REPORT OF THE COTTONSEED TREATMENT COMMITTEE FOR 2014

C. S. Rothrock

S. A. Winters

Dept. of Plant Pathology - University of Arkansas

Fayetteville, AR

J.D. Barham

SWREC - University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture

Hope, AR

A. B. Beach

NEREC - University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture

Keiser, AR

M. B. Bayles

Oklahoma State University

Stillwater, OK

P. D. Colver

LSU AgCenter

Bossier City, LA

Heather Marie Kelly

WTREC - The University of Tennessee Institute of Agriculture

Jackson, TN

R. C. Kemerait

Department of Plant Pathology - University of Georgia

Tifton, GA

G. W. Lawrence

Mississippi State University

Mississippi State, MS

K. S. Lawrence

Auburn University

Auburn, AL

Hillary Mehl

Tidewater Ag Res & Ext Ctr - Virginia Tech

Suffolk, VA

Trey Price

LSU AgCenter- Northeast Region

Winnsboro, LA

J. E. Woodward

Texas AgriLife Extension Service

Lubbock, TX

Abstract

The 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program evaluated cotton seedling survival for a number of fungicide seed treatment combinations over diverse environmental conditions and populations of cotton seedling pathogens. Seven fungicide seed treatments were nominated by chemical industry representatives for evaluation in 2014. The results from the 13 locations where stand data were collected for the 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program indicated that seed treatment fungicides improved stands of cotton compared to the nontreated control for 31% of the locations (4 locations). Two of the seven nominated seed treatments increased stand compared to the nontreated control at three locations where a stand response was observed. In addition, all but two of the nominated treatment combinations improved stands over the historical standard fungicide seed treatment Vitavax-PCNB + Allegiance FL at one of these four locations. Stand for the nontreated seed was negatively correlated with the hypocotyl disease index, -0.63 (*P*=0.0211). Isolation of *Thielaviopsis basicola* was positively correlated with soil populations of *Thielaviopsis basicola*, 0.99 (*P*=<0.0001).

Introduction

The 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program evaluated cotton seedling survival for a number of fungicide seed

treatment combinations over diverse environmental conditions and populations of cotton seedling pathogens. Seven fungicide seed treatments were nominated by chemical industry representatives for evaluation in the 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program. Two historical standard fungicide treatments, Vitavax-PCNB + Allegiance and RTU Baytan-Thiram + Allegiance, and a nontreated control were included to assess efficacy of the nominations and seedling disease pressure. In addition, the fungicide treatments Allegiance and PCNB were included to aid in determining the importance of *Pythium* spp. and *Rhizoctonia solani*, respectively. Disease ratings and pathogen isolations for seedlings and soil populations of selected soilborne genera were conducted by collecting seedlings and soil from the nontreated control plots at each location. Soil temperature and water and plant development data also were collected for sites for the 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program.

Materials and Methods

Fungicide treatment

Acid-delinted seed of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. cv 'DP 1044 B2RF' were provided by Delta and Pine Land Company, Scott, MS. Fungicide treatments were mixed with CaCO₃ (7 oz/cwt), polymer (Secure 1 oz/cwt), and dye (Color Coat Red, 1 oz/cwt) (Syngenta Crop Protection) and Gaucho 600 (12.8 oz/cwt) (Bayer CropScience) in water at a rate of 2.75% (RTU-PCNB 2.86%) liquid to seed weight (w/w). Water, CaCO₃, polymer, Gaucho 600, and dye also were applied to the nontreated seed treatment at the same rate. Treatments were applied to the cottonseed while the seed mixed in a Hege 11 Liquid Seed Treater. When two or more fungicides were applied, the fungicides were mixed and applied in a single application. The technical information for the fungicides is provided in Table 1. Seed germination was evaluated for all treated and nontreated seed by rolling seed in moistened germination paper and incubating for 3 days at 30°C.

Field experiments

Data from the 13 field experiments reported were conducted by 12 cooperators across the U.S. Cotton Belt (Table 2). Each location utilized a randomized complete block experimental design, with the number of replications ranging from 4 to 8. The stand counts used in the analyses were taken from 19 to 47 days after planting, average 31 days, depending on the location. A soil sample and seedling sample from plots containing nontreated seed were taken from 27 to 64 days after planting, average 38 days, depending on the location. Soil and seedlings were placed in insulated packages with refrigerated cool packs and mailed overnight to the University of Arkansas for processing. A subsample of soil was sent to Dr. T. L. Kirkpatrick, Southwest Research and Extension Center, Hope, Arkansas, for determination of populations of plant parasitic nematodes. Soil temperature and moisture were monitored by burying a temperature sensor and a Watermark soil moisture sensor connected to a data logger (Spectrum Technologies, Inc., Plainfield, IL) 4" deep at planting.

