CONTROLLED RELEASE N FERTILIZERS FOR MISSOURI COTTON PRODUCTION

Andrea Jones
David Dunn
University of Missouri Delta Center
Portageville, MO

Abstract

Liquid and granular controlled release fertilizers were compared to traditional fertilizers on three cotton soil types. In the first two years of a three year study, results indicate on silt soils a controlled release liquid fertilizer and the treatments with split applications performed best. On clay soils, the superior treatment was the granular controlled release. On sand soils the tradition urea split application and the granular slow release fertilizer produced the highest yields.

Introduction

Supplemental nitrogen fertilization is often required to maximize cotton production in Missouri. A common cotton production system is to apply 60 lbs N/a pre-plant followed by 60 lbs N/a at pinhead square. With increasing labor and fuel costs cotton producers are looking for ways to save money. It would be desirable to apply the entire N needed pre-plant and save subsequent fuel and labor costs associated with mid-season N applications. Our previous research at the Delta Center has clearly shown that there is a yield penalty with all pre-plant N programs and that this is great enough to overcome the increased costs of split applications.

Controlled release N fertilizers have the possibility to overcome the yield penalty of all pre-plant systems while saving cotton producers the time and expense of mid-season applications. True controlled release fertilizers make use of either chemicals or physical barriers, which delay the availability of nitrogen in the soil system. In these ways the applied nitrogen is protected from potential losses until the plant needs it. A drawback of controlled release fertilizers is that this availability must be synchronized to plant needs.

Two controlled release N fertilizers, one liquid (Nfusion, Georgia Pacific Inc.) and one granular (ESN, Agrium, Inc.) are currently being marketed for agricultural production. Both of these products have been formulated and optimized for corn production. These products are more expensive than traditional N fertilizers, costing about 0.10 more per lb of N.

The objective is to evaluate the effectiveness controlled release N fertilizers relative to pre-plant and split nitrogen fertilizer programs for Missouri cotton production and investigate which, if any cotton soil type is most suited to profitable controlled release N fertilizer use.

Materials and Methods

This report covers the first two years of a continuing three year study. The experiment was conducted at three locations representing the major cotton soil types of Southeast Missouri (sand, silt loam, & gumbo). The soil type at the sand area was a Bosket fine sandy loam (fine-loamy, mixed, thermic Mollic Hapludalf), at the silt loam area a Tiptonville silt loam soil (fine-loamy, mixed, thermic Typic Argiudolls), and the gumbo area Sharkey clay (very fine, montmorillonitic, thermic Vertic Haplaquept) soil. At each location ten treatments of ESN, Nfusion, UAN, urea and combinations were checked against the area standard of 60 lbs N preplant and 60 lbs sidedress of urea. A list of the treatments can be found in Table 1. The experiment will be replicated four times in a randomized complete block.

Cotton was planted at each location in May. It was subsequently cultivated using the standard cultural practices for weed and insect control for producing irrigated cotton in Missouri. The cotton plots were defoliated in mid September of and harvested in October. The resulting seed-cotton was ginned and lint turnout percentage calculated. The resulting cotton lint was then analyzed for the fiber quality properties: micronaire, length, strength, uniformity, color grade and trash percentage. These fiber quality properties were determined at the International Textile Research Center in Lubbock Texas using high volume instrument analysis.

Statistical analyses of the data were preformed for each individual year and location with ARM.

Results

Silt: As shown in table 5, the 2010 yield results on silt soil show a good response to the three Nfusion + UAN treatments, although the results are not statistically different. Table 2 illustrates the 2010 nitrate levels in the petioles. The untreated check with no N applied and treatment 6, 120 lbs N with 50% of N from Nfusion and 50% from UAN, fall below the critical N levels after cutout on the August 17 test date. All treatments are above the critical level of N throughout the season. In 2011 both area standards, split application of UAN and urea, yielded the highest; however, none of the controlled release fertilizers treatments, liquid and granular, were significantly different. The results show no difference in fiber quality throughout the different treatments in 2010. In 2011 the untreated check did have a higher micronaire; however, it was not in discount range according to the 2011 loan chart.

