Agricultural Transportation Working Group

April 12, 2019

The Honorable Ann D. Begeman Chairman Surface Transportation Board 395 E St., S.W. Washington, DC 20423-0001 The Honorable Patrick Fuchs Vice Chairman Surface Transportation Board 395 E St., S.W. Washington, DC 20423-0001

The Honorable Martin Oberman Commissioner Surface Transportation Board 395 E St., S.W. Washington, DC 20423-0001

The undersigned members of the Agricultural Transportation Working Group, whose members consist of a diverse range of agricultural producer, commodity and agribusiness organizations, commend the Surface Transportation Board (STB) for its actions to examine whether demurrage and accessorial charges increasingly being imposed on rail customers are commercially fair and reciprocal.

We particularly commend the Board for scheduling a May 22, 2019 public hearing to enable rail users to present examples of tariffs, issued arbitrarily by several Class I railroads, that we believe on their face are commercially impractical and unfair, as well as non-reciprocal in that the carriers themselves do not face similar financial penalties if they fail to perform. In other cases, language imposed by carriers in their conditions of carriage appear designed to have a chilling effect on rail customers that otherwise attempt to challenge charges that are inaccurate or excessive.

In addition, we strongly support the STB's efforts, dating to Chairman Begeman's letters to each of the Class I railroads last November, requesting that they examine the commercial fairness and reciprocity of demurrage and accessorial charges set forth in their tariffs. We also believe that Chairman Begeman was prudent to increase the transparency on the additional significant revenues now being generated by the Class I railroads through these practices. The responses submitted to the STB by the seven Class I railroads operating in the United States showed that in 2018 alone, more than \$1.43 billion was collected from rail customers through demurrage and accessorial charges – above and beyond the actual line-haul freight rate and far more, we would contend, than is needed to encourage efficient utilization of rail assets. We appreciate the Board's actions to request that such reporting continue on a quarterly basis for 2019, and respectfully request that such reporting occur in 2020 and future years, as well.

In the interest of obtaining a factual record, we encourage the Board to structure the format of its May 22 public hearing to enable rail customers to challenge the expected assertions by Class I carriers that their demurrage and accessorial charges meet the tests of commercial fairness and reciprocity – as they did in their response letters to Chairman Begeman. Agricultural rail users will be prepared during the hearing to cite specific examples that document quite the opposite.

Thank you again to the Board for its much-needed focus on this key issue.

Sincerely,

Agricultural Retailers Association

Amcot

American Farm Bureau Federation

American Frozen Food Institute

American Soybean Association

Corn Refiners Association

Cotton Growers Warehouse Association

Cotton Warehouse Association of America

Cottonseed & Feed Association

Growth Energy

Institute of Shortening and Edible Oils

National Barley Growers Association

National Cotton Council

National Cotton Ginners Association

National Cottonseed Products Association

National Council of Farmer Cooperatives

National Farmers Union

National Grain and Feed Association

National Oilseed Processors Association

National Sorghum Producers

North American Millers' Association

The Fertilizer Institute

U.S. Canola Association

U.S. Wheat Associates