Seedlings were evaluated for growth by recording the number of nodes from five arbitrarily selected seedlings and then the aboveground portions of all seedlings were removed and discarded. Seedlings were then rinsed for 20 minutes in running tap water. Approximately 50 seedlings were rated for disease symptoms, surface disinfested by immersion for 1.5 min in 0.5% NaClO, blotted dry in a paper towel, and plated on water agar (1.3%) amended with 10 mg and 250 mg of the antibiotics rifampicin and ampicillin, respectively, and 0.5 µl of the miticide Danitol (Valent Chemical Co.) per liter. The hypocotyl disease severity index was 1=no symptoms, 2=few pinpoint lesions or diffuse discolored areas, 3=distinct necrotic lesion, 4=girdling lesion, and 5=seedling dead. The root disease index was 1=no symptoms, 2=1-10% of the root system discolored, 3=11-25% of the root system discolored, 4=26-50% of the root system discolored, 5=51-75% of the root system discolored, and 6>75% of the root system discolored. Resulting colonies were transferred to PDA and identified to genus. Seedlings were subsequently transferred to the Thielaviopsis selective medium TB-CEN (Specht and Griffin, 1985), which was modified by adding Penicillin G (60 mg/L), to determine isolation frequency for *Thielaviopsis basicola*.

Soil samples were assayed for populations of *Rhizoctonia* spp. by using the toothpick-baiting-method (Paulitz and Schroeder, 2005) using 9 toothpicks per sample and *Rhizoctonia* populations were quantified on the Rhizoctonia selective medium TS (Spurlock et al., 2011). Soil populations of *Pythium* spp. and *Thielaviopsis basicola* were detected by diluting 25 g (oven dry weight) of soil in 0.2% water agar to a total volume of 250 ml and placing on a wrist action shaker for 20 minutes. *Pythium* spp. were quantified by the spread-plate method on the selective medium P₅ARP (Jeffers and Martin, 1986) and *Thielaviopsis basicola* populations were quantified using the pourplate method with the modified selective medium TB-CEN.

Table 1. Fungicides, formulations and active ingredients included in the 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program.

Common or registered name¹ Formulation Active ingredient (%)

A9382A Syngenta Crop Protection

A9625C Syngenta Crop Protection

A16148C Syngenta Crop Protection

ALLEGIANCE FL (Metalaxyl) Flowable 28.35% *N*-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-*N*-(methoxyacetyl) alanine methyl ester 28.35% (R,S)-2-{(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-methoxyacetylamino}-propionic acid methyl ester

APRON XL 3LS (Metenoxam) Liquid 33.3% (R,S)-2-{(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-methoxyacetylamino}-propionic acid methyl ester DYNASTY CST (Azoxystrobin) Flowable 6.64% Methyl (E)-2-{2-[6-(2-cyanophenoxy)pyrimidin-4-yloxy]phenyl}-3-methoxyacrylate

(Fludioxonil) 1.11% 4-(2,2-difluoro-1,3-benzodioxol-4-yl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carbonitrile

(Mefenoxam) 3.32% (R,S)-2-{(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-methoxyacetylamino}-propionic acid methyl ester EVERGOL ENERGY (Penflufen) Flowable 3.59% N-[2-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)phenyl]-5-fluoro-1,3-dimethyl-1Hpyrazole-4-carboxamide

(Prothioconazole) 7.18%2-[2-(1-chlorocyclopropyl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxypropyl]-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione (Metalaxyl) 5.74% *N*-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-*N*-(methoxyacetyl) alanine methyl ester

(Metalaxyl) 5.74% *N*-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-*N*-(methoxyacetyl) alanine methyl ester

EVERGOL PRIME (Penflufen) Flowable 22.4% N-[2-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)phenyl]-5-fluoro-1,3-dimethyl-1Hpyrazole-4-carboxamide