Clay: In table 7, the yield results on clay soil in 2010 show that ESN and the area standard of 60 lbs urea pre-plant followed by 60 lbs urea sidedress are the superior treatments. There is no difference in fiber quality throughout the different treatments. Table 3 illustrates the nitrate levels in petioles. Expectedly, all treatments except the untreated check are above the critical levels of N. On the July 15 test date, the ESN treatments (9, 10, and 11) and the area standard of 60 lbs urea pre-plant followed by 60 lbs urea sidedress (treatment 8) have the highest ppm of N. The slow release N in treatments 9, 10, and 11 were also the highest yielding treatments. The results suggest that from petiole test dates July 1 and July 15 the slow release N was activated. In table 8, the 2011 yield results show treatment 11, 60 lbs ESN with 60 lbs urea preplant is the superior treatment. The area standard of split application of urea also yielded high with no significant difference to the highest yielding treatment.

Sand: In 2010 the highest yielder in the sandy soil trial is treatment 8, the area standard 60 lbs urea preplant and 60 lbs urea sidedress. The UAN and Nfusion + UAN treatments also did well. The ESN treatment did not perform well on sandy soils although the July 15 petiole nitrate levels do not show deficiencies in the ESN treatments. In 2011, the Clarkton location had a hail storm on May 28; as a result all yields were low. Treatment 10, 90 ESN + 30 urea applied pre-plant, was the highest yielder. The 120 UAN pre-plant was the lowest yielding treatment. All other treatments were not significantly different.

Acknowledgement

This research was made possible by the generous and continuing support of Cotton Inc. and the Missouri State Support Committee.

Table 1. Trial treatments and price per acre of each treatment.

Table 2. Petiole nitrate results from the Portageville, MO silt trial.

	NO3	NO3	NO3	NO3
	7/1/2010	7/15/2010	8/1/2010	8/17/2010
1 Untreated Check	37350 ab	33875 a	7963 d	1837 cd
2 120 UAN pre-plant	39350 ab	32250 a	8468 cd	$2003\ bcd$
3 60 UAN pre-plant + 60 UAN PHS	41900 a	37150 a	11585 a-d	4350 a
4 24 NFusion + 96 UAN pre-plant	36000 b	34875 a	9715 a-d	2298bcd
5 30 NFusion + 90 UAN pre-plant	41075 ab	34650 a	10160 a-d	2068bcd
6 60 NFusion + 60 UAN pre-plant	38100 ab	33250 a	9480 bcd	1048 d
7 120 urea pre-plant	38875 ab	32675 a	10900 a-d	2137bcd
8 60 urea pre-plant + 60 ureaPHS	38375 ab	38425 a	12300ab	3565 abc
9 120 ESN pre-plant	39650 ab	35025 a	13250 a	3710ab
10 90 ESN + 30 urea pre-plant	38850 ab	38725 a	12145 abc	3433abc
11 60 ESN + 60 urea pre-plant	39550 ab	36125 a	12400ab	2853 a-d
LSD (P=.05)	4909.91	5603.9	3235.87	1622.3
Standard Deviation	3400.42	3881.05	2241.04	1106.21
CV	8.72	2 11.03	3 20.83	41.53
Grand Mean	39006.82	35184.1	10760.45	2663.79

Table 3. Petiole nitrate results from the Portageville, MO clay trial.

