A. L. Jacobson, F. P. F. Reay-Jones, T. Reed, D. D. Reisig, P. M. Roberts, R. Smith, and G. G. Kennedy. 2016. *Frankliniella fusca* resistance to neonicotinoid insecticides: an emerging challenge for cotton pest management in the eastern United States. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 72:1934-1945.
- Stewart, S. D., D. S. Akin, J. Reed, J. Bacheler, A. Catchot, D. Cook, J. Gore, J. Greene, A. Herbert, R. E. Jackson, D. L. Kerns, B. R. Leonard, G. M. Lorenz, S. Micinski, D. Reisig, P. Roberts, G. Studebaker, K. Tindall, and M. Toews. 2013. Survey of thrips species infesting cotton across the Southern U.S. cotton belt. *J. Cotton Science* 17: 263-269.