EVERGOL XTEND (Penflufen) Flowable 13.3% N-[2-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)phenyl]-5-fluoro-1,3-dimethyl-1Hpyrazole-4-carboxamide

(Trifloxystrobin) 13.3% methyl (E)-methoxyimino- $\{(E)-\alpha-[1-(\alpha,\alpha,\alpha-\text{trifluoro-m-tolyl})\text{ethylideneaminooxy}]$ -o-tolyl $\{(E)-(\alpha,\alpha,\alpha-\text{trifluoro-m-tolyl})\}$

MAXIM 4FS (Fludioxonil) Liquid 40.3% 4-(2.2-difluoro- 1.3-benzodioxol-4-vl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carbonitrile

RIZOLEX (Tolclofos-methyl) Flowable 40-44% Phosphorothioic acid, O-(2,6-dichloro-4-methylphenyl) O,O-dimethyl phosphorothioate

RTU BAYTAN-Thiram Flowable 15.3% Tetramethylthiuram disulfide

(Triadimenol) 5% Beta-(4-Chlorophenoxy)-alpha-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole-1-ethanol,

Flowable 24% Pentachloronitrobenzene

SPERA 240FS (Myclobutanil) Flowable 22.37% A-butyl-a-(4-chlorophenyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole-1-propanenitrile SYSTHANE 40WSP (Myclobutanil)Powder 40% A-butyl-a-(4-chlorophenyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole-1-propanenitrile VITAVAX (Carboxin) – PCNB Flowable 17% 5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-N-phenyl-1,4-oxathiin-3-carboxamide

17% Pentachloronitrobenzene

VORTEX (Ipconazole) Flowable 40.7% 2-[(4-chlorophenyl)methyl]-5-(1-methylethyl)-1-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-ylmethyl)cyclopentanol

RTU PCNB

¹ Registered chemical name, all capital letters.

| | | | | Date | | | Row feet | Seed | Soil |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------|----------|---------|--------------------------|
| Cooperator | Location | | Planted | Sampled | Counted | Reps. | counted | planted | temperature ¹ |
| K. Lawrence | Auburn, AL | (AL) | 4/24 | 5/28 | 5/28 | 5 | 25 | 100 | 23(7) |
| J. Barham | Hope, AR | (AR1) | 5/6 | 6/2 | 6/2 | 4 | 40 | 120 | 23(19) |
| A. Beach | Keiser,AR | (AR2) | 4/24 | 5/24 | 5/24 | 6 | 20 | 100 | 20(14) |
| C. Rothrock | Judd Hill, AR | (AR4) | 5/6 | 6/4 | 6/4 | 6 | 50 | 250 | 23(20) |
| R. Kemerait | Tifton, GA | (GA) | 5/13 | 6/19 | 6/12 | 4 | 50 | 150 | $22(^{2})$ |
| P. Colyer | Bossier City, LA | (LA1) | 4/23 | 5/27 | 5/27 | 8 | 25 | 100 | $20(20)^3$ |
| T. Price | Winnsboro, LA | (LA2) | 4/21 | 5/19 | 5/19 | 5 | 20 | 100 | 22(16) |
| G. Lawrence | Mississippi State, MS | (MS1) | 4/24 | 5/26 | 6/1 | 5 | 40 | 160 | 21(18) |
| M. Bayles | Perkins, OK | (OK3) | 5/23 | 7/9 | 7/9 | 4 | 20 | 100 | 25(20) |
| H. Kelly | Jackson, TN | (TN) | 4/23 | 5/28 | 5/28 | 4 | 60 | 240 | 19(14) |
| J. Woodward | Halfway, TX | (TX10) | 5/6 | 7/9 | 5/29 | 4 | 70 | 280 | 17(16) |
| J. Woodward | Quaker, TX | (TX10) | 5/9 | 7/9 | 5/28 | 4 | 140 | 560 | $24(22)^4$ |
| H. Mehl | Suffolk, VA | (VA) | 5/5 | 6/4 | 6/4 | 4 | 60 | 240 | 20(15) |

Table 2. List of cooperators and procedures for locations in the 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program.

Statistics

Data were analyzed by the GLM procedure using SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC). Percent stand was analyzed over locations and by location. Treatment means were separated by using a protected LSD at *P*=0.05. The Pearson-product correlation method was used to examine the relationship among soil temperature, percent stand, disease, pathogen isolation frequency, and soil populations over locations.