	NO3	NO3	NO3
	7/1/2010	7/15/2010	8/1/2010
1 Untreated Check	3756 b	2921 f	264 a
2 120 UAN pre-plant	6173 ab	9148 de	231 a
3 60 UAN pre-plant + 60 UAN PHS	7045 ab	7558 ef	211 a
4 24 NFusion + 96 UAN pre-plant	5505 ab	11438 <i>b-е</i>	199 a
5 30 NFusion + 90 UAN pre-plant	8009 ab	8338 def	210 a
6 60 NFusion + 60 UAN pre-plant	6528 ab	9430 cde	207 a
7 120 urea pre-plant	10377 a	15500bc	212 a
8 60 urea pre-plant + 60 ureaPHS	9458 a	16650 ab	202 a
9 120 ESN pre-plant	7589 ab	16890 ab	221 a
10 90 ESN + 30 urea pre-plant	7863 ab	14208bcd	219 a
11 60 ESN + 60 urea pre-plant	8523 ab	21850 a	248 a
LSD (P=.05)	4215.77	5622.18	55.37
Standard Deviation	2915.4	3893.72	38.35
CV	39.68	31.98	17.41
Grand Mean	7347.52	12175.3	220.23

Table 4. Petiole nitrate results from the Clarkton, MO sand trial.

	NO3	NO3
	7/1/2010	7/15/2010
1 Untreated Check	14320 b	2388 c
2 120 UAN pre-plant	16550 ab	12013 b
3 60 UAN pre-plant + 60 UAN PHS	23575 ab	9533 b
4 24 NFusion + 96 UAN pre-plant	19925 ab	9840 b
5 30 NFusion + 90 UAN pre-plant	25050 a	10145 b
6 60 NFusion + 60 UAN pre-plant	22550 ab	11593 b
7 120 urea pre-plant	22150 ab	12888 b
8 60 urea pre-plant + 60 ureaPHS	21650 ab	24175 a
9 120 ESN pre-plant	23625 ab	14638 b
10 90 ESN + 30 urea pre-plant	25600 a	10665 b
11 60 ESN + 60 urea pre-plant	23600 ab	11238 b
LSD (P=.05)	8654.18	6900.05
Standard Deviation	5993.57	4778.72
CV	27.63	40.71
Grand Mean	21690.46	11737.55

Table 5. Yield and fiber quality from the 2010 Portageville, MO silt trial.

	Yield	Turnout Mic		length	Unif	Str	Str Elong		+b	Leaf	
1 Untreated Check	1082 a	35 a	5.0 a	1.19 ab	84.3 a	36.1 a	6.9 b	75.1 a	8.9 <i>abc</i>	3 a	
2 120 UAN pre-plant	1185 a	36 a	5.0 a	1.20ab	84.2 a	35.4 ab	7.0ab	75.2 a	8.6 c	3 a	
3 60 UAN pre-plant + 60 UAN PHS	1174 a	36 a	5.0 a	1.20 a	84.5 a	35.3 ab	7.1 <i>ab</i>	74.8 a	9.1 a	3 a	
4 24 NFusion + 96 UAN pre-plant	1266 a	36 a	5.0 a	1.19 ab	84.3 a	35.3 ab	7.2 <i>ab</i>	75.6 a	8.9 <i>abc</i>	4 a	
5 30 NFusion + 90 UAN pre-plant	1230 a	36 a	5.0 a	1.18 ab	84.4 a	35.2 ab	7.0ab	75.5 a	8.8 <i>bc</i>	3 a	
6 60 NFusion + 60 UAN pre-plant	1224 a	37 a	5.0 a	1.18 ab	84.6 a	35.7 ab	7.0ab	75.4 a	8.7 <i>bc</i>	3 a	
7 120 urea pre-plant	1218 a	37 a	5.0 a	1.19 ab	84.2 a	34.9 ab	7.2 <i>ab</i>	74.7 a	9.0ab	3 a	
8 60 urea pre-plant + 60 ureaPHS	1118 a	36 a	5.0 a	1.19 ab	84.2 a	35.4 ab	7.1 <i>ab</i>	75.3 a	8.9 <i>abc</i>	3 a	
9 120 ESN pre-plant	1138 a	36 a	5.1 a	1.19 ab	84.6 a	34.9 ab	7.2 <i>ab</i>	74.9 a	9.0ab	3 a	
10 90 ESN + 30 urea pre-plant	1096 a	36 a	5.1 a	1.18 ab	83.8 a	35.1 ab	7.2 <i>ab</i>	74.6 a	8.6 c	2 <i>a</i>	
11 60 ESN + 60 urea pre-plant	1160 a	37 a	5.0 a	1.17 b	84.0 a	34.6 b	7.3 a	74.6 a	8.8 <i>bc</i>	3 a	
LSD (P=.05)	160.6	0.02	0.172	0.0232	1.02	1.008	0.308	1.152	0.26	1.1	
Standard Deviation	111.2	0.02	0.119	0.016	0.705	0.697	0.213	0.797	0.18	0.7	1
CV	9.49	9 4.4 2.37		1.35	0.84	1.98	3	1.06	2.04	26)
Grand Mean	1171.7	0.36	5.01	1.18	84.27	35.25	7.1	75.06	8.83	3 2.9)

Table 6. Yield and fiber quality from the 2011 Portageville, MO silt trial.

		Yield		Turr	<u>iout</u>	Mic		Lengt	Length		<u>Unif</u>		Streng		Elon			<u>+b</u>		Leaf	
1	Untreated Check	1230	c	36	а	4.4	a	1.20	b	83.7	a	34.0	ab	6.5	a	77.1	a	7.3	b	7	а
2	120 UAN pre-plant	1308	abc	35	а	3.7	b	1.21	ab	82.8	a	34.3	a	6.5	a	78.0	a	7.5	ab	5	а
3	60 UAN pre-plant + 60 UAN PHS	1394	a	36	a	3.9	b	1.22	a	83.6	а	34.0	ab	6.4	а	77.5	a	7.4	ab	6	a
4	120 N (20% NFusion + 80% UAN)	1291	abc	34	a	3.6	b	1.21	ab	82.8	а	32.8	b	6.4	а	76.4	a	7.6	ab	7	a
5	120 N (25% NFusion + 75% UAN)	1361	abc	35	a	3.7	b	1.21	ab	83.2	a	33.9	ab	6.5	a	77.8	a	7.4	ab	6	a
6	120 N (50% NFusion + 50% UAN)	1313	abc	35	а	3.7	b	1.21	ab	83.0	a	34.2	a	6.5	a	77.0	a	7.4	ab	7	а
7	120 urea pre-plant	1246	bc	35	а	3.6	b	1.22	a	83.7	a	33.9	ab	6.5	a	77.7	a	7.6	ab	6	а
8	60 urea pre-plant + 60 ureaPHS	1369	ab	35	а	3.7	b	1.22	a	83.7	a	33.8	ab	6.5	a	77.8	a	7.7	ab	6	а
9	120 ESN pre-plant	1355	abc	35	a	3.8	b	1.22	a	83.3	a	33.3	ab	6.2	a	77.3	a	7.7	a	5	a
#	90 ESN + 30 urea pre-plant	1310	abc	35	a	3.6	b	1.23	a	83.8	a	33.3	ab	6.6	a	77.6	a	7.6	ab	6	a
#	60 ESN + 60 urea pre-plant	1305	abc	35	а	3.6	b	1.22	a	83.4	а	33.6	ab	6.2	а	77.2	а	7.5	ab	6	а
LS	D (P=.05)		116.5	0.	.018	0.	.31	0.0)169	0	.89	1	.078	0.2	283	1.5	508	0	.346		1.4
Sta	ndard Deviation		80.7	0.	.013	0.	.21	0.0	117	0.6	516	0	.747	0.1	96	1.0)45	0	.239		1
CV			6.13		3.62	5.	.68		0.96	0	.74		2.21	3	.06	1	.35		3.2		17
Gra	and Mean	13	16.45	(0.35	3.	.75		1.21	83	.36	3	3.72	6	.41	77	.38		7.49	:	5.8

Table 7. Yield and fiber quality from the 2010 Portageville, MO clay trial.