Results and Discussion

Seed germination after seed treatment ranged from 96% to 99%, with an average germination of 98%. For the 13 trials in the 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program reporting stand data, there were significant location, treatment, and location × treatment effects (Table 3), indicating that the treatment response was dependent on the environment or pathogen pressures for a particular location.

Significant increases in stands for a fungicide treatment compared to the nontreated control were only found for 4 of the 13 locations (Table 4). This frequency of response, 31%, is lower than in past years. The mean stand for a location was not related to locations where stands were increased by fungicide treatments, suggesting factors other than seedling diseases were important at some locations in 2014 in stand establishment. The Allegiance treatment increased stands compared to the nontreated control in 0 of these 4 locations having a significant response, indicating Pythium spp. as a group was not limiting stand establishment in 2014. At 2 of these 4 locations (LA1 and MS1), the PCNB treatment increased stands over the nontreated control, indicating *Rhizoctonia solani* was a major factor in stand establishment at these locations in 2014. Vitavax-PCNB + Allegiance, the historical standard fungicide treatment, increased stands compared to the nontreated control at 2 of these 4 locations having a fungicide seed treatment response (LA1 and MS1). The RTU BaytanThiram + Allegiance FL standard treatment increased stands at 3 of the 4 locations having a fungicide response (AR2, LA1, and MS1). The nominated products increased stand for 2 or 3 locations of the 4 locations where a response was found. The nominated treatments that increased stands over the nontreated control for 3 of the 4 locations where a significant stand response was observed were Vortex + Allegiance + Spera + Evergol Prime and Apron XL + Maxim + Systhane + Dynasty CST + A9625C + A16148C + A9382A. Five of the 7 nominated treatments significantly increased stands compared to the historical standard fungicide treatment Vitavax-PCNB + Allegiance.

Seedling development across the locations at the time of disease assessment and isolation ranged from 3.7 nodes to 14.0 nodes (Table 5). Hypocotyl disease indices ranged from 2.0 at TXQ to 2.7 at the AR1 location, average 2.3 (Table 5). Root disease indices ranged from 1.9 for the TN location to 3.2 for the LA2 location, average 2.5. *Rhizoctonia solani* was isolated from seedlings from the nontreated plots for 11 of the 13 locations (Table 5).

¹ Mean (Minimum) 4" soil temperature; 3-day average following planting.

² Not Available

³ 6" depth

^{4 8&}quot; depth

Table 3. Mean squares for the combined analysis of variance across locations, 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program.

| 10 Cathonis, 201 : 1 (attorial Cottonis Cathonis 11 Cathonis 11 Cathonis | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Source | Degrees of freedom | Mean squares ¹ | | | | | | |
| Location | 12 | 20779^{*} | | | | | | |
| Replication(Location) | 51 | 192* | | | | | | |
| Treatment | 11 | 554* | | | | | | |
| Location*treatment | 132 | 114** | | | | | | |
| Error | 561 | 88 | | | | | | |

¹ Significant *F*-test; * P < 0.0001 or ** P = 0.0240.

Table 4. Cotton seedling stands for locations of the 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program.