	Yield	Turnout Mic		Length	Unif	Str	Elon	Elon Rd		Leaf
1 Untreated Check	349 e	41 a 4.9 a		е	83.4 a	32.1 c	7.1 <i>a</i>	77.2 ab	8.0 b	2 ab
2 120 UAN pre-plant	493 de	40 a	5.0 a	1.15 e	83.9 a	32.5 bc	7.1 <i>a</i>	77.9 a	8.2 <i>ab</i>	2 ab
3 60 UAN pre-plant + 60 UAN PHS	493 de	40 a	5.0 a	1.16 <i>cde</i>	83.7 a	32.8abc	7.0 a	77.4 ab	8.2 <i>ab</i>	3 <i>a</i>
4 24 NFusion + 96 UAN pre-plant	482 de	41 a	5.0 a	1.16 de	83.6 a	32.6abc	7.1 <i>a</i>	77.8 a	8.3 <i>ab</i>	2 ab
5 30 NFusion + 90 UAN pre-plant	613 <i>bcd</i>	39 a	5.0 a	1.16 <i>b-e</i>	83.8 a	32.8abc	7.0 a	77.2 ab	8.3 <i>ab</i>	2 ab
6 60 NFusion + 60 UAN pre-plant	549 cd	38 a	5.0 a	1.15 e	83.4 a	33.1~abc	7.0 a	77.5 ab	8.1 <i>ab</i>	2 <i>b</i>
7 120 urea pre-plant	686abc	38 a	5.0 a	1.18 ab	84.0 a	33.8ab	7.0 a	77.1 ab	8.3 <i>ab</i>	3 ab
8 60 urea pre-plant + 60 ureaPHS	739 ab	39 a	5.0 a	1.17 <i>bcd</i>	83.9 a	33.6ab	7.0 a	77.6 ab	8.2 <i>ab</i>	3 ab
9 120 ESN pre-plant	744 ab	39 a	4.9 a	1.17abc	83.8 a	33.7 ab	7.0 a	77.6 ab	8.3 a	3 ab
10 90 ESN + 30 urea pre-plant	747 ab	39 a	5.0 a	1.16 <i>b-e</i>	83.8 a	33.6ab	7.0 a	77.6 ab	8.3 a	3 <i>a</i>
11 60 ESN + 60 urea pre-plant	839 a	37 a	4.9 a	1.19 a	84.0 a	33.8 a	7.0 a	76.7 b	8.3 <i>ab</i>	3 ab
LSD (P=.05)	156.9	0.04	0.144	0.0148	0.696	1.1	0.203	0.809	0.247	0.98
Standard Deviation	108.7	0.03	0.1	0.0103	0.482	0.762	0.14	0.56	0.171	0.68
CV	17.75	7.25	2.01	0.88	0.58	2.3	3 2	0.72	2.09	28.3
Grand Mean	612.35	0.39	4.97	1.16	83.74	33.12	7.01	77.41	8.22	2.41

Table 8. Yield and fiber quality from the 2011 Portageville, MO clay trial.

		Yield		Turr	<u>iout</u>	Mic	Length		<u>Unif</u>		Streng		Elon		<u>Rd</u>		<u>+b</u>		Leaf		
1	Untreated Check	691	d	38	а	4.4	a	1.17	b	82.9	a	34.2	a	6.6	a	76.7	a	7.4	b	7	a
2	120 UAN pre-plant	875	cd	38	a	4.4	a	1.19	ab	83.0	a	34.7	a	6.5	a	76.8	a	7.6	ab	6	a
3	60 UAN pre-plant + 60 UAN PHS	873	cd	38	a	4.4	a	1.17	b	83.0	a	33.9	a	6.4	a	76.8	a	7.5	ab	7	a
4	120 N (20% NFusion + 80% UAN)	1015	abc	37	a	4.4	а	1.20	a	83.3	a	34.1	a	6.5	a	77.0	a	7.6	ab	6	a
5	120 N (25% NFusion + 75% UAN)	1009	abc	38	a	4.4	а	1.20	a	83.5	a	34.9	a	6.7	a	77.1	a	7.7	ab	5	a
6	120 N (50% NFusion + 50% UAN)	887	bcd	38	a	4.4	a	1.18	ab	83.2	a	35.3	a	6.4	a	77.1	a	7.8	a	6	a
7	120 urea pre-plant	1140	ab	37	a	4.2	a	1.19	ab	82.8	a	34.6	a	6.5	a	77.1	a	7.8	a	5	а
8	60 urea pre-plant + 60 ureaPHS	1202	a	38	a	4.3	a	1.20	a	82.8	a	34.5	a	6.5	a	76.8	a	7.6	ab	6	a
9	120 ESN pre-plant	1085	abc	36	a	4.3	a	1.20	a	83.5	a	34.4	a	6.3	a	76.7	a	7.6	ab	7	a
10	90 ESN + 30 urea pre-plant	1104	abc	37	a	4.2	a	1.20	a	83.2	a	34.6	a	6.4	a	76.9	a	7.7	ab	6	a
11	60 ESN + 60 urea pre-plant	1252	a	38	a	4.4	a	1.20	a	82.7	a	34.3	a	6.5	a	76.8	a	7.8	a	6	а
	~																				
LSD	(P=.05)		226.8	(0.02	0.2	288	0.0)183	0	.94	1.	.21	0.3	809	1.1	27	0	.341		2
Stan	dard Deviation		156.8	0.	.014	0.1	199	0.0)127	0	.65	0.8	37	0.2	214	0.7	79	0	.236		1
CV			15.5		3.66	4	.59		1.07	0	.78	2	.43	3	.31	1	.01		3.09		17
Gran	d Mean	10	12.14	(0.38	4	.34		1.19	83	.07	34	.49	6	.46	76	.89		7.62		6

Table 9. Yield and fiber quality from the 2010 Clarkton, MO sand trial.

	Yield	Turnout	Mic	Length	Unif	Str	Elong	Rd	+ b	Leaf
1 Untreated Check	747 b	42 a	5.4 a	1.12 <i>b</i>	82.6 b	30.8 c	6.9 a	77.3 a	8.7 <i>b</i>	2 <i>a</i>
2 120 UAN pre-plant	1062 ab	38 ab	5.2 ab	1.14ab	83.2 ab	32.2 b	6.9 a	76.7 ab	8.7 <i>b</i>	3 a
3 60 UAN pre-plant + 60 UAN PHS	1009 ab	39 a	5.0 b	1.13 ab	83.0ab	32.2 b	6.9 a	76.8 ab	8.8ab	2 <i>a</i>
4 24 NFusion + 96 UAN pre-plant	948 ab	38 ab	5.2 ab	1.14ab	82.9 ab	32.7 ab	6.9 a	77.3 a	8.8ab	2 <i>a</i>
5 30 NFusion + 90 UAN pre-plant	1040ab	40 a	5.1 <i>b</i>	1.16 a	84.0 a	32.8ab	6.9 a	76.6 ab	8.8ab	2 <i>a</i>
6 60 NFusion + 60 UAN pre-plant	1068 ab	39 a	5.1 <i>b</i>	1.15 ab	83.3 ab	33.0ab	6.9 a	76.4 b	8.9 ab	3 a
7 120 urea pre-plant	881 <i>ab</i>	36 <i>ab</i>	4.9 bc	1.16 a	83.6 ab	33.4ab	6.8 a	76.9 ab	9.0ab	2 <i>a</i>
8 60 urea pre-plant + 60 ureaPHS	1174 a	39 a	4.7 c	1.16 a	83.6 ab	33.4ab	6.7 a	76.6 ab	9.1 a	3 a
9 120 ESN pre-plant	912 ab	33 b	4.9 bc	1.15 a	83.2 ab	32.6 b	7.0 a	76.4 b	8.9 ab	2 <i>a</i>
10 90 ESN + 30 urea pre-plant	845 ab	38 ab	5.0 b	1.16 a	83.9 a	33.1 ab	6.9 a	76.6 ab	8.8ab	3 a
11 60 ESN + 60 urea pre-plant	789 b	37 ab	4.6 c	1.15 a	83.4 ab	33.9 a	6.8 a	76.7 ab	8.9 <i>ab</i>	3 a
LSD (P=.05)	297.7	0.051	0.265	0.0252	0.897	1.106	0.28	0.692	0.364	1.31
Standard Deviation	206.2	0.036	0.183	0.0174	0.622	0.766	0.19	0.479	0.252	0.91
CV	21.65	9.33	3.67	1.52	0.75	2.34	2.83	0.62	2.85	38.1
Grand Mean	952.24	0.38	4.99	1.15	83.32	32.72	6.87	76.72	8.84	2.39