| | | | | | | | | Pl | ant sta | nd (%) | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|---------|--------|------|------|------|------|----------|
| Treatment | Rate (oz/cwt) | AL | AR1 | AR2 | AR4 | GA | LA1 | LA2 | MS1 | OK3 | TN | TXH | TXQ | VA | Mean |
| Vortex + Allegiance + Spera + | 0.08+0.75+1.8 | 78 | 25 | 75 | 71 | 89 | 90 | 88 | 80 | 67 | 65 | 43 | 73 | 84 | 71 |
| Evergol Prime | +0.32 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apron XL + Maxim + | 7.5+2.5+21.0 g ai/100kg seed | 78 | 29 | 61 | 68 | 80 | 89 | 87 | 75 | 70 | 63 | 48 | 75 | 84 | 70 |
| Systhane + Dynasty CST | +0.03 mg ai/seed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vortex + Allegiance + Spera + | 0.08+0.75+1.8 | 79 | 25 | 58 | 75 | 84 | 90 | 89 | 83 | 64 | 60 | 39 | 72 | 77 | 69 |
| Evergol Prime + Rizolex | +0.32+1.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vortex + Allegiance + Spera + | 0.08+0.75+1.8 | 78 | 24 | 68 | 70 | 83 | 90 | 87 | 71 | 73 | 65 | 36 | 76 | 74 | 69 |
| Evergol Prime + Evergol Energy | +0.32+2.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apron XL + Maxim + | 7.5+2.5+21.0 g ai/100kg seed | 80 | 17 | 55 | 73 | 82 | 91 | 90 | 74 | 65 | 54 | 34 | 74 | 75 | 66 |
| Systhane + Dynasty CST + | +0.03 mg ai/seed+1.0+7.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A9625C + A16148C + A9382A | +11.0 g ai/100kg seed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vortex + Allegiance + Spera + | 0.08+0.75+1.8 | 72 | 15 | 71 | 72 | 83 | 89 | 86 | 70 | 59 | 63 | 43 | 64 | 74 | 66 |
| Evergol Prime + Evergol Xtend | +0.32+0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apron XL + Maxim + | 7.5+2.5+21.0 g ai/100kg seed | 67 | 20 | 55 | 67 | 82 | 90 | 81 | 84 | 63 | 55 | 39 | 69 | 75 | 65 |
| Systhane + Dynasty CST + | +0.03 mg ai/seed+1.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A9625C + A16148C + A9382A | +10.0+11.0 g ai/100kg seed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RTU BaytanThiram + Allegiance | 3.0+0.75 | 73 | 30 | 70 | 67 | 86 | 89 | 88 | 82 | 74 | 64 | 38 | 64 | 78 | 70 |
| Vitavax-PCNB + Allegiance | 6.0+0.75 | 63 | 28 | 61 | | 81 | 87 | 85 | 73 | 64 | 60 | 38 | 73 | 76 | 66 |
| RTU-PCNB | 14.5 | 60 | 13 | 48 | | 86 | 88 | 88 | 82 | 78 | 45 | 34 | 70 | 69 | 63 |
| Allegiance | 1.5 | 64 | 21 | 59 | 65 | 79 | 75 | 86 | 65 | 62 | 56 | 38 | 71 | 69 | 62 |
| Nontreated | | 65 | 19 | 50 | | 81 | 80 | 88 | 60 | 66 | 52 | 39 | 73 | 79 | 63 |
| Location average | | 71 | 22 | 61 | 69 | 83 | 87 | 87 | 75 | 67 | 59 | 39 | 71 | 76 | |
| Coefficient of Variation (%) | | 15.7 | 57.2 | 20.6 | 13.9 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 13.5 | 17.3 | 16.1 | 18.5 | 11.8 | 10.1 | |
| LSD (P=0.05) | | 14.3 | NS | 14.5 | NS | NS | 5.9 | NS | 12.9 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | <u> </u> |

Rhizoctonia solani was isolated from at least 20% of the seedlings for the LA2, MS1, and VA locations. Pythium spp. were isolated from seedlings from 12 of 13 locations (Table 5). Pythium was isolated from 20% of the seedling for the AR2 site. Isolation frequencies for Pythium spp. increased dramatically by plating roots without surface disinfestation on the selective medium P₅ARP (Table 5). Thielaviopsis basicola was isolated from seedlings at 3 of the 13 locations using the modified TB-CEN medium (Table 5). Thielaviopsis basicola was isolated from greater than 40% of the seedlings for the AR4 and TXH locations. Fusarium spp. were isolated from seedlings at all 13 locations (Table 5). Isolation frequencies for Fusarium spp. ranged from 60 to 98%.

Table 5. Disease ratings and isolation frequencies of seedling pathogen groups for the 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program locations.

| =01.110 | Isolation frequency (%) ¹ | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|---------------|----------|
| | | Diseas | e index | Rhizoctonia | Pythium | Thielaviopsis | Fusarium |
| Location | Nodes ² | Hyp. ³ | Root ⁴ | solani | spp. | basicola | spp. |
| AL | 5.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 4 | 8 (83) | 5 0 | 96 |
| AR1 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 16 | 10 (98) | 0 | 98 |
| AR2 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 16 | 20 (84) | 0 | 80 |
| AR4 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 4 | 4 (90) | 98 | 90 |
| GA | 4.7 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2 | 12 (68) | 0 | 88 |
| LA1 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 14 | 18 (100 | 0 | 78 |
| LA2 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 26 | 12 (78) | 0 | 98 |
| MS1 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 28 | 6 (86) | 2 | 60 |
| OK3 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2 | 4(2) | 0 | 96 |
| TN | 4.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 14 | 0 (100 | 0 | 82 |
| TXH | 9.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 0 | 2 (19) | 48 | 96 |
| TXQ | 14.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0 | 8 (44) | 0 | 92 |
| VA | 4.7 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 20 | 6 (100 | 0 | 94 |

¹ Isolation frequency is based on approximately 50 seedlings per location.