Table 10. Yield and fiber quality from the 2011 Clarkton, MO sand trial.

		Yield	<u>l</u>	Turr	out	Mic		Length		Length Unif		Stren		Elon		Rd		<u>+b</u>		<u>Leaf</u>			
1	Untreated Check	577	ab	40	a	4.2	ab	1.15	ab	82.1	a	33.3	ab	7.6	a	75.2	b	8.3	a	6	a		
2	120 UAN pre-plant	438	b	39	a	4.0	ab	1.13	b	82.6	a	32.9	ab	7.5	a	77.9	ab	8.3	a	5	a		
3	60 UAN pre-plant + 60 UAN PHS	538	ab	40	a	4.2	ab	1.16	ab	83.0	a	32.5	b	7.8	a	78.2	a	8.3	a	5	a		
4	120 N (20% NFusion + 80% UAN)	630	ab	38	a	4.3	ab	1.18	a	83.0	a	34.1	ab	7.6	a	77.5	ab	8.4	a	5	a		
5	120 N (25% NFusion + 75% UAN)	613	ab	38	a	4.1	ab	1.16	ab	82.8	a	32.8	ab	7.5	a	77.9	ab	8.3	a	5	a		
6	120 N (50% NFusion + 50% UAN)	482	ab	38	a	3.8	b	1.17	a	82.3	a	32.8	ab	7.5	a	78.1	a	8.4	a	5	a		
7	120 urea pre-plant	705	ab	38	a	4.1	ab	1.17	a	82.8	a	34.9	a	7.4	a	77.7	ab	8.5	a	5	a		
8	60 urea pre-plant + 60 ureaPHS	633	ab	38	a	3.9	ab	1.16	a	82.7	a	32.9	ab	7.4	a	77.3	ab	8.4	a	5	a		
9	120 ESN pre-plant	786	ab	40	a	4.6	a	1.16	a	82.9	a	33.5	ab	7.7	a	77.1	ab	8.4	a	5	a		
10	90 ESN + 30 urea pre-plant	828	a	38	a	4.5	a	1.17	a	83.2	a	34.5	ab	7.5	a	77.8	ab	8.3	a	4	a		
11	60 ESN + 60 urea pre-plant	680	ab	38	a	4.2	ab	1.17	a	83.1	a	33.4	ab	7.7	a	77.4	ab	8.4	a	5	a		
LSD	(P=.05)		328	0.	.024	0	.634	0.0	247	1.0	081	2.	078	0.3	67		2.36	0.2	258		2		
Stan	dard Deviation	2	27.2	0.	.017	0	.439	0.0	171	0.7	749	1.	439	0.2	254	1	.635	0.1	179		1.4		
CV		3	6.16	4	4.32	1	0.55	1.48		1.48 0.9		0.9 4.31		3.36		2.11		1 2.14		.4 29			
Gran	d Mean	62	8.32	(0.38		4.17	1.16		1.16		1.16 82.77		77 33.4		7.56		77.44		8.35		35 4.	