Rhizoctonia solani was detected in soil for 6 of the 13 soils assayed, range 0.7 to 4.2 propagules/100 cm³ of soil (Table 6). Pythium spp. were detected in soil at 5 locations for the 9 soils assayed, range 17 to 158 CFU/g of soil (Table 6). Thielaviopsis basicola was detected in 2 of the 13 soils assayed, range 31 to 69 CFU/g soil (Table 6). Stand for the nontreated seed was negatively correlated with the hypocotyl disease index, -0.63 (P=0.0211). Isolation of Thielaviopsis basicola was positively correlated with soil populations of Thielaviopsis basicola, 0.99 (P=<0.0001).

Summary

The results from the 13 locations where stand data were collected for the 2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program indicated that seed treatment fungicides improved stands of cotton compared to a nontreated control for 31% of the locations (4 locations). Two of the seven nominated seed treatments increased stand compared to the nontreated control at three of the four locations where a stand response was observed. In addition, all but two of the nominated treatment combinations improved stands over the historical standard fungicide seed treatment Vitavax-PCNB + Allegiance at one of these four locations. Stand for the nontreated seed was negatively correlated with the hypocotyl disease index, -0.63 (P=0.0211). Isolation of *Thielaviopsis basicola* was positively correlated with soil populations of *Thielaviopsis basicola*, 0.99 (P=<0.0001).

² Nodes based on five seedlings per location.

³ Hypocotyl index; 1=no symptoms, 2=few pinpoint lesions or diffuse discolored areas, 3=distinct necrotic lesion, 4=girdling lesion, and 5=seedling dead.

⁴ Root index; 1=no symptoms, 2=1-10% of the root system discolored, 3=11-25% of the root system discolored, 4=26-50% of the root system discolored, 5=51-75% of the root system discolored, and 6>75% of the root system discolored.

⁵ Isolation frequency in parentheses from P₅ARP.

Table 6. Soil populations of selected soilborne genera from sites in the

2014 National Cottonseed Treatment Program.

| | Rhizoctonia | Pythium | Thielaviopsis |
|----------|-----------------|---------|---------------|
| Location | solani | spp. | basicola |
| | $CFU^1/100cm^3$ | CFU/g | CFU/g |
| AL | 0^2 | 3 | 0 |
| AR1 | 0 | | 0 |
| AR2 | 4.2 | 16.5 | 0 |
| AR4 | 0.7 | 157.5 | 69 |
| GA | 0 | 29.6 | 0 |
| LA1 | 0.7 | 32.0 | 0 |
| LA2 | 0.7 | 67.5 | 0 |
| MS1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0 |
| OK3 | 0 | | 0 |
| TN | 0 | | 0 |
| TX-H | 0 | 0.0 | 31 |
| TX-Q | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0 |
| VA | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |

¹ Colony forming units.

Disclaimer

This paper reports the results of research only. Mention of a pesticide in this paper does not constitute a recommendation by the University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture nor does it imply registration under FIFRA.

References

Jeffers, S. N., and S. B. Martin. 1986. Comparison of two media selective for *Phytophthora* and *Pythium* species. Plant Dis. 70:1038-1040.

Paulitz, T. C., and K. L. Schroeder. 2005. A new method for the quantification of *Rhizoctonia solani* and *R. oryzae* from soil. Plant Dis. 89:767-772.

Specht, L. P., and G. J. Griffin. 1985. A selective medium for enumerating low populations of *Thielaviopsis basicola*. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 7:438-441.

Spurlock, T., C. Rothrock, and W. Monfort. 2011. A new selective medium for isolation of *Rhizoctonia* spp. from soil. (Abstr.) Phytopathology 101:S170.

² Populations not detected in soil sample; less than approximately 0.4 CFU/100 cm³ of soil for *Rhizoctonia solani*, 8 CFU/g of soil for *Pythium* spp. and 0.5 CFU/g of soil for *Thielaviopsis basicola*.

³ Information